## Table 14 Summary of all potential impacts and recommendations at time of assessment (2007)

Degree of Potential Impact	Description of Impact	Legislation at Time of Assessment	Project Response	Comment
Minor/Moderate	Disturbance to Ramsar wetland.	EPBC Act	The self-assessment undertaken by Maunsell (now AECOM) determined that a referral to DSEWPC is required due to the potential impact on a MNES, this being the disturbance to Moreton Bay.	The project is considered by the DSEWPC to as such advised that the project is 'not a control of the second
Minor	Disturbance to migratory species (bar-tailed godwit, eastern curlew, Latham's snipe, rainbow bee-eater whimbrel, and white-bellied sea eagle).	EPBC Act	The self-assessment undertaken by Maunsell (now AECOM) determined that a referral to DSEWPC is not required.	A referral to DSEWPC is not required for this
Minor	Disturbance to listed threatened species (grey-headed flying fox, water mouse, frogbit).	EPBC Act	These threatened species are not expected to be impacted by the proposed corridor.	A referral to DSEWPC is not required for this
Major	Disturbance to listed threatened species (beach stone curlew, black necked stork, chestnut teal, freckled duck, green-thighed frog, grey goshawk, koala, little tern, painted snipe, sooty oystercatcher, and wallum froglet).	NC Act	Disturbance to these species habitat is expected to result in harm to these species, and therefore a 'take'.	A permit will be required from the EPA.
Major	Severe loss of core habitat of listed threatened species (koala). It is estimated that approximately 30 koalas could be displaced as a result of the corridor through the clearing of approximately 33.82 hectares.	NC Act	Significant areas of primary habitat will be cleared as a result of the proposed corridor. Potential offset opportunities to enhance and rehabilitate alternative habitats and corridors should be developed and potential options are illustrated in Figure 8.	Wherever possible avoidance of habitat will pr long term survival of koalas. Should this not b Figure 8 and discussed below should be rehal approximately ten years for any compensatory Further to this, it is unlikely that a similar carry achieved within this time frame even with a 5: over time, the carrying capacity will improve. the site to guide the future design of the corrid
Moderate	Disturbance to amphibian habitat.	NC Act	Determine the occurrence of threatened amphibian species during and following significant rainfall events during both winter and summer period.	It may be possible, between formal approvals establish appropriate compensatory areas to r habitat is however the best mitigative measure the locality.
Minor	Removal of marine plants - approximately 2.8 hectares of marine plants will be removed for construction of the proposed corridor.	Fisheries Act	Identify suitable compensatory planting opportunities.	A permit will be required from the DPIF. This species disturbed.
Minor	Disturbance to Fish Habitat Area.	Fisheries Act	Sedimentation and erosion controls measures must be implemented prior to, during and following construction to ensure minimal impacts upon fish habitat areas.	Appropriate management controls for sedimer ensure limited impacts during and following co
Moderate	Loss and/or disturbance to remnant vegetation.	VM Act	Identify suitable compensatory planting opportunities.	It may be possible, between formal approvals establish appropriate compensatory areas to r disturbed areas.
Minor	Spread of national environmentally significant weed (Lantana camara).	Land Protection (Pest and Stock Route Management) Act	A number of significant weed species have been recorded within the study area.	Appropriate management controls for weed m and following construction activities. These ap within a Weed Management Plan for the corric
Moderate	Fragmentation of wildlife corridor	VM Act	Detailed design must consider appropriate structures to ensure existing corridors are not impacted.	Freshwater Creek has been identified by the I significant fauna corridor. Phase 3 koala habi additional fauna crossing point. A Landscape mitigation measures to either avoid or eliminat

to not have a significant impact on these matters and ontrolled action'.

nis issue.

nis matter.

I provide the greatest mitigative measure to ensure the ot be possible, the compensatory areas identified in whabilitated/revegetated as a priority. It will take tory area to provide any habitat value for koala. arrying capacity to that of the existing vegetation can be a 5:1 replacement area. However, it is anticipated that e. A Koala Management Plan should be developed for rridor.

als and actual construction activities to determine and to mitigate impacts upon amphibians. Avoidance of sure to ensure the long term survival of these species in

his is also likely to involve compensatory planting of

nentation and erosion should be implemented to construction.

als and actual construction activities to determine and to mitigate habitat loss and promote rehabilitation of

I management must be implemented prior to, during e appropriate control techniques should be detailed prridor.

e DTMR and Moreton Bay Regional Council as a abitat assessment has identified Saltwater Creek as an ape Management Plan should be prepared to detail the inate impacts upon these significant corridors.