Dear
Traffic Control Permit - RO-18185

Thank you for your application, received 22 January 2015 requesting the Department of Transport and Main Roads' agreement to undertake temporary traffic control on Ipswion-Roseweod Road (304) and Rosewood-Warrill View Road (305), Rosewood (between Keares Rd and Reillys Rd) for the purpose of removing and replacing power cables,

The Department of Transport and Main Roads (Metropolitan Region, Brisbane Office) would have no objection to the above traffic control permit, subject to the following genditions:

1. Full road closure is not permitted. Shoulder closure onTpswish-Rosewood Road (304) and Rosewood-Warrill View Road (305), Rosewood (between Keanes Rd and Reillys Rd) in accordance with the final agreed Traffic Management Plan from this Department is only permitted between the hours of 8:00am and 6:00pm on all days from Tuesday 03 Februer 2015 to Tuesday 03 March 2015.
The distance between 'reduce speed' and end or taper should be $150-300 \mathrm{~m}$. Please use a 2D buffer zone for high speed approaching motorists. The distaace D needs to be selected as per Part 3, MUTCD 2003 - sixth edition, depending on the posted speed of the section. Also please use the correct posted speed with the end of roadwork sign. The access to properties need to be maintained. The safety aspects of cyclists and pedestrians if any need to be maintained.


Verifact Pty Ltd reding as Men at Work Traffic Control contact
are currently working in the sichity of your proposed works. To avoid conflicts between these works it will be necessary to contact the aborycontractors regarding the timing of the works. This department will not be responsible for any detays or bear any costs incurred by the works contractor as a result of works carried out under your proposal. The temporary $40 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ speed zone shall be no longer than 500 metres with a minimum separation of 1000 metres to other temporary $40 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ speed zones,
All traffic lanes are to cennain-open at all other times.
2. A police permit 1815 be obtained from Queensland Police Services.
3. Emergency Serwices, including Police, are to be notified of the traffic control by fax on (07) 32390934.
4. Traffic congo devices are to be implemented in accordance with the provisions of Part 3 of the Queensland Manyal of Uniform Traffic Control Devices (2010). Where traffic control occurs, qualified Traffic controlersare to be engaged to assist in the traffic control.
5. The Applicant must notify the Traffic Management Centre by telephone (3292 6095) at the following times:

- One hour prior to implementation of the Traffic Management Plan
- Immediately in the event of any unexpected disruption to traffic or a traffic incident at or near the site
- Immediately prior to departure of site after all traffic control devices ate removed

6. The Traffic Controller is to monitor the surrounding traffic flow. Should there be significant queuing, the Traffic Controller is to advise the contractor to clear the lane and allow the traffic queues to cleat before resuming work on the lane. The lane is not to be used for stockpiling of material unless otherwise specified by the Department of Transport and Main Roads.
7. Any amendments to the original Application and Traffic Management Plans are to be provided to the Department of Transport and Main Roads, PO Box 70, Spring Hill QLD 4004, at least five (5) workang days prior to commencement of work. Alternatively, the amended Application and Traffic Management plans can be faxed to (07) 3832 4984, at least five (5) working days prior to commencement of work.
8. The State of Queensland, acting through the Department of Transport and Main Roads, is indemanified in writing against any claim whatsoever, by Deed of Indemnity supplied by your company
9. The Department of Transport and Main Roads does not accept any responsibility for datmage to or repair work resulting from the activities carried out by the approved applicant or a person acting on behalf of the approved applicant.
10. Following completion of the works, the road is to be left in a neat and tidy manner, to the satisfaction of the Regional Director's nominated representative.
11. The contractor for the work is required to adhere to the necessary conditions as specified by this Department. A copy of the conditions is to be obtained from the Client, prior to commencement of work, and kept on site by the contractor for the full duration of the work
12. In reference to the approved conditions for these works in State-controlled roads, the Department of Transport and Main Roads has appointed the Client, who has commissioned these works, or its approved contractor performing the works to be appointed Principal Contractor.
13. Subsequently, as the appointed Principal Contractor, yourafe required to meet the obligations of the Work Health and Safety Act 2011. You are authorised to have management and control of the workplace and are responsible for discharging your duties in relation to vork, health and safety matters regarding the workplace. You are responsible for ensuring alirrisks to health and safety are eliminated as reasonably practicable, and required to consult with the Superintendent in relation to matters of safety that cannot be resolved.



FW: Amended - New RO18185-Permit Application for Ipswich Rosewood Rd
Rosewood
Lekamwasam Liyanage N PushpaKumara
28/01/2015 08:15 AM
To:
permits.bne@tmr.qld.gov.au
Cc:
Kamal M Weerasooriya
Hide Details
From: Lekamwasam Liyanage N PushpaKumara [Lekamwasam.Liyanage.N.PushpaKumara@tmr.qld.gov.au](mailto:Lekamwasam.Liyanage.N.PushpaKumara@tmr.qld.gov.au) To: "permits.bne@tmr.qld.gov.au" <permits.bne@tmr.qld.gov.aus
Cc: Kamal M Weerasooriya <Kamal.M.Weerasooriya@tmr.qld.gov.au-
2 Attachments


23012015135154-0001.pdf 23012015142519-0001.pdf
The distance between 'reduce speed' and end of taper should be 150.300 m . Please use a 2D buffer zone for high speed approaching motorists. The distance D needs to be selected as per Part 3, MUTCD 2003 - sixth edition, depending on the posted speed of the section. Also please use the correct posted speed with the end of roadwork sign. The accesses to properties need to be maintained. The safety aspects of cyclists and pedestrians if any need to be maintained.

Time from 08:00 to 18:00 is approved

## Pushpa PushpaKumara

Senior Engineer (Civil) | Metropolitan Region / Brisbanen office
Program Delivery \& Operations | Department of Transport and Main Roads
Floor 10| 313 Adelaide Street| Brisbane Qld 4000
PO Box 70 | Spring Hill Qld 4004
P: (07) 30665681 | F; (07) 32206071
M: Not Relevant
E: lekamwasam.liyanage.n.pushpakumara@tmr.eld.gov.au
W: www.tmr.ald.gov.au

From: Kamal M Weerasooriya
Sent: Tuesday, 27 January 2015 3:38 PM
To: Lekamwasam Liyanage N PusinpaKumara
Subject: FW: Amended - New RO18185 - Permit Application for Ipswich Rosewood Rd Rosewood
FYA
Kind regards,

## Kamal Weerascoriva

Engineer (Civil) | Mietropolitan Region / Brisbane Office
Program Delivery s Operations | Department of Transport and Main Roads
Floor $10 / 313$ Adelaide Street | Brisbane. Qld 4000
PO Box 701 Ss.ring Hill Qld 4004
P: (07) 30665850 F: (07) 32206071
E: kamal.m.weerasooriya@tmr.gld.gov.au
W: www.tmr.gid.gov.au

From: Amy K Rodgers On Behalf Of Metropolitan Permits
Sent: Tuesday, 27 January 2015 3:30 PM
To: Kamal M Weerasooriya

## Cc: Cameron J Messer

Subject: Fw: Amended - New RO18185 - Permit Application for Ipswich Rosewood Rd Rosewood

## Hey kamal

Attached is application. May you please give comment if required.
Thanks

Kind Regards,

Metropolitan Permits
Metropolitan Region | Brisbane Office
Program Delivery \& Operations | Department of Transport and Maín Roads


Floor $10 \mid 313$ Adelaide Street | Brisbane Qld 4000
PO Box 1412 | Brisbane Old 4001
P: (07) 30665512 | F; (07) 38324984
E: metropolitan.permits@tmr.ald.gov.au
W: www.tmr.gld.gov.au
Tomorrow's Queensland: strong, green, smart, healthy and fair -www.towardo..gld.qov.au
| Please consider the environment before printing this email
.-.-. Forwarded by Amy K Rodgers/SouthEast/QMR/Au on 27/01/2015 03:29 PM
From: Not Relevant @altustraffic.com.au>
To: [metropolitan.permits@tmr.ald.gov.au](mailto:metropolitan.permits@tmr.ald.gov.au)
Date: 23/01/2015 02:30 PM
Subject Amended - New RO18185 - Permit Application for Ipswich Rosewood Red Rosewood

Hi ,
Please find UBD Map attached.
Apologies for not supplying this originally.


On 23 January 2015 at 14:04, $\qquad$ @) altustraffic.com.au> wrote: Hi ,

Please find permit application attached.

> Kind Regards,

@altustraffic.com.au

T 0732924400 | F 0732924433

## www.altustraffic.com.au



This email is confidential and intended solely for the use of the individual to whom it is addressed. Any views or opinions presented are solely those of the author and do not necessarily represent those of ALTUS. Traffic. If you are not the intended recipient, be advised that you have received this email in error and that any use, dissemination, forwarding, orinting, or copying of this email is strictly prohibited. If you have received this email in error please notify our Helpdesk by telephone on f61 1300136530
(See attached file: 23012015135154-0001.pdit) (See attached file: 23012015142519-0001.pdf)

Metropolitan Region

Details of Application


Proposed Works requiring Transport and Main Roads agreed Letter of NO Objection with conditions to be attached to the application


## Metropolitan Region

| Requested <br> Dates | From: 03/02/2015 | To: 03/03/2015 |  <br> and/orf |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Requested <br> Hours | From: 0800 | To: 1800 | Traffic Control undertaken on weekends |
| Total estimated duration of work <br> requiring Traffic Control | 2 weeks | days |  |

Mandatory Attachments (tick to confirm the following have been completed and included with application) :
$\boxtimes$ Traffic Guidance Scheme A traffic control plan (minimum size A4 with sufficient locality details) that details the devices to be implemented in accordance with the provisions of Part 3 of the Queensland Manual of Uniform Traffic Control Devices (current edition).
Indemnity A signed Traffic Control Indemnity Form (not required where the Applicant has a currentand applicable Memorandum of Understanding between the Department of Transport and Main Roads and the Applicant and Deed of Indemnity in place).
【 Insurance A copy of a certificate of public liability insurance cover with a reputable insurer for an amount not less than $\$ 10$ million, to provide cover to third parties as a result of activities associated with granting the approval (not required where applicants have a current and applicable Memorandum of Understanding between the Department of Transport and Main Roads and the Applicant in place).
Street Map A photocopy of a street directory map, or equivalent, showing the location of the works.
$\square$ Appointment of Principal Contractor - Form 34 A copy of the Form 34 which has been lodged with Workplace Health and Safety Queensland is to be provided if the final price of the work is over $\$ 80,000$. This form can be obtained via the Department of Industrial Relations website: http://www.deir.ald.gov.au/workplace/lesources/pdfs/principalcontractor form1995.pdf
Definition of Principal Contractor - Section 13 Workplace Health \&Safety Act 1995 provides.
(1) The principal contractor for construction work, other than prescribed construction work, is the person appointed by the client as the principal contractor for the construction work under section 184A:
(2) If the client does not appoint a principal for the construction work, the client / staken to be the principal contractor for the construction work; and
(3) The principal contractor for prescribed construction work is the person wio is in control of the prescribed construction work.
$\square$ Works confirmation letter
Applications relating to works miust inglude a copy of Transport and Main Roads works confirmation letter Please incluoe the department's correspondence reference number (E

## Conditions of Application:

1. The signatory warrants that he/she is authorised to sign on behalf of the Applicant.
2. No Works/Maintenance/Event shall commence until an approved signed Traffic Control Permit is issued and received by the Applicant.
3. The Queensland Department of Transport and Main Reads does not accept any responsibility for damage to or repair work resulting from the activities carried out by the approved Applicant or a person acting on behalf of the approved Applicant.
4. The Applicant is responsible for all aspects of site control and safety,
5. The Applicant must notify the Brisbane Metropolitan Traffic Management Centre (BMTMC) by telephone ( $\mathbf{3 2 9 2} \mathbf{6 0 9 5 \text { ) at the following times: }}$

- One hour prior to implementation of the Traffic Guidance Scheme;
- Immediately in the event that there is any unexpected disruption to traffic or a traffic incident at or near the site; and
- Immediately prior to departure of site aiter all traffic control devices are removed.


## Upon receipt of a Traffic Contro Perrit:

1. The Applicant must ensure the Traffic control Permit is available for inspection at the work site during the traffic control.
2. The Applicant must notify the respective l.ocal Authority where local roads are affected by the traffic control.
3. The Applicant must notify Emergenc, Services of the traffic control by facsimile.
4. The Applicant must engage qualfied Traffic Controllers to assist in the traffic control. The Traffic Controller is to monitor the surrounding traffic flow. Should there se significant queuing, the Traffic Controller is to advise the contractor to clear the lane and allow the traffic queues to clear before resuming work or the lane. The lane is not to be used for stockpiling of material unless otherwise specified by Transport and Main Roads.
5. On completion of the works, the road is to be left in a neat and tidy manner, to the satisfaction of the Regional Director's nominated representative.
The Applicant must adhee el the necessary conditions as specified by the Queensland Department of Transport and Main Roads. A copy of the conditions is to be obtanned from the Principal (asset owner) or Contractor prior to commencement of work and kept on site for the duration of the work.

| Signature of Autharsed Representative of Applicant |  | Date / / | Office Use Only |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Not Relevant |  | $22 / 1 / 5$ | Traffic Control Permit Number |  |
|  | / $/$ |  |  |  |
| Recommended | Date | Approved (Del General) | gate of the Director- | Date |

Submit application either by:
Fax: (07) 31378363
Email: metropolitanregion@tmr.gld.gov.au
Post: PO Box 70 Spring Hill, Qid 4004

Background Information: Transport and Main Roads has a lane closure database for recording all approved lane closure locations and contractors details. This enables Transport and Main Roads to notify the contractor in short notice to clear the site at times of an emergency to allow through access of emergency vehicles.



Not Relevant



ALTUS
TRA F FI C
Altus Traffic Pty Ltd
address: 71 Raubers Rd, NORTGATE Qld 4013 postal address: 71 Raubers Rd, NORTGATE Qld 4013

## Client Information:

1. Client: Energex
2. Contact Number: $\square$
3. Date: 22 January 2015

## Traffic Considerations for the Traffic Guidance Scheme:

1. M.U.T.C.D PART 3: The Traffic Guidance Scheme will be implemented in accordance with the MUTCD Part 32003 Edition "Works on Roads" Sixth Issue 2014
2. Scope of Works/Work Method: Energex will be removing and replacing power cables between poles P12081 \& P12184.
3. Day/Night Works: Will occur during approved hours.
4. Work Site: Ipswich Rosewood Rd, ROSEWOOD between kieanes Rd \& Reillys Rd Work site shall be in accordance with the MUTCD Part 32003 Editior "Works on Roads" Sixth Issue 2014 at all times.
5. Speeds: The current posted speed limit on Ipswich Rosewood Rd is $50 / 60 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ and will be reduced to $40 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ where workers are less than 1.2 m to a trajficked lane, for the duration of the works. Posted speed limit shall be reinstated at the completion of the works.
6. Signage Set-up/ Recovery: All signage/devices wiil be set up and recovered in accordance with clause 2.5.3 of the MUTCD Part 32003 Edition "YOrks on Roads" Sixth Issue 2014.
7. Signage Placement: All signage/devices including side streets will be in accordance to Diagram 10 of the MUTCD Part 32003 Edition "Works on Roads" Sixth Issue 2014. Signage and device shall be installed and recovered by a competent person (Level 2 or higher in Traffic Management)
8. Signage: All signage/devices conforms in size and reflectivity to the MUTCD Part 32003 Edition "Works on Roads" Sixth Issue 2014 and AS1742.4
9. Pedestrians: When pedestrian aecess is required on site, it will be controlled by accredited Traffic Control Officers in accordance with the MUTCD Part 32003 Edition "Works on Roads" Sixth Issue 2014 and the Traffic Controllers Accreditation Scheme 2011 edition.
10. Business/Property Acces. Existing access to property and business will be maintained.
11. Emergency Vehicles $\mathcal{P}$ ofice/Fire/Ambulance: Wherever possible emergency vehicles will be given right of way through the worksite. If worksite is under stop/slow conditions, emergency vehicle delays will be kept to or ninimum by stopping all traffic an alerting workers to incoming emergency vehicle over 2 way radio.


AS/NZS ISO 9001
Certified
AS/NZS 4801
Certified
Davis Langdon Certification Services

## SHORT TERM - SHOULDER CLOSURE - TWO WAY ROAD ${ }^{\text {m"imamioncom }}$



## SIDE ROAD APPROACHES - ALL DIAGRAMS

- Any changes to this TGS will require consultation with a Level 3 .

Changes to be noted on the TGS as per MUTCD Appendix K3

Side road approach between Flagman signage and Traffic Controller

## Side road approach between

 Workman signage and Flagman signage (if present) or worksite






Metropolitan Region

## Notification of Approved Works/Event within Boundaries of State Controlled Roads



Does the lane closure adhere to Metropolitan Region policy and the MUTCD? XV ES Have you checked the Metropolitan Region Guide to Lane Closure Restrictions for Correct Times? Refer to http://haltcserver/Analysis/LCR/LaneClosureRestrictions.aspx/X YES

1. APPROVAL DETAILS


General description of activity requiring traffic control (include type ot activity, specific works requiring traffic control and broad description of the overall job)
Failure repairs from O'Neills Rd int. AMBERLEY to School St ROSEWOOD.

Road Name: Ipswich Rosewood Rd
UBD Reference: Map 231 L14 - Map 210 B15

Road Number: 304
Suburb: AMBERLEY - ROSEWOOD

General description of location requiring traffic control (irielude any specific requirements as contained in the Traffic Control Plan)
Failure repairs from O'Neills Rd int. AMBERLEY to School St ROSEWOOD.

## 3. WIDE LOAD/ WEIGHT RESTRICTIONS

Available Width: Available Height:
Weight:
No Restrictions: $\mathbb{\boxtimes}$

## 4. CLOSURE TIMES

Lanes Closed $0 \square 1 \boxtimes 2 \square 3 \square-4$ Traffic Control Undertaken on: $\boxtimes$ Weekdays $\square$ Saturday $\square$ Sunday Direction of Closure (Select one or more)
$\square N$
$\square$ North Bound $\qquad$ South BoundEast Bound
$\square$ West Bound
区 $\ln$ Bound
Q Out Bound

| Requested Dates | From: 16/02/15 | To: 27/03/15 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Requested Hours | From: 0700 | To: 1700 |

Total Expected Duration of Traffic Control:
Weeks 30 Days
Hours
$\square 24$ Hour Closure
5. TRAFFIC CONTROLLER DETAILS (Contact must be available 24 hrs during traffic control)

| Emergency Contact: | MG Traffic Control | Mobile: | $\square$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Traffic Controlier: |  |  | ABS: |
| Notes: |  |  |  |

Notes:

1. This form must be submitted a minimum of 7 days prior to the start date of the Works/Event. Late applications will not be processed.
2. Exact start and finish times of the Works/Event should be confirmed with the Brisbane Metropolitan Traffic Management Centre (ph 32926095 or fax 3292 6054) by 5pm the day before each work cycle is

Page 1 of 2
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Queensland Government Mat Roads

# South East Queensland Region－Metropolitan District 

Traffic Operations
Proposed Modifications to Speed Zoning

| Shire／City： | Ipswich City Council |
| :--- | :--- |
| Road： | Rosewood Warrill View Road（305） |
| Location： | Ipswich Rosewood Road to south of Bremer River－ 0.0 to 1 025 |
| Existing Speed： | 60 |
|  |  |

It is hereby agreed by the undersigned that the proposed modification to the existing speed limit zoning be implemented．

|  | Yes | No | Signature |  | Date |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Department of Main Roads （Metropolitan） | 区 | $\square$ | Not Relevan， |  | $14 / 05 / 10$ |
| Queensland Pollce Services | $\square$ | $\square$ |  |  |  |
| Local Government | 奷 | $\square$ |  |  | 4－6－10 |
| Queensland Transport （Land Transport \＆Safety） | $\square$ | $\square$ |  |  |  |

［区 No change to speed limit in accordanee wion the criteria of the Speed Control Guidelines．
$\square$ Change to speed limit in accordance with the criteria of the Speed Control Guidelines．
－Change to speed limit in accordance vith the criteria of the Speed Control Guidelines with the following criteria：

# South East Queensland Region - Metropolitan District 

| Traffic Operations | Approval |
| :--- | ---: |
| Traffic Safety and Performance | Issue Date: 22/01/2002 |
| Proposed Modifications to Speed Zoning | TS01F01 |


[x] No change to speed limit in accordanoe with the criteria of the Speed Control Guidelines.

- Change to speed limit in accordance with the criteria of the spead Control Guidelines.
$\square$ Change to speed limit in accerdance with the criteria of the Speed Control Guidelines with the following criteria:


# Speed Limit Review 

Rosewood-Warrill View Road (305)
From Ipswich-Rosewood Road (Ch0.00) to 780m west of Blanchs Road (Ch10.12)

## Document Control

This report is endorsed by:
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## 1 Background

### 1.1 Introduction

A speed limit review has been undertaken for the Rosewood-Warrill View Road (305) corridor from Chainage 0.00 to 10.12 km , a distance of 10.12 km . The road has been reviewed in accordance with Part 4 of the Manual of Uniform Traffic Control Devices (MUTCD), using a first principies approach considering any road safety implications for a modified speed zone and the Traffic arrd Road Use Management (TRUM) Manual Technical Note 3.23 for school zones. A number of different variables have been taken into account while undertaking the review which included:

- Environment in which the road is located;
- Pavement;
- Road cross section, shoulder and lane width;
- Horizontal and vertical road alignment;
- Traffic volume, activity and prevailing speeds;
- Frequency of intersections and property access;
- Presence of traffic signals;
- Magnitude of property setback;
- Presence of line marking, channelisation and/medians;
- Proximity of roadside hazards and standard of protection; and
- School zones.

TRUM Technical Note 3.23 builds on the requirements of the MUTCD by providing additional information to practitioners to improve road safety'oy managing traffic and speeds at schools. Drivers need to recognise that children are impulsive, unpedictable and inexperienced, and that caution should be exercised in the vicinity of a schoo!.
The extent of the study area for which the speed limit review was undertaken is shown in Figure 1.1 and Figure 1.2.



Figure 1.1: Extent of Study Area


Figure 1.2: Extent of Study Area

### 1.2 Methodology

The review was developed in accordance with the guidelines and requirements as listed in the Part 4 (seventh issue, $14^{\text {th }}$ March 2014 of the MUTCD.
The main principles in a speed linit review are that:

- Speed limits should be capable of being practically and equitably enforced by use of speed zones of adequate length, by limiting speed limit changes, and by clarity and frequency of speed signposting;
- $\quad$ Speed limits should be set to maintain a balance between a road user's reasonable perception of the speed environment and an acceptable level of environmental amenity for allroad users and abutting land users; and
- Speeditimits should be set to encourage, as far as practicable, a uniform speed of travel that will reduce the potential for conflicts due to speed differentials between vehicles.

The methodoiogy was structured around the standard procedure for reviewing existing speed limits as follows:

- $\quad$ Stage 1 - Assessment of Road Function
- $\quad$ Stage 2 - Assessment of Prevailing Vehicles Speeds
- $\quad$ Stage 3 - Assessment of Speed Environment
- $\quad$ Stage 4 - Determination of Speed Limit


## 2 Road Details

### 2.1 General Information

Road Number:
Road Name:
Road Environment:
Road Function
Road Geometry:
Local Government Authority:

305
Rosewood-Warrill View Road
Rural
Arterial Road
East-West alignment with westbound being Gazettar Direction Ipswich City Council

### 2.2 Classification

Rosewood-Warrill View Road (305) is a state controlled road that provides access between the towns of Rosewood, Mount Walker, Coleyville and Warrill View.

The road functional sections for the study corridor are best described as follows:

- Ch. 0.00 to 10.12 km - Rural Arterial.

Rural arterials form the principal avenues for communications between major regions including direct connections between cities, between a capital city and key fowns and between key towns.


Figure 2.1: Multi-Combination Routes in Queensland (extract from TMR)

### 2.3 Speed Zone Review

### 2.3.1 Existing Speed Zone (in section of road under review)

MUTCD - Part 4 Speed Controls outlines the following criteria to be used when defining a roads speed zone/s; road function, prevailing traffic speeds, and speed environment. In applying these criteria the defined speed zones tend to be homogenous in nature and are not necessarily related to the posted speed limit.

A buffer zone is a speed zone of minimal length that is used as a transition between two speed limits that differ by more than $20 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ and they are not recommended in Queensland. Where there is a reduction in the speed limit exceeding $20 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ and there is no change in the speed environment leading up to the lower speed zone, the speed limit ahead sign shall be installed at least 300 m of the reduced speed zone. However, where the speed environment between the higiner speed zone and the approaching lower speed zone is different and a speed zone of intermediate valué can be established, the minimum length of the speed zone shall comply with minimum length of a speed zone as specified in Table 2.3.

On undivided rural roads where the design standard is less than $100 k / \mathrm{mm} / \mathrm{h}$ over a length of at least 2 km , the use of a lower speed should be considered. The appropriate speed limit should be based on an analysis of the prevailing speed.
At school zones TRUM note 3.23 permits speed limits of $40 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}, 50 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ and $80 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ in school zones. These speed limits are dependent on the speed limit of the road outside school zone times and the amount of school related activity for the higher speed zones.

The speed zones that exist along the Rosewood-Warill View Road (305) corridor and their location are illustrated in Figure 2.2 and general corridor note have been provided for each speed zone section in Table 2.1.

Table 2.1: Speed Zone Sections - General Corridor Notes

| Speed Zone Section | Start Ch. (km) | End Ch. (km) | Speed (km/h) | General Corridor Notes |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A / School Zone | -0.05 | 0.20 | $\begin{aligned} & 40 \text { Sschoof } \\ & \text { Zone) } \end{aligned}$ | No direct access. Access to the school is located on the adjacent Ipswich-Rosewood Road (304) corridor. |
| A | $0.00$ |  | 60 | Rural Arterial, one sub-standard horizontal curve at the future intersection, creek crossings, direct property accesses, direct access for the Rosewood sewerage treatment plant. <br> School Zone between Ch-0.05 to Ch0.20, access to the school is located on the adjacent Ipswich-Rosewood Road (304) corridor. <br> $40 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ change of speed zone, increasing from $60 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ to $100 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ in gazettal direction and decreasing from $100 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ to $60 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ in against gazettal direction. |
|  | $1.00$ | 10.12 | 100 | Rural Arterial, the cross section is generally narrow, many sub-standard horizontal curves, flat to rolling vertical alignment. Ebenezer Road intersection, many property access road intersections, direct property accesses. Bridge over the Bremer River, old bridge over Blundell's Gully. <br> $40 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ change of speed zone, decreasing from $100 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ to $60 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ without speed limit ahead signage. |



Figure 2.2: Speed Zone Overview of Rosewood-Warrill View Road (305)
The minimum length of a speed zonedeperads upon the speed limit as specified in Table 2.2.
Table 2.2: Speed Zone-Minimum Length Requirements

| Speed Zone (km/h) | Normat Minimum Length (km) | Absolute Minimum Length (km) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 40 : School zone only |  | Not Applicable |
| 60 |  | 0.6 |
| 100 | 3.0 | 0.20 |

The length of each speed zone along the Rosewood-Warrill View Road (305) study area has been compared to the minimum required length for its respective speed limit as outlined in Table 2.2. The compliance of the speed zone lengths are outlined in Table 2.3.

Table 2.3:
Existing Speed Zone Sections

| Section/s | Start Ch. <br> (adj. location) | End Ch. <br> (adj. location) | Existing <br> Speed <br> (km/h) | Zone <br> Length <br> (km) | Zone Length <br> Compliance |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| School / <br> A | -0.05 <br> (50m east of <br> lpswich-Rosewood <br> Road) | 0.20 <br> (200m west of <br> Ipswich-Rosewood <br> Road) | 40 School <br> zone | 0.25 | Yes |
| A | 0.00 <br> (Ipswich- <br> Rosewood Road) | 1.00 <br> (500m east of <br> Reillys Road) | 60 | 1.00 | Yes |
| B | 1.00 <br> (500m east of <br> Reillys Road) | 10.12 <br> (780m west of <br> Blanchs Road) | 100 | Yes |  |

### 2.3.2 Adjacent Speed Zone

The study corridor starts at the intersection with lpswich-Roselvood Road (Ch. 0.00 km ) and terminates 780 m west of Blanchs Road (Ch. 10.12 km ). The adjacent speed zones have a signposted speed of $60 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ with a $40 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ school zone at the eastern extent (lpswich-Rosewood Road) and $100 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ at the western extent (Rosewood-Warrill yiew Roadi).

### 2.3.3 Typical Speed Limit for the Road Function

The typical speed limit for Rosewood-Warrill View Road (305) depends upon the general application as specified in Table 2.4.
Table 2.4: $\quad$ Typical Speed Limits for Roads in Fibral Environment

| Speed Limit (km/h) | General Application for Rural Roads |
| :---: | :---: |
| 40 | School zone (within 50km/h, $60 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ or $70 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ limit). |
| 60 | School zone (within $88 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}, 90 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ or $100 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ limit). <br> Traffic carrying roads with abutting development and $>4$ accesses / 100m. |
| 70 | Traffic carrying rcads with abutting development and 2-4 accesses / 100m. |
| 80 | Traffic cariying roads with abutting development and 1-2 accesses / 100m. Buffer zone. <br> On undivided rural roads where the design standard is less than $100 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ over a Pength of at least 2 km , the use of a lower speed should be considered. The appropriate speed limit should be based on an analysis of the prevailing speed. |
| $90$ | On undivided rural roads where the design standard is less than $100 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ over a iength of at least 2 km , the use of a lower speed should be considered. The appropriate speed limit should be based on an analysis of the prevailing speed. |
| 100 | General rural speed limit. |

The existing speed limits of each speed zone along the Rosewood-Warrill View Road (305) study corridor has been compared to the typical speed limits that may be typically expected for roads in a rural environment as outlined in Table 2.4. The compliance of the existing speed limits are outlined in Table 2.5.

Table 2.5: Typical Speed Limit for Speed Zone Sections

| Speed <br> Zone <br> Section | Existing <br> Speed <br> $(\mathbf{k m} / \mathbf{h})$ | Accesses / <br> $\mathbf{1 0 0 m}$ | Typical Speed <br> Limit (km/h) | Zone Limit Compliance |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A | 60 | 2.00 | 80 | No |
| A / <br> School <br> Zone | 40 | N/A | 40 | Yes |
| B | 100 | 0.53 | 100 | Yes |

A buffer zone is a speed zone of minimal length that is used as a transition beiveen iwo speed limits that differ by more than $20 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ and are not recommended in Queensland. Where there is a reduction in the speed limit exceeding $20 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ and there is no change in the speed environment leading up to the lower speed zone, the speed limit ahead sign shall be installed at least 300 m of the reduced speed zone. However, where the speed environment between the higher speed zone and the approaching lower speed zone is different and a speed zone of intermediate value can be established, the minimum length of the speed zone shall comply with minimum lerigth of a speed zone as specified in Table 2.2.

On undivided rural roads where the design standard is less than $100 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ over a length of at least 2 km , the use of a lower speed should be considered. The appropriate speed limit should be based on an analysis of the prevailing speed.
At school zones TRUM note 3.23 permits speed limits of $40 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}, 60 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ and $80 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ in school zones. These speed limits are dependent on the speed limit of the road outside school zone times and the amount of school related activity for the higher speed zones.

### 2.4 Signage

### 2.4.1 Speed Restriction Signage

The locations of the existing speed restriction signage along the route are shown in Figure 2.3.
The Rosewood-Warrill View Road corridor (305) speed restriction signage should be erected on the left side of the roadway where suitable along the corridor. The Rosewood-Warrill View Road corridor (305) speed restriction signage was assessed and identified to be faded and not cleariy visible during adverse conditions or at night time. For recommended signage refer to Section 6.1.
Ipswich-Rosewood Rd
Hedricks Rd


Figure 2.3: $\quad$ Speed Fiestriction Signs


### 2.4.2 Advisory Speed Signage

The locations of the existing curve warning and speed advisory signage along the corridor are shown in Figure 2.4.

The Rosewood-Warrill View Road corridor (305) curve warning and advisory speed signage was assessed and signs were identified to be missing, incorrect sign types, inappropriately sized, faded and not clearly visible during adverse conditions or at night time. A ball bank test has been conducted to determine and assess the curve warning and advisory speed signage refer to Section 2.6.


Figure 2.4: Advisory speed Signs

### 2.4.3 School Zone Signage

The locations of the existing school zone signage along the corridor are shown in Figure 2.5.
The Rosewood-Warrill View Road corridor (305) school zone signage was assessed and although they were observed to have one slightly faded panel, no urgent works are thought to be required. The school access is located on the adjacent Ipswich-Rosewood Road (304) which has appropriate signage including TC1783 signage that were witnessed to be appropriately sized and in working


### 2.5 Road Geometry

### 2.5.1 Horizontal Geometry

The horizontal alignment of the Rosewood-Warrill View Road (305) corridor is generally a series of straights and curves. There are many sub-standard horizontal curves and the road safety audit has identified the sections of the corridor that do not comply with current design standards and provided recommended treatments.

### 2.5.2 Vertical Geometry

The majority of the Rosewood-Warrill View Road (305) corridor has vertical geomeiry that is considered to be generally flat with some flat to moderate grades.

### 2.5.3 Cross Section

Rosewood-Warrill View Road (305) between the Ipswich-Rosewood Foad intersection (Ch0.00) and 780 m west of Blanchs Road (Ch10.12) is an undivided two-lane, two-way rural arterial road with 3.50 m lane widths and sealed shoulders $(0.00 \mathrm{~m}$ to 2.00 m$)$. The road safety audit has identified the sections of the corridor that do not comply with current design standards.

### 2.6 Sub-standard Curves

The results from a ball bank test have been used to review the current advisory speed signs for horizontal curves along the Rosewood-Warrill View Roach (305)/corridor as outlined in Table 2.6.

Table 2.6: Ball Bank Test Results

| Curve <br> (No.) | Chainage (km) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Posted } \\ & \text { Speed Limit } \\ & (k m / h) \end{aligned}$ | Gazetial (WB) |  | Against Gazettal (EB) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Current Advisory Speed (kmin) | Advisory Speed from Ball Bank test (km/h) | Current Advisory Speed (km/h) | Advisory Speed from Ball Bank test (km/h) |
| 1 | 0.40 | 60 | $\begin{gathered} 20 \\ \left.1+1308 \_2\right) \end{gathered}$ | 20 | $\begin{gathered} 20 \\ \text { (TC1308_2) } \end{gathered}$ | 20 |
| 2 | 0.70 | 60 | Nil | Nil | Nil | Nil |
| 3 | 0.85 | 60 | Nil | Nil | Nil | Nil |
| 4 | 1.90 | 100 | 60 | 60 | 60 | 60 |
| 5 | 3.10 | 100 | 50 | 40 | 50 | 40 |
| 6 | 3.40 | 100 | 80 | 60 | 80 | 70 |
| 7 | 3.90 | 100 | 60 | 60 | 60 | 60 |
| 8 | 4.50 | ( 100 | Nil | 80 | Nil | 90 |
| 9 | 5.10 | 100 | 80 | 80 | 80 | 90 |
| 10 | 5.58 | 100 | 80 | 80 | 80 | 80 |
| 11 | ¢ 6.80 | 100 | Nil | 90 | Nil | 90 |
| 12 | 8.30 | 100 | Nil | 90 | Nil | 90 |
| 13 | 8.65 | 100 | Nil | 90 | Nil | 90 |
| 14 | 8.95 | 100 | Nil | 85 | Nil | 85 |

The ball bank tests were assessed with the MUTCD Part 2 Figure 4.5 and show that advisory speed signs are required for curves $1,4,5,6,7,8$ in the gazettal direction, 9 in the gazettal direction and 10 .

The road safety audit has recommended changes to the advisory speed signage, chevron alignment markers, guide posts and raised reflective pavement markers for compliance to current design standards.

### 2.7 Previous Rosewood-Warrill View Road (305) Road Safety Audit

### 2.7.1 Safety Audit Findings

A safety audit has been undertaken along the study corridor and reported on in May 2015. The following are some of the key issues and recommendations identified with priorities ( $A, B, C$ and $D$ ).

- The pavement is in poor condition and the road is subject to flooding. There is sigrificant rutting, heavy patching and poor drainage which is exacerbated by narrow shoulders with grass and soil at the interface that is up to 50 mm above the pavement surface which is limiting the water runoff. This combination may result in driver discomfort, loss of control type crashes from aquaplaning and poor lane discipline from undesirable crossfall rotations which may lead to off path or head on type crashes. (A planning).
- The W5-7-2 floodways warning signage and auxiliary plates are faded. Consider installation of new warning signage and new W8-17-1(9km) auxiliary plates. (A).
- There is a $40 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ change in speed between $100 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ and $60 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$. Poor compliance and erratic driver behaviour may lead to rear end type crashes and off path type crashes. There was one off path on curve at Ch0.92 in the against gazettal direction involving an articulated yehicle travelling too fast through the $100 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ to $60 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ speed zone change. Consider a speed limit review and implementation of the recommendations. It is noted that all of the existing speed restriction signs are faded and should be replaced. (A Important).
- There are many sections along the corridor with $0.0-0.5 \mathrm{~m}$ shoulders. This may lead to an increased risk of off path type crashes as there is no recovery area, entering oadway type crashes from properties, overtaking same direction and rear end type crashes from residents entering their property from the mainline and potentially broken down vehicles within the travel lanes. Corisider providing 1.0 m sealed shoulders. (D Planning).
- There is a tight horizontal curve with W2-9 warning sigriage and $50 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ W8-5 auxiliary plates in a $100 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ sign posted environment at the intersection with Ebenezer Road. The cross section appears narrow with 0.0 m shoulders. The crossfall appears to be less than $3 \%$ and there is a long water flow path through the intersection. This combination may result in poor lane discipline from the $50 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ change in speed, loss of control type crashes from aquaplaning which may lead to off path or head on type crashes. Short Term: Consider providing a wide centre line treatment between Ch2.70 to Ch5.90 (constrained by existing bridge structure) to potentially reduce the risk of head on type crashes. Long Term: Upgrade the corridor to provide desirable geometry and flood immunity. (A Planning).
- There are property access with non-troversable headwall hazards within the clear zone. This may lead to an increased severity for potential off path type crashes. Install traversable culvert headwalls. (B).
- The bridge over Blundell's Gully. The cross section is narrow for the 20 m bridge as it has 0.0 m shoulders. This may lead to a flow path within the wheel path. The structure appears to have barrier kerb with timber bridge rails which may lead to contaiment issues for errant vehicles. Settlement has occurred on the approaches leading to an undesirable crossfall rate of rotation which may lead to poor lane discipline. Consider upgrading the bridge structure to current design standards considering a wide centre line treatment noting the Transgrid power structure and clearzone. (D Planning).
- There are electricity poles within the clear zone, some on the outside of horizontal curves. This may lead to an increased crash severiity tor off path type crashes. Short Term: Consider installation of D4-3 hazard signage at a desirable orientatisnand at a mounting height not less than 1.5 m above the travelled path in accordance with current design stanuards. (B Important). Long Term: Install w-beam guardrail, considering a future wide centre line treatment. ( $\bar{\square}$ Planning).


### 2.8 Public Correspondence

No public correspondence has been provided for Rosewood-Warrill View Road (305).

## 3 Data Analysis

### 3.1 Traffic Volumes

Traffic volume data for the corridor was sourced from the TMR Traffic Analysis and Reporting System at available locations. Midblock traffic volumes for the year 2013 summarised for all vehicles in Table 3.1 and summarised for heavy vehicles in Table 3.2.

Table 3.1: $\quad 2014$ Speed Zone Section Traffic Volumes - All Vehicles

| Chainage <br> (km) | Site ID | Site Location | AADT |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Gazettal (WB) | Against Gazettal (EB) | Total |  |
| 2.50 | 135532 | Rosewood-Warrill View <br> Road at the Bremer <br> River | 523 | 539 | 1,062 |

Table 3.2: 2014 Speed Zone Section Traffic Volumes - Heavy Vehicles

| Chainage (km) | Site ID | Site Location | AADT |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Gazettal (WB) | Against Gazettal (EB) | Total |
| 2.50 | 135532 | Rosewood-Warrill View Road at the Bremer River |  | $\begin{gathered} 52 \\ (9.65 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 132 \\ (12.43 \%) \end{gathered}$ |

### 3.2 Speeds

Speed surveys were provided by TMR. The location of the sites and their respective reference numbers are shown in Figure 3.1.

The locations of the survey sites for each speed section were selected on the basis of the constantly changing environment of the road corrider. The corridor was divided into sections based on the homogeneity of the road with the survey sites located to best represent the general road environment and operations of each respective section.

A vehicle considered to be operaing under "free flowing" conditions is when the preceding vehicle has at least four (4) seconds headway ard there is no apparent attempt to overtake the vehicle ahead. Of the vehicles surveyed, only those observed to be travelling under free flow conditions (minimum four (4) seconds headway) were considered in the survey results.


Figure 3.1: Speed Survey Site Locations
The speed distributions obtained from the speed survey were tested against the criteria in Appendix C - Part 4 Speed Controls of MUTCD to determine whether it conformed to an acceptable speed distribution for the existing speed limit. If the speed distribution conformed to an acceptable distribution for the existing speed (imit then the existing speed limit was considered acceptable subject to a review of the crash data. Ifthe speed limit did conform to the acceptable distribution for the existing speed limit then a suggested speed limit was determined from Table C2.
The results obtained from the analysis of the speed surveys for each of the sites are detailed from Table 3.3 and Table 3.4.

Table 3.3: Speed survey results at Site 1 (Speed Zone Section A) Ch0.62-100m south of Western Creek.

| Data | Gazettal (WB) | Against Gazettal (EB) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Total Vehicles (sampled): | 2,585 | 2,672 |
| Posted Speed (km/h): | 60 | 60 |
| Mean Speed (km/h): | 71.4 | 70.2 |
| Upper Limit of 15km/h Pace (km/h): | 79.0 | 78.0 |
| Percent in Pace (\%): | 58.53 | 59.58 |
| 85th \% Speed (km/h): | 81.0 | 79.6 |

In both directions the mean speed was identified to be above the threshold ( $63 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h})$ for acceptable speed distribution and the upper limit of pace and $85^{\text {th }}$ percentile were a.bove the threshold ( $69 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ ) for acceptable speed distribution. The prevailing speeds do not conform to the sign-posted speed of $60 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ for Section A. The speed data provided suggests a speed limit of $80 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ in both directions.

Table 3.4: $\quad$ Speed survey results at Site 2 (Speed Zone SectiornB) Ch7.80-1000m west of Mount Walker West Road.

| Data | Gazettal | NB) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Total Vehicles (sampled): | 1,528 | Against Gazettal (EB) |
| Posted Speed (km/h): | 100 | 1,628 |
| Mean Speed (km/h): | 93.5 | 100 |
| Upper Limit of 15km/h Pace (km/h): |  | 102.0 |
| Percent in Pace (\%): |  | 55.82 |
| 85th \% Speed (km/h): | 104.4 | 105.0 |

The gazettal direction was determined to contorm to the sign-posted speed of $100 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ for Section B. The speed data provided suggests a speed timit of $100 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ in the gazettal direction. The against gazettal direction mean speed was identified to be marginally above the threshold ( $97 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ ) for acceptable speed distribution for a $100 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ posted speed and significantly below the threshold $(99 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h})$ for a $110 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ posted speed for Section B. The speed data provided suggests a speed limit of $100 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ in the gazettai direction.
However, while suggested speed limits have been provided for sections where the speed distribution does not conform to the acceptable distribution, the recommended speed limit should be determined only after an assessment of the road function and speed environment. Any significant difference between the current benaviour of drivers and the recommended speed limit will warrant further investigation.

The speed sunveys provided, shown above in Table 3.3 and Table 3.4 demonstrate that the existing enforcement Confliance and environment do not match the current speed zoning in speed zone section A. If the speed limits are increased there may be an increase in off carriageway type crashes and an increased crash severity. The constrained existing horizontal geometry, narrow shoulders, non-traversable slopes and hazards within the clear zone do not support an increase to the current posted speeds. If the speed limits are decreased it is likely that there will be poor compliance which may lead to speed differentials.

### 3.3 Crash History

### 3.3.1 Road Crash Data Inclusion Requirements

For crashes to qualify as valid they must meet the following criteria:

- the crash occurred on a public road;
- a person was killed or injured;
- at least one vehicle was towed away; and
- the value of the property damage was:
- \$2,500 damage to property other than vehicles (after 1 December 1999);
- \$2,500 damage to vehicle and property (after 1 December 1991 and prionta 1 December 1999); and
- $\quad \$ 1,000$ damage to property (prior to 1 December 1991).

In addition, crashes resulting from medical conditions or deliberate acts are e.cluded. The crashes detailed in the following section meet the above criteria.

### 3.3.2 Reported Midblock Crashes

The crash history was based on midblock data from reported erashes that have occurred along the corridor from the $1^{\text {st }}$ January 2008 to $31^{\text {st }}$ December 2012 over a tive year period. During this period a total of seven (7) midblock crashes were reported along the corridor.

There has been a total of one (1) fatality within the study section during the five year period, a head-on type crash involving a motorcycle and a truck at Ch3 92 on a sub-standard horizontal curve with narrow shoulders with hazards within the clear zone.
Table 3.8: Rosewood-Warrill View Road (305) - Midblock Accident Type Summary

| DCA Code <br> Group | Crash Type | No. Crashes |
| :---: | :--- | :---: |
| 1 | Intersection, from adjacent approaches | 0 |
| 2 | Head on | 1 |
| 3 | Opposing vehicles turning | 0 |
| 4 | Rear end | 0 |
| 5 | Lane change | 0 |
| 6 | Parallel lares, turning | 0 |
| 7 | U-turn | 0 |
| 8 | Entering raadway | 0 |
| 9 | Overtaking, same direction | 0 |
| 10 | Hil parked vehicle | 0 |
| 11 | F-itit railway train | 0 |
| 12 | Fedestrian | 0 |
| 13 | Permanent obstruction on carriageway | 0 |
| 14 | Hit animal | 0 |
| 15 | Off carriageway on straight | 0 |
| 16 | Off carriageway on straight hit object | 0 |


| 17 | Out of control on straight | 0 |
| :---: | :--- | :---: |
| 18 | Off carriageway on curve | 1 |
| 19 | Off carriageway on curve hit object | 1 |
| 20 | Out of control on curve | 2 |
| 21 | Exceptions | 0 |
| $\mathbf{7}$ |  |  |

The Road Safety Audit has highlighted the locations of crash clusters and has recommended measures to further improve safety.

## Speed Zone Section A (Ch. 0.00 to 1.00 km)

A total of 3 crashes ( $43 \%$ ) have occurred within speed zone Section A. Of these crashes, 1 crash (33\%) involved a vehicle leaving the carriageway on a straight (DCA 764) and was attributed to wet weather and 2 crashes (67\%) involved vehicles leaving the carriageway on a. curve (DCA 804, DCA 805) and were attributed to late braking into sub-standard horizontal curves that have existing advisory speed signage.

## Speed Zone Section B (Ch. 1.00 to 10.12 km)

A total of 4 crashes (57\%) have occurred within speed zone/Section B. Of these crashes, 1 crash (25\%) was a head-on (DCA 201) and was attributed to poor lane discipline through a sub-standard horizontal curve that has advisory speed signage, 1 crash ( $25 \%$ ) involved a vehicle leaving the carriageway on a straight (DCA 703) and was attributed toloss of control and driver error and 2 crashes (50\%) involved vehicles leaving the carriageviay on a curve (DCA 802, DCA 805) and were attributed to wet weather and poor lane discipline through a sub-standard horizontal curve that has advisory speed signage.


## 4 QLimits Assessment

The assessment of the speed environment for Rosewood-Warrill View Road (305) was conducted using the QLimits speed environment analysis software. It was used to determine the suitability of the speed limit based on the speed environment and crash history of each speed section.

QLIMITS analysis software is intended as an aid to practitioners only.

### 4.1 Summary

Table 4.1: $\quad$ Speed Zone Section A - Speed Site 1

| Road Name: | Rosewood-Warrill View Road $/>$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| Road Number: | 305 |
| Zone Length Description: | Ipswich-Rosewood Road $\ddagger 500 \mathrm{5m}$ east of Reillys Road |
| Zone Length Through Distance: | 0.00 km to 1.00 km |
| Data | Gazettal 4 Against Gazettal |
| Equivalent direction: | Westbound Eastbound |
| Existing speed limit: | $1860 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| Number of vehicles counted: | 2,585 2,672 |
| Upper limit of pace (km/h): | 79.0 - 78.0 |
| Mean speed (km/h): | $71.4 \sim 70.2$ |
| 85th Percentile speed (km/h): | 81.0 - 79.6 |
| Percentage of vehicles in pace (\%): |   <br> 58.53 59.58 |
| AADT | (2) 1,062 |
| Length of zone | 1.00 km |
| Number of midblock accidents in zone | 3 |
| Casualty Crash Rate ERU per $10^{8}$ VKT | 6139.9 |
| Average crash rate for similar roads | 509.2 |
| Critical crash rate for similar roads | 548.9 |
| Accesses - Residential | 10 |
| Accesses - Average commercia! | 1 |
| Accesses - Heavy industry | 1 |
| Accesses - Large Shopping centre | 0 |
| Intersection - Unsignalised of suibstantially lesser importance | 0 |
| Intersection - Unsignalised of lesser importance | 1 |
| Intersection - Unsigriatised of comparable or greater significance | 1 |
| Intersection- Poundiabout or Signalised | 0 |
| QLimits Recominended Speed Limit | 80km/h $\quad 80 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ |

For speed zone section A the typical speed limit for the road function is $80 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$. As shown in Table 3.3 the speed data does not correlates with the existing speed limit. The crash rate is significantly greater than the critical crash rate. QLimits is suggesting a crash investigation be undertaken. In this instance the QLIMITS recommendation is not considered to be representative when considered in the context of the overall speed limit review.

Table 4.2: $\quad$ Speed Zone Section B - Speed Site 2

| Road Name: | Rosewood-Warrill View Road |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Road Number: | 305 |  |
| Zone Length Description: | 500m east of Reillys Road to 780 m west of Blanchs Road |  |
| Zone Length Through Distance: | 1.00 km to 10.12 km |  |
| Data | Gazettal | Against Gazetial |
| Equivalent direction: | Westbound | Eastbound |
| Existing speed limit: | 100km/h |  |
| Number of vehicles counted: | 1,528 | 1,628 |
| Upper limit of pace (km/h): | 102.0 | 105.0 |
| Mean speed (km/h): | 93.5 | 97.5 |
| 85th Percentile speed (km/h): | 104.4 | - 109.8 |
| Percentage of vehicles in pace (\%): | 55.82 | $\lcm{50.25}$ |
| AADT | 1,062 |  |
| Length of zone | 9.12 km |  |
| Number of midblock accidents in zone | 4 |  |
| Casualty Crash Rate ERU per $10^{8}$ VKT | 1859.23 |  |
| Average crash rate for similar roads | - 1049.6 |  |
| Critical crash rate for similar roads | 1098.7 |  |
| Accesses - Residential | 39 |  |
| Accesses - Average commercial | 0 |  |
| Accesses - Heavy industry | 0 |  |
| Accesses - Large Shopping Centre | (1) 0 |  |
| Intersection - Unsignalised of substantially lesser importance | 3 |  |
| Intersection - Unsignalised of lesser importance | 3 |  |
| Intersection - Unsignalised of comparable or greater significance | 0 |  |
| Intersection - Roundabout or Signalised | 0 |  |
| QLimits Recommended Speed Limit | 100km/h | 100km/h |

For speed zone section B the typical speed limit for the road function is $100 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$. As shown in Table 3.4, the speed data in the against gazettal direction does not correlate with the existing speed limit. The crash rate is greater than, the critical crash rate. QLimits is suggesting a crash investigation be undertaken.

## 5 Assessment of Speed Limit

### 5.1 Background

As a measure to improve road safety in Queensland, TMR has introduced a 'safe systems' approach. The approach involves a methodology based on best international practice, and consists of four key aspects as outlined below.

## Safe Roads and Roadsides

Roads and roadsides should be designed and maintained to reduce the risk of crasines occurring and to lessen the severity of injury if a crash does occur. Safe roads prevent unintendred use through design and encourage safe behaviour by users.

## Safe Speeds

Speed not only determines the likely risk of a crash but also the outcome of the crash or severity. Lower speeds result in fewer crashes as road users have more time for decision making, are less likely to lose control and can stop within a shorter distance. Speed limits complementing the road environment should be implemented to manage crash impact Orces to within human tolerance; and all road users complying with the speed limits.

## Safe Vehicles

The introduction of vehicles which not only lessen the likelitiood of a crash and protect occupants, but also simplify the driving task and protect vulnerable users. Intreasingly this will involve vehicles that communicate with roads and other vehicles, while automating protective systems when crash risk is elevated.

## Safe Behaviours

Encouragement should be given to safe, consisterf and compliant behaviour through well-informed and educated road users. Licensing, education, road rules, enforcement and sanctions are all part of the Safe System.

This review has considered two of the key aspects; safe roads and roadsides and safe speeds. To take into consideration the 'safe System' approach we have adopted a risk-based system to determine the appropriate speed limit. The assessment of speed limit included the identification of the relative risk of each distinct road section reviewed.

### 5.2 Principles

The safe system apprgach as conceptually referred to in Austroads is shown in Figure 5.1.



Figure 5.1: Safe Systems Approach
TMR (Metropolitan Region) have extended this framework to provide more detailed processes in the sub-area of "Understanding Crashes and Risks". The process is currently under development and is generically shown in Figure 5.2. The intent of the process is to enable a pro-active approach to responding to a network of Road Safety Audit's, Speed Limit Review/s and Crash Investigation/s findings.


Figure 5.2: Pro-Active Approach to Road Safety

### 5.3 Crash Risk Quantification - Methodology

### 5.3.1 Overview

The two components of risk used in the assessment were frequency and severity. The frequency of the crashes relates to traffic volumes, speed, road width and cross section which included clear zone hazards, road curvature, intersection frequency, and roadside activity. Severity is related to the type of crash that is likely to occur such as the angle and speed of collision and type of hazard' struck.

The severity of a crash increases distinctly above certain speed thresholds depending on the type of crash. The speed thresholds for surviving the different types of crashes that can occurare provided by the following:

- pedestrian struck by vehicle - $20-30 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$;
- motorcyclist struck by vehicle (or falling off) - $20-30 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$;
- side-impact vehicle striking a pole or tree - $30-40 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$;
- side-impact vehicle to vehicle crash - $50 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$; and
- head-on vehicle to vehicle (equal mass) crash - $70 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$.

In order to pro-actively rank the crash risk associated with each road section a quantitative assessment methodology has been developed. The process quantifies the crash frequency and crash risk to develop a "Crash Risk Score (CRS)". The final crashscores obtained were categorised into Low/Medium/High/Extreme crash risks following the risk/matrix described in Table 5.1.

Table 5.1: $\quad$ Risk Assessment Matrix

|  |  | CRASH FFFEQUENCY (Crash Rate per VKT^8) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Improbable (I) } \\ {[1]} \end{gathered}$ | Ocgasional (0) | $\begin{gathered} \text { Probable }(P) \\ {[9]} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Frequent (F) } \\ & {[16]} \end{aligned}$ |
| S | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Limited (PD) } \\ & {[1]} \end{aligned}$ | Low <br> [1] | Low [4] | Medium [9] | High <br> [16] |
| V | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Minor (MI / MT) } \\ {[4]} \end{gathered}$ | Low <br> [4] | Medium [16] | High <br> [36] | Extreme [64] |
| R | Serious (H) [9] | Medium [9] | High [36] | Extreme [81] | Extreme [144] |
| Y | Catastrophic (F) [16] | High <br> [16] | Extreme [64] | Extreme [144] | Extreme [256] |

### 5.3.2 Crash Frequency

The quantitative measures adopted for the crash frequency is the 'crash rate' (ie crashes perykT x $10^{8}$ ). A minimum 1 km road section length is desirable for this calculation to reduce distance effects on the crash rate. The Rosewood-V/ririll View Road (305) speed zone sections meet this criteria.

### 5.3.3 Crash Severity

The severities of the crashes in each DCA group were quantitatively assessed in order to assign a 'severity' rating. Adopting the 'crash cost' to determine a quantitative measure for 'crash severity' was given consideration, however, the relative difference between a 'fatality' and all


Figure 5.1 Severity Weighting
other crashes, presented an unrealistic relationship between the comparative value of 'severity' placed between these crash types.

A "squared" growth function was considered to present a more realistic relationship to quantify 'severity', particularly from an agency 'need to respond' perspective.

The subsequent crash score adopted for 'severity' was as follows:

- Property Damage Only
- Minor Injury / Medical Treatment
- Hospitalisation
- Fatality
- $\quad$ Score $=1$ (Limited Severity)
- Score $=4$ (Minor Severizy)
- Score = 9 (Serious Severity)
- Score = 16 (Catastrophic Severity)


### 5.3.4 Total Crash Risk Score

The total crash risk score (CRS) (displayed as a Quantitative Rating in Table 5.2) was attained from multiplying the Crash Rate per VKT^8 for each crash severity by the relevant crash severity rating. For instance, the 'Crash Rate per VKT^8' for type 200-209 (DCA Code) crashes that resulted in a 'Minor Injury' in a particular section is "3.1". This value is multiplied by the respective 'Minor Injury' score of " 4 ", giving a total CRS of "12.4". When more than one severity type (ie Minor Injury and Hospitalisation) occur for a particular set of crashes (ie 300-309 DGA) the multiplication process is done for each severity separately, each with their own 'Crash Rate per VKT^8' and 'Severity Score', then added together to get the total CRS.

For example:

## Crash Risk Score

Property Damage : Crash Rate pers VKT^8 * Severity Score

Minor Injury / Medical Treatmerit: Cráash Rate per VKT^8 * Severity Score

Hospitalisation : Cras'h Rate per VKT^8 * Severity Score

Fatalities: Crash Rate per VKT^8 * Severity Score
$=$
Total Crasth Risk Score [ie $(4.6$ * 1$)+(3.2$ * 4$)+(4.4$ * 9$)+(2.2$ * 16)]

The subsequent final 8 RS thresholds are as follows:

- Low - $0 \leq \mathrm{CRS}<7$
- Medibmi - $\quad 7 \leq \mathrm{CRS}<16$
- High - $16 \leq \mathrm{CRS}<50$
- Extreme - CRS $\geq 50$

Table 5.2 stows the crash risk scores including the proposed risk assessment of speed zone sections.
Table 5.2: Risk Assessment of Speed Zone Sections


\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\[
\begin{aligned}
\& \text { 흘 } \\
\& \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{0}
\end{aligned}
\]} \& \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\[
\begin{aligned}
\& \text { DCA } \\
\& \text { Group } \\
\& \text { Code }
\end{aligned}
\]} \& \multirow[t]{2}{*}{} \& \multirow[t]{2}{*}{} \& 흉 \& \multicolumn{5}{|l|}{Existing Condition Risk Assessment} \& \multicolumn{5}{|l|}{Based on QLIMITS Recommended Speed Limit} \& \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Action/s} \& \multicolumn{4}{|l|}{Based on Recommended Action} \& \multirow[t]{2}{*}{} \\
\hline \& \& \& \&  \&  \& \[
\begin{array}{r}
\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{c} \\
\frac{0}{0} \\
\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{0} \\
\frac{0}{4}
\end{array}
\] \& \[
\begin{aligned}
\& \text { Z } \\
\& \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{0} \\
\& \text { © }
\end{aligned}
\] \&  \&  \&  \& \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { Risk } \\
\text { Comment }
\end{gathered}
\] \& \[
\begin{aligned}
\& \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{c} \\
\& \frac{0}{0} \\
\& \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{2} \\
\& \frac{0}{4}
\end{aligned}
\] \&  \&  \& \& Risk Comment \&  \& \[
\begin{aligned}
\& \text { 를 } \\
\& \text { du } \\
\& \dot{\sim}
\end{aligned}
\] \&  \& \\
\hline  \& \begin{tabular}{c}
2 \\
\\
\\
\\
\hline 16
\end{tabular} \& \begin{tabular}{|cc}
5.657 \\
\\
\\
\\
\hline
\end{tabular} \& 100 \& \[
\begin{aligned}
\& 104.4 \\
\& \text { (GAZ) } \\
\& 109.8 \\
\& \text { (AG) }
\end{aligned}
\] \& \begin{tabular}{l}
one head on type crash. \\
Resulting in 1 fatal ash. \\
Attributed to speeding curve which is suitable for 40 km less than the posted speed. A line, the opposing truck driver attempted manoeune but shoulders. Curve provided CAM's are no positioned correctly obstructed by a tree.
\(\qquad\) The occurrence of one off carriageway on straight, hit object type crash. hospitalisation crash. Attributed to travelling along the shoulder headwall. and striking a culvert
\end{tabular} \&  \& F \& E

E \& 90.5

50.9 \& \[
100

\] \&  \& O \& F \& E \& | Install wide centre line treatment with 2.0 m sealed shoulders. Install TC1308_2 signage / curve warning signage, CAM's, RRPM's and guideposts. |
| :--- |
| Rehabilitate pavement anáprovide desirable croossfall. Install traversable culvert headwalls. centre and edge Install retro-reflective centre and edge linemarking. Install reflectors on barriers and remove hazards from within the clear zone. | \& | Risk of head on type crashes reduced. Consider a future upgrade with property resumptions to provide desirable geometry to further reduce risk. |
| :--- |
| Providing desirable crossfall will improve d/ainage, installation of traversable clifverts and iniproved delineation may reduce he risk carriageyvay on straight, hit object type crashes. | \& | 1 |
| :---: |
|  |
| 0 | \& F \& H

H \& 100 <br>
\hline
\end{tabular}



## 6 Conclusion

A speed limit review has been undertaken on Rosewood-Warrill View Road (305) Ch. 0.00 - 10.12 km in accordance with Part 4 of the MUTCD and the methodology developed by TMR (Metropolitan Region). Recommendations from this review are summarised below.

### 6.1 Recommended Treatments

The recommended treatments from the speed limit review undertaken on Rosewood-Warriir-View Road (305) between Ch. $0.00-10.12 \mathrm{~km}$ are both reactive and proactive, attempting to reduce the risk and likelihood of crashes to improve road safety using the safe systems approach

For speed zone section A , it is recommended that the existing school zone be maintained.
For speed zone section A , it is recommended that the existing $60 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ speed zoné be maintained as the existing section has an extreme crash risk rating, there are tight horizontal curves, non-traversable slopes and hazards within the clear zone. The road safety audit has recommended measures to further improve safety including providing traversable slopes, installation of traversable culvert headwalls, pavement rehabilitation, signage, linemarking and delineation.
For speed zone sections B, it is recommended that the existing $100 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ speed zone be maintained. The road safety audit has recommended measures to further improve safety.
It is strongly recommended that G9-79(C) signage with thugrescent target boards be provided on the approach to the change in posted speed change from $100 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ to $60 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ in accordance with MUTCD Part 4 Section 5.1.6 and pavement numerals be installed at the change in posted speed as shown in Figure 6.1.

It is strongly recommended that the existing R4-1 speed restriction signage along the corridor be replaced. Refer to Figure 6.1 and Table 6.1 for the recommended changes.

The ball bank tests show that new advisory speed signs are required for curves 1, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8 (in the gazettal direction), 9 (in the gazettal direction) and 10 . The road safety audit has recommended changes to the curve warning signage, adivisory speed signage, chevron alignment markers, guide posts and raised reflective pavement markers for compliance to current design standards. It is recommended that signage, guideposts and raised reflective pavement markers be installed to current design standards.

It is recommended that the existifing shoulders be widened to 2.0 m between the existing bridge over Western Creek at Ch0.65 and the existing bridge over the Bremer River at Ch2.30 to reduce the risk and severity of off carriagewoy type crashes.

It is recommended thaf a mide centreline treatment be installed between the existing bridge over the Bremer River at Ch2.30 to 150 m west of Blanch Road at.Ch9.50 to reduce the risk of head on type crashes, especially at the sub-standard horizontal curves.

It is recommended that this corridor be upgraded to provide desirable horizontal curve geometry and flood imnuritit.


Figure 6.1: Recommended Treatments

### 6.2 Sign Purchase Requiirements

The purchase list for the required signs based on the recommendations of the speed limit review are summarised in Table 6.1.
Table 6.1: $\quad$ Sign Purch ase Requirements

| Item No. | MUTCD NoC | Sign <br> Size | Description | Direction | Chainage | No. of Signs |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | R4-1 (60) | B | Speed Restriction | G | 0.20 | 1 |
| 2 | R4-1090) | B | Speed Restriction | G | 1.00 | 1 |
| 3 | R4-1 160 | C | Speed Restriction | AG | 1.00 | 1 |
| 4 | (a9979 (60) | D | Speed Limit AHEAD | AG | 1.30 | 1 |
| 5 | R.4-1 (100) | B | Speed Restriction | AG | 3.06 | 1 |
| 6 | R4-1 (100) | B | Speed Restriction | G | 3.99 | 1 |

### 6.3 Existing and Recommended Speed Zone Sections

The existing and recommended changes to the speed zone sections are summarised in Table 6.2.
Table 6.2: Recommended Speed Zone Sections

|  | Existing |  |  |  | Recommended |  |  |  | Changes |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Section | Chainage (km) | Speed (km/h) | Zone Length (km) | Length Complies | New Chainage (km) | Speed (km) | Zone Length (km) | Length Complies |  |
| A | 0.00-1.00 <br> (Ipswich- <br> Rosewood Road to 500m east of Reillys Road) | 60 | 1.00 | Yes | 0.00-1.00 <br> (Ipswich- <br> Rosewood Road to 500m east of Reillys Road) | 60 |  | Yes | Nil. |
| B | 1.00-10.12 <br> (500m east of Reillys Road to 780m west of Blanchs Road) | 100 | 9.12 | Yes | 1.00-10.12 <br> (500m east of Reillys Road to 780 m west of Blanchis/ Road' |  | $9.12$ | Yes | Nil. |

### 6.4 Existing Risk Rating Summary

The existing risk ratings for the each speed zone sections is shown in Figure 6.2. The existing risk rating of each segment should be considered when prioritising the schedule of works for the corridor.


Figure 6.2: Existing Risk Rating of Road Segments

### 6.5 Reviewing Officers Statement

This Speed Limit Review Report was prepared by TMR Metropolitan Region (Program Delivery \& Operations) and Hyder Consulting, using available information and observations. Every effort was made to ensure that all information included within this report and during the review process was correct and relevant. The review was completed using the methodology and templates supplied by the Department of Transport and Main Roads.

Name: $\quad$ Not Relevant
Position: Senior Road Safety Auditor

Signature: $\square$ Date: 13/02/2017

Name:
Position: Registered Professional Engineer of Queensland



