



Drawing Number	Revision	Series Number	Drawing Description
786484	A	DI-1	LOCALITY PLAN, KEY MAP AND DRAWING INDEX
786485	A	TC-1	TYPE CROSS SECTIONS
786486	A	EF_CL-1	EXISTING FEATURES AND SERVICES / CONTROL LINE SETOU
786487	A	EF_CL-2	EXISTING FEATURES AND SERVICES / CONTROL LINE SETOU
786488	A	CD-1	CONSTRUCTION AND PAVEMENT DETAILS SHEET 1
786489	A	DD-1	DRAINAGE DETAILS
786490	A	SL-1	SIGNS AND LINEMARKING SHEET 1
786491	A	SL-2	SIGNS AND LINEMARKING SHEET 2
786492	A	XS-1	ANNOTATED CROSS SECTIONS SHEET 1
786493	A	XS-2	ANNOTATED CROSS SECTIONS SHEET 2
786494	A	XS-3	ANNOTATED CROSS SECTIONS SHEET 3
786495	A	XS-4	ANNOTATED CROSS SECTIONS SHEET 4
786496	A	XS-5	ANNOTATED CROSS SECTIONS SHEET 5
786497	A	XS-6	ANNOTATED CROSS SECTIONS SHEET 6
786498	A	XS-7	ANNOTATED CROSS SECTIONS SHEET 7
786499	A	XS-8	ANNOTATED CROSS SECTIONS SHEET 8
786500	A	XS-9	ANNOTATED CROSS SECTIONS SHEET 9

	Povicion	Serie
Number	Nevision	Numb
706969	В	IT-1
706970	В	IT-2
706975	A	TS-1
706974	A	TS-2
SIGNED: 6 Organisation SCHEME I hereby c	SCOPE AND	FINANCIA s scheme

G				Associated Job Nos	S	urvey Data	Scales		CITY	OF GOLD	COAST			EXIT	49 NORTH
E		-			Datum	GDA	and the second second	PAC	CIFIC HIGHW	AY (PACIFIC	MOTORWAY	12A)	LO	CALITY F	LAN, KEY MA
D				Auxiliary Drg Nos	Horiz. Grid	MGA94 Z56	0 10 20 30 40m	CTL CHGE	3	1100 - 319	900				
C					Height		1		R	eference Points			Drawn		ENGINEER
B			-		Origin	AHD	the second se	Preceding	Dist. to start	From start to	From end to	Following	C.WOJCIK	ENG. AREA	NAME
A Issued For Construction					Survey		Dimensions, shown in metres	RP	of job (km)	end of job	Following RP	RP	Designed	CIVIL	A. O'SHEA
Revisions/Descriptions	Certification	Date	Microfiled		Books	MR100/20	except where shown otherwise	12A/10R	0.478	0.8	7.503	12A/11Q	Designed		
CAD FILES G \NERD\ROAD PROJECTS\12A\230_12A_4131	159 - Exit 49\Design\Acad	Current\01	DI-1.dwg	-				Through Chaina	ige from start of	gazettal 31.1km -	31.9km		S.READ		

786484

DI-1 of

Series Number





TIT

150 124 2

## SERVICE CONFLICTS AND ACTIONS EIR)

ard	4 my
for	TIR
rvey	(2C) (72C)
as a	TZR

Electrical Existing pit to be removed. Refer Drg No 706969 Communications Existing pit to be removed. Refer Drg No 706974 Electrical Communications Possible conflict with existing road crossing, pavement construction and culvert demolition 1xP50 TMR Remove conduit and pit (TP2A) Test Pit Label

# SURVEY LEGEND

$\triangle$	Instrument Station
0	Survey Offset/Recovery Peg
•	Permanent Survey Mark
\$	Cadastral Survey Mark
0	Chainage peg
8	Datum peg
0	Test Pit (TP)

## ---- Additional Survey Boundary

Survey included for information only. Use of survey data to be agreed by Administrator.

BOUND OFF RAMP VICES/CONTROL L 1 OF 2	LINE SE	TOUT		<b>Queensl</b> a Governm	<b>and</b> ent
G CERTIFICATION (RPEQ)			Job No.	413159	
SIGNATURE	NO.	DATE	Contract. No.	CN-347	6
	(8418	3/9/18	Drawing No.	786486	A
1		1.10	Series Number	EF-1 of	2
	11/			MRR_Detail (	02/14)

140

160



020771.010	0322001.012	20.440	144 00 02.04									01000			0.000
							PM130	485 5	528447.5	58	692258	7.004	28.98	33	0.999
C	ONTROL LINI	E MCD1					PM191	576 5	528654.8	858	692232	7.303	19.28	4	0.999
EASTING	NORTHING	HEIGHT	BEARING	RAD/	SPIRAL		PM113	167 5	528416,6	573	692247	2.711	32.29	4	0.999
528479.894	6922548.334	27.582	67'45'35.59"	_											
528483.461	6922549.792	27.534	1	R =	15.000							AL IG	NMENT	MCC	1
528487.288	6922549.351	27.486	96*34'16.37"			Г		1 Secondard							
528504.697	6922547.346	26.999	96'34'16.37"				PT	CHAINA	AGE	EAS	TING	NORT	HING	HEIC	GHT
528508.806	6922546.872	26.867		R =	40.000		IP 1	0.00	0	52846	59.505	692255	50.487	27.8	846
528512.732	6922545.568	26.734	108'22'49.68"				IP 2	6.48	7	52847	72.939	692254	44.929	27.	743
528526.005	6922541.158	26.284	108'22'49.68"				IP 3	12.97	74	52847	74.638	692253	38.620	27.6	670
			Associated Job Nos	S	urvey Data	_	Scales				1	CIT	Y OF	GOL	D CO
				Datum	GDA	Q	2 4 6	8 10m	1	F	ACIFIC	HIGH	WAY (	PACIF	TC MC
			Auxiliary Drg Nos	Horiz. Grid	MGA94 Z56	-			CTL	. CH	GE		3110	0 -	31900
				Height	AHD								Refere	nce Poi	nts
										1.6			-		

Origin

Certification Date Microfile

Survey

MR100720

TV WIL	EASTING	NORTHING	HEIOTH	FACTOR	COMMENTS
PM158595	528278.819	6922570.363	17.327	0.99960073	MINI MARK
PM130485	528447.558	6922587.004	28.983	0.99959902	STAR PICKET
PM191576	528654.858	6922327.303	19.284	0.99960069	MINI MARK
PM113167	528416,673	6922472.711	32.294	0.99959848	HILTI NAIL IN MANHOLE

ALIGNME	NT MCC

PT	CHAINAGE	EASTING	NORTHING	HEIGHT	BEARING	RAD/SPIRAL
IP 1	0.000	528469.505	6922550.487	27.846	148'17'43.49"	
IP 2	6.487	528472.939	6922544.929	27.743	1	R = 44.698
IP 3	12.974	528474.638	6922538.620	27.670	164'55'35.37"	

Dist. to start of job (km)

0.478

hrough Chainage from Start of Gazettal 31.1km - 31.

Preceding RP

12A/10R

Dimensions shown in metres except where shown otherwis

CITY OF GOLD COAST HIGHWAY (PACIFIC MOTORWAY 12A) 31100 - 31900	EXISTING	EXIT FEATUR	49 NORTH B ES AND SERV SHEET 2	OUND OFF RAM ICES/CONTROL	1P Line Se	TOUT		<b>Queensland</b> Government
Reference Points	Drawn		ENGINEERING	CERTIFICATION (RPED)			Job No.	413159
to start From start to From end to Following	C WOJCIK	ENG. AREA	NAME		NO.	DATE.	Contract. No.	CN-3476
ob (km) end of job Following RP RP	Designed	CIVIL	A. O'SHEA		(8498	3/9/18	Drawing No.	786487 A
.478 0.8 7.503 12A/11Q	COSAD						Series Number	EF-2 of 2
Start of Gazettal 31.1km - 31.9km	S.KEAU							MRR_Detail (02/14)

NAME

STN9000

STN9001

STN9002

STN9003

STN9004

STN9005

PT

IP 1

IP 2

CT

TC

IP 3

CT

IP 4

A Issued For Construction

CHAINAGE

0.000

3.771

7.543

25.066

29.188

33.311

47.297

Revisions/Descriptions

CAD FILES G \NERD\ROAD PROJECTS\12A\230\_12A\_413159 - Exit 49\Design\Acod\Current\0.

## CONTROL LINE MCA4

EASTING	NORTHING	HEIGHT	BEARING	RAD/SPIRAL
528743.619	6922449.914	19.018	294'23'57.30"	
528708.957	6922465.636	19.631		R = -1513.232
528673.549	6922479.596	20.183	291*31'03.59"	
528628.854	6922497.219	21.028	291*31'03.59"	1
528600.154	6922508.534	21.889		R = -320.000
528569.822	6922514.158	23.164	280'30'16.57"	
528551.050	6922517.639	24.156		R = 71.680
528536.496	6922529.996	25.348	310'20'02.44"	
528490.775	6922568.817	27.241		R = -47.500
528463.463	6922515.417	27.354	207*05'17.72"	
528451.891	6922492.792	27.177		R = 30.063
528427.655	6922500.436	27.084	287'30'14.92"	1.2
528403.420	6922508.079	27.209		R = 30.063
528406.921	6922533.249	27.397	7*55'12.13"	

# PRIMARY INSTRUMENT STATIONS

COORE	DINATES	UEIQUE	COMBINED SCALE	CONVENTO	
EASTING	NORTHING	FACTOR	COMMENTS		
528394.818	6922527.866	27.381	0.99959923	SCREW	
528498.422	6922545.612	27.529	0.99959928	SCREW	
528564.343	6922513.371	23.445	0.99959997	SCREW	
528635.971	6922496.778	20.777	0.99960044	SCREW	
528696.257	6922471.157	19.858	0.99960063	NAIL	
528686.524	6922416.121	15.753	0.99960127	NAIL	



	ND OFF RAMP VEMENT DETAI OF 1	LS			<b>Queensl</b> a Governm	and ent
CER	TIFICATION (RPEQ)			Job No.	413159	
	SIGMATURE	NO.	DATE .	Contract. No.	CN-3476	5
		18419	3/9/1	Drawing No.	786488	A
			1.1.	Series Number	CD-1 of	1
					MRR_Detail (	02/14)



OUND OFF RAMP DETAILS 1 OF 1				<b>Queensl</b> a Governm	and ent
NG CERTIFICATION (RPEQ)	-		Job No.	413159	1
CICNIAT	NO.	DATE .	Contract. No.	CN-3476	5
	18498	3/9/15	Drawing No.	786489	A
1			Series Number	DD-1 of	1
			-	MRR_Detail (	02/14)



hrough Cho

age from Start of

Gazettal (M1)

31 9km



	A	ALIGNMENT	MCY1			
PT	CHAINAGE	EASTING	NORTHING	RADIUS		
S	0.000	528651.713	6922483.906			
TC	7.945	528644.322	6922486.820	-315.000		
СТ	62.059	528592.526	6922502.257			
TC	90.153	528565.013	6922507.942	78.905		
00	128.153	528531.037	6922524.126	-39.000		
CC	197.700	528471.633	6922511.696	39.112		
E	289.729	528399.634	6922517.366			

OUN LINE 2 o	ID OFF RAMP MARKING f 2				<b>Queensl</b> Governm	and rent
IG CER	TIFICATION (PEO)			Job No.	413159	)
I	CIOLIATI IDD	NO.	DATE	Contract. No.	CN-347	6
		18411	3/9/1	Drawing No.	786491	A
				Series Number	SL-2 of	2
					MOD Datail	(02/14)

5		Associated Job Nos	s Sur Datum	rvey Data GDA	Scales	DAG	CITY	OF GOLD	COAST	104)		EXIT 4	19 NORTH B	OUND OFF RAM	P	<b>彩彩</b> の	Oueensland
E	_	Auxiliary Drg Nos	Horiz. Grid	MGA94 Z56	0 10 20 30 40m	Om CTL CHGE	JFIC HIGHWA	1100 - 319	000	TZA)		AND	SHEET 1 OF	J CL MCA4		CREAT	Government
6			Height		1	Reference Points			Drawn ENGINEERING CERTIFICATION (RPEQ)				Job No. 413159	413159			
В	-		Origin	AHD	and the second second second	Preceding	Dist. to start	From start to	From end to	Following	C.WOJCIK	ENG. AREA	NAME	CONTINC	NO. DATE	Contract. No.	CN-3476
A Issued For Construction			Survey	10100700	Dimensions shown in metres	- RP	of job (km)	end of job	Following RP	RP	Decianad	CIVIL A.	O'SHEA		18418 3/9/	B Drawing No.	786492 A
Revisions/Descriptions Certification Da	ite Microfile	d	Books	MR100720	except where shown otherwise	12A/10R	0.478	0.8	7.503	12A/11Q	Designed			0		Series Number	XS-1 of 9
CAD FILES G\NERD\ROAD PROJECTS\12A\230_12A_413159 - Exit 49\Design\Acad\Current	1\07_XS-1.dwg					Through Chaina	ige from start of a	azettal 31.1km -	31.9km		S.READ						MRR Detail (02/14)

CHAINAGE	76.105
----------	--------

CONTROL LINE MCA4 X = 528673.549 Y = 6922479.596 Z = 20.183	Exist. Electricity Underground Exist. Solety Fence		2.72%	CONTROL LINE MCA4		
Datum 18.00						
DESIGN HEIGHT	20.032	20.032	20.095	20.183	20.205	20.205
SUBGRADE HEIGHT						
EXISTING SURFACE	20.032	20.032	20.095	20.183	20.205	20.205
OFFSETS	-6.368	-6.368	-4.051	000.0	7.957	2.957

CONTROL LINE MCA4 X = 528660.623 Y = 6922484.693 Z = 20.392	Exist. Electricity Underground Exist. Splety Fence		-2.40%	CONTROL LINE MC44	1.74%		
Datum 18.00		-			_	-	
DESIGN HEIGHT	20.251	20.251	20.310	20.392	20.412	20.412	
SUBGRADE HEIGHT							
EXISTING SURFACE	20.251	20.251	20.310	20.392	20.412	20.412	
OFFSETS	-6.437	-6.437	-4.000	0.000	1.176	1.176	
	CI	HAI	NAGE S	90.000			

CONTROL LINE MCA4 X = 528642.017 Y = 6922492.029 Z = 20.737	Exist. Electricity Underground Exist. Electricity Underground Exist. Safety Fence	
Datum 18.00		-
DESIGN HEIGHT	20.593	20.593
SUBGRADE HEIGHT		
EXISTING SURFACE	20.593	20.593
OFFSETS	-6.720	-6.720

CHAINAGE 80.000

CONTROL LINE MCA4 X = 528669.926 Y = 6922481.025 Z = 20.243 Dotum 18.00	Exist Electricity Undergraun		-2.65%	-2.15%	CONTROL LINE MCA4		
	46	34	25		13	53	33
DESIGN HEIGHT	20.05	20.02	20.15		20.24	20.24	20.24
SUBGRADE HEIGHT							
EXISTING SURFACE	.094	.094	.157		.243	.263	.263
1.6. 6. 6. 6. 6.	20	20	20		20	20	20
OFFSETS	-6.375	-6.375	-4.010		0.000	0.955	0.955

CONTROL LINE MCA4 X = 528651.320 Y = 6922488.361 Z = 20.553	Exist Electricity Underground	Exist, Safety Fence		-2.16%	-2.09%	CONTROL LINE MCA4	1.86%		
Datum 18.00			-			-			
DESIGN HEIGHT		20.414	20.414	20.469	1	20.553	20.582	20.582	
SUBGRADE HEIGHT									
EXISTING SURFACE		20.414	20.414	20.469		20.553	20.582	20.582	
OFFSETS		-6.551	-6.551	-4.000		0.000	1.559	1.559	
		C	HA	INAGE	100.000				

CONTROL LINE MCA4 X = $528632.714$ Y = $6922495.697$ Z = $20.931$	Exist.	
DESIGN HEIGHT	20.794	20.794
SUBGRADE HEIGHT		
EXISTING SURFACE	20.794	20.794

OFFSETS



CHAINAGE 120.000



CHAINAGE 110.000

G		1	Associated Job No	os S	iurvey Data	Scales	1	CITY	OF GOLD	COAST			EXIT 49	NORTH BO	OUND OFF RAMP		******	2-0712
F				Datum	GDA		PAC	IFIC HIGHW	Y (PACIFIC	MOTORWAY	12A)		ANNO	TATED CRC	DSS SECTIONS			Queenslan
D			Auxiliary Drg No	s Horiz. Grid	MGA94 Z56	0 10 20 30 40m	CTL CHGE	3	1100 - 319	900			SHE	ET 2 OF	9 CL MCA4		Contraction of the second	Governmen
C		-		Height	1110			R	eference Points	and see the second		Drawn		ENGINEERING	CERTIFICATION (RPEQ)	and the second sec	Job No.	413159
В				Origin	AHD		Preceding	Dist. to start	From start to	From end to	Following	C.WOJCIK	ENG. AREA	NAME		NO. DATE	Contract. No.	CN-3476
A Issued For Construction		1		Survey	100100700	Dimensions shown in metres	- RP	of job (km)	end of job	Following RP	RP	Designed	CIVIL A. O'S	IHEA		18498 3 9/1	Drawing No.	786493
Revisions/Descriptions	Certification Date	Microfiled		Books	MR100720	except where shown otherwise	12A/10R	0.478	0.8	7.503	12A/11Q	Designed					Series Number	XS-2 of 9
CAD FILES G\NERD\ROAD PROJECTS\12A\230_12A_4131	59 - Exit 49\Design\Acad\Current\07	_XS-1.dwg					Through Chaing	ae from start of	nozettal 31 1km -	31 9km		S.READ						MPP Detail (02/1

CHAINAGE	124.150



CONTROL LINE MCA4 X = 528613.970 Y = 6922502.665 Z = 21.433	Exist: Electricity Underground Exist: Electricity Underground Exist: Safety Fance	1	2.20%	-1.31%	CONTROL LINE MCA4	0 80%	
Datum 20.00		-		_			
DESIGN HEIGHT	21.308	21.308	21.356	21.377	21.433	21.441	21.311
SUBGRADE HEIGHT				21.377	21.053	21.061	21.311
EXISTING SURFACE	21.308	21.308	21.356	21.377	21.434	21.370	21.311
OFFSETS	-7.588	-7.588	-5.431	-3.789	000	000.	.648

LIAINIANE 170 000	000	170	VACE	1111

CONTROL LINE MCA4 X = 528623.392 Y = 6922499.315 Z = 21 173	Exist. Electricity Underground Exist. Electricity Underground Exist. Electricity Underground		-2.05%		CONTROL LINE MCA4	1.29%	
Datum 18.00							
DESIGN HEIGHT	21.032	21.032	21.082	21.101	21.173	21.191	21.191
SUBGRADE HEIGHT							
EXISTING SURFACE	21.032	21.032	21.082	21.101	21.173	21.191	21.191
OFFSETS	-7.263	-7.263	-4.861	-3.900	0.000	1.376	1.376

CONTROL LINE MCA4 X = 528605.405 Y = 6922505.427 Z = 21.690 Detum 20.00	Exist. Electricity Undergroun Exist. Electricity Undergroun Exist. Status Gene	L L L L L L L L L L L L L L L L L L L	-1.52%	-1.20%	CONTROL - 1752	1.27%		
DESIGN HEIGHT	20	202	c80 616	343	063	202	702	27
DESIGN HEIGHT	4 4 6	11.12	21.5	21.6	21.6	21.7	21.7	21.6
SUBGRADE HEIGHT				21.643	21.310	21.322	21.322	21.627
EXISTING SURFACE	1 605	CQC.12	21.616	21.643	21.736	21.645	21.645	21.627
OFFSETS	2005	COK.1-	-5.943	-3.689	0000	1.000	1.000	1.376

CHAINAGE 149.000

×	
- 6	
J.dw	
CC2_	
S_M	
ction	
s Se	
Cros	
×	
6 Mp	
4 7.	
MCA	
Sug	
Sect	
055	
x_Cr	
5	
6.dv	
CA4	
15_M	
ction	
s Se	
Cros	
×	
Бмр	
4_5.	
MCA	
suoi	
Sect	
ross	
X_C	
5	
4.dv	
CA4	
N. St	
ection	
S. S.	
Cros	
×	
6MD.	
4 3	
MCH	
suor	
Sect	
ross	
XC	
5	
2.dv	
ICA4	
N_ Sn	
ection	
SS S	
Cro	
×	
6mp.	
44_1	
MC	1
tions	
Sec	
ross	
x_C	
1.	
REFS	
×	
2pm	
21:1	F
1	ŀ
201	ŀ
03,	t
Sep	t
h.	
fified	t
A0C	f

G F	Associated Job Nos Survey Data Scales					PAC		OF GOLD	COAST	124)	-	EXIT 49 NORTH E	OUND OFF RAMP	1	NAR IN	Queensland		
	_			Auxiliary Drg Nos	s Horiz. Grid	MGA94 Z56	0 10 20 30 40m	CTL CHGE	3:	1100 - 319	000			SHEET 3 OF	9 CL MCA4		(SER)	Government
·					Height	4110			Re	eference Points			Drawn	ENGINEERIN	G CERTIFICATION (RPEQ)	-	JOD NO.	413139
3				(	Origin	AHU		Preceding	Dist. to start	From start to	From end to	Following	C.WOJCIK	ENG. AREA NAME	A CIONIAN IDE	NO. DAT	E Contract. No.	CN-34/6
A Issued For Construction				1.0	Survey		Dimensions shown is matree	RP	of job (km)	end of job	Following RP	RP	Designed	CIVIL A. O'SHEA		18498 3/9	Drawing No.	786494 A
Revisions/Descriptions	Certification	Date	Microfiled	5 I	Books	MR100/20	except where shown otherwise	12A/10R	0.478	0.8	7.503	12A/11Q	Designed			1	Series Number	XS-3 of 9
AD FILES G \NERD\ROAD PROJECTS\12A\230_12A_413159	- Exit 49\Design\Acad\	Current\07_	XS-1.dwg		1. A. (1997)			Through Chaina	ge from start of g	azettal 31.1km -	31.9km		S.REAU					MRR Detail (02/14)

Exist.

CHAINAGE	150.000

CONTROL LINE MCA4 X = 528604.448 Y = 6922505.719 Z = 21.719 Datum 20.00	Exist. Electricity Underground Exist. Electricity Underground Exist. Electricity Underground		-1.43%	-1.21%	CONTROL LINE MCA4	1.27%		
DESIGN HEIGHT	313 10	21.010	21.645	21.673	21.719	21.732	21.733	21.651
SUBGRADE HEIGHT				21.673	21.339	21.352	21.353	21.651
EXISTING SURFACE	1010 10	21.010	21.645	21.673	21.722	21.674	21.671	21.651
OFFSETS	1007	-7 007	-6.000	-3.678	0.000	1.000	1.067	1.473

X = 528590.967	<u>6</u> 4	-0	.97%	-1.41%	-1.38%	1.3	3%	-	2.00%	in	-5
T = 6922509.492 Z = 22.241 Datum 20.00								(			
DESIGN HEIGHT	22.128	22.128	22.147	22.193	22.241	22.255	22.269	22.394	22.394	22.5/4	22.163
SUBGRADE HEIGHT				22.193	21.861	21.875	21.889	21.892	21.896		
EXISTING SURFACE	22.128	22.128	22.147	22.193	22.232	22.273	22.260	22.252	22.239	22.214	22.163
OFFSETS	-8.711	-8.711	-6.777	-3.522	0.000	1.000	2.000	2.190	2.490	3.490	4.546
			(	CHAINAGE	164.000						

CHAINAGE 170.0	00
----------------	----

MCA4 TINE CONTROL

CONTROL LINE MCA4 X = 528594.836	Exist. Electricity Underground Exist, Sofety Fence	-1.	19%	-1.27%	CONTROL LINE MCA4	1.23%	
Z = 22.080 Datum 20.00							
DESIGN HEIGHT	21.976	21.976	21.998	22.036	22.080	22.092	22.049
SUBGRADE HEIGHT				22.036	21.700	21.712	22.049
EXISTING SURFACE	21.976	21.976	21.998	22.036	22.080	22.098	22.049
OFFSETS	-8.455	-8.455	-6.568	-3.567	0.000	1.000	2,190

CHAINAGE 160.000

X = 528585.142		-1	.52%	-1.71%		1.71%	~	-2.0	0%	-5.4	0%	
Y = 6922510.928 Z = 22.506 Datum 20.00								24				
DESIGN HEIGHT	22.352	22.352	22.386	22.446	22.506	22.523	22.530	22.655	22.655	22.635	22.526	
SUBGRADE HEIGHT				22.446	22.126	22.143	22.150	22.153	22.159			
EXISTING SURFACE	22.352	22.352	22.386	22.446	22.468	22.482	22.488	22.491	22.495	22.551	22.526	
OFFSETS	-9.246	-9.246	-7.000	-3.500	0.000	1.000	1.400	1.590	1.890	2.890	4.908	- 4

CONTROL LINE MCA4

-1.23%	1.23% CONIDO LINE WOVE			CONTROL LINE MCA4 X = 528585.142 Y = 6922510.928 Z = 22.506 Datum 20.00	Exist. Electricity Underground		1.52%	-1.71; E.,	
	22.080	22.092	22.101	22.049	DESIGN HEIGHT	22.352	22.352	22.386	22.446
	21.700	21.712	21.721	22.049	SUBGRADE HEIGHT				22.446
	22.080	22.098	22.068	22.049	EXISTING SURFACE	22.352	22.352	22.386	22.446

CONTROL LINE MCA4 X = 528590.967 Y = 6922509.492 Z = 22.241

K_Cross	
. pwb.	
MCC2_1	
ections.	
Cross S	
-X : 6#	
244_7.d	
tions_M(	
oss Sec	
: X_Cr	
f_6.dwg	
ns_MCA	
s Sectio	
X_Cros:	
5.dwg	
MCA4	
Sections	
Cross	
X . ęwb	
MCA4_4.	
ections.	
Cross Se	
∩X : 6∧	
044_3.d	
tions_M(	
oss Sec	
: X_Cr	
4_2.dwg	
ons_MCA	
is Sectio	
X_Cros	
1.dwg	
s_MCA4	
Section:	
Cross	
(-: S4	Î
XRE	
1:13pm	0
2018 -	F
ep 03,	C

ost

G F		As	sociated Job Nos	Surv Datum	rey Data GDA	Scales	PAC	CITY	OF GOLD AY (PACIFIC	COAST MOTORWAY	12A)		EXIT 4 ANN	49 NORTH B NOTATED CR	OUND OFF RAMI	D		Queenslan
D		A	uxiliary Drg Nos	Horiz. Grid	WGA94 Z56	0 10 20 30 40m	CTL CHGE	· 3	1100 - 315	900		Drown	S	HEET 4 OF	9 CL MCA4		Job No.	413159
B A Issued For Construction				Origin	AHD		Preceding	Dist. to start of job (km)	From start to end of job	From end to Following RP	Following RP	C.WOJCIK	ENG. AREA	NAME O'SHEA		NO. DATE	Contract. No.	CN-3476
Revisions/Descriptions	Certification Date	Microfiled		Books	MR100720	Dimensions shown in metres except where shown otherwise	12A/10R	0.478	0.8	7.503	12A/11Q	Designed	Sinc N	o anter	1		Series Number	XS-4 of 9
CAD FILES G \NERD\ROAD PROJECTS\12A\230_12A_413	159 - Exit 49\Design\Acad\Current\C	)7_XS-1.dwg		1.1.1.1.1			Through Chaina	ge from start of a	gazettal 31.1km -	31.9km		S.REAU					1	MRR_Detail (02/

CONTROL LINE MCA4 X = 528575.376 Y = 6922513.077 Z = 22.921 Datum 22.00	Exist. Dectricity Underground	-2.01%	-1.52%	CONTROL LINE MCA4	,50%	-2.009		2.40%	0.00%		
DESIGN HEIGHT	22.758 22.758	22.813	22.868	22.921	22.936	23.061	23.061	22.981	22.981	23.121 23.121	
SUBGRADE HEIGHT			22.868	22.541	22.556	22.559	22.563				
EXISTING SURFACE	22.758	22.813	22.868	22.862	22.874	22.876	22.882	22.960	22.987	23.121 23.121	
OFFSETS	-9.882	-7.126	-3.500	0.000	1.000	1.190	1.490	4.990	5.990	6.758 6.758	

CHAINAGE 180.000

CONTROL LINE MCA4 X = 528565.579 Y = 6922515.078 Z = 23.366	Exist. Electricity Underground Exist. Safety Fence	-1.35%	-1.16%	CONTROL LINE MCA4	1 16%	-2.0	07	-0.7	7%	~		4 59%		
Datum 22.00						-		_					-	
DESIGN HEIGHT	23.244 23.244	23.283	23.325	23.366	23.378	23.503	23.503	23.483	23.463	23.363	23.463		23.627 23.627	
SUBGRADE HEIGHT			23.325	22.986	22.998	22.998	23.003							
EXISTING SURFACE	23.244 23.244	23.283	23.325	23.238	23.260	23.260	23.273	23.299	23.363	23.380	23.397		23.627 23.627	
OFFSETS	10.064	-7.100	-3.555	000	000.	000	.490	.490	000	500	000		1.562 1.562	1.612

HAINAGE	185.658

CONTROL LINE MCA4 X = 528569.822 Y = 6922514.158 Z = 23.164 Datum 22.00	Exist. Electricity Underground Exist. Safety Fence	95%	-1.42%	CONTROL LINE MCA4	.42%	Sost Droinage Line		2 29%		
DESIGN HEIGHT	23.007 23.007	23.064	23.115	23.164	23.179	23.304	23.284	23.422	23.422	
SUBGRADE HEIGHT			23.115	22.784	22.799	22.801	000.22			
EXISTING SURFACE	23.007	23.064	23.115	23.074	23.083	23.086	23.110	23.422	23.422	
OFFSETS	-10.027	-7.062	-3.500	0.000	1.000	1.190	2.490	8.536	8.536	

CHAINIACE	185 658
UTAINAGE	100.000

2.1		
CHAINAGE	200.000	

CONTROL LINE MCA4 X = 528556.075 Y = 6922518.161 Z = 23.906 Datum 22.00	Exist Electricity Underground	71%	-1.06%	1.06% -2.00% -3.19% 3.05%	
DESIGN HEIGHT	23.784 23.784	23.828	23.867	23.906 23.917 24.042 24.042 24.093 24.093 24.093 24.093	
SUBGRADE HEIGHT			23.867	23.526 23.537 23.537 23.542 23.542	
EXISTING SURFACE	23.784 23.784	23.828	23.867	23.909 23.784 23.784 23.768 23.765 23.842 23.861 23.861 23.861 23.861 23.861 23.861 23.861 23.861 23.861 23.861 23.861	
OFFSETS	-9.934 -9.934	-7.379	-3.693	0.000 1.000 1.190 1.190 2.490 5.500 6.000 6.000 10.967	

ed For Construction Revisions/Descripti	ns Certification	Date Microf	Auxiliary Drg Nos	Datum Horiz. Grid Height Origin Survey Books	GDA MGA94 Z56 AHD MR100720	0 10 20 30 40m Dimensions shown in metres except where shown otherwise	PACIFIC HIGHWA CTL CHGE 3 Preceding Dist. to start RP of job (km) 12A/10R 0.478	AY         (PACIFIC         MOTORWAY           1100         -         31900           efference         Points         From start to end of job         From end to Following RP           0.8         7.503	12A) Following RP 12A/11Q	Drawn C.WOJCIK Designed S.RFAD		49 NORTH E NOTATED CR SHEET 5 OF ENGINEERIN NAME A. O'SHEA
ed For Construction			Auxiliary Drg Nos	Datum Horiz. Grid Height Origin Survey	GDA MGA94 Z56 AHD	0 10 20 30 40m	PACIFIC HIGHWA CTL CHGE 3 Preceding Dist. to stort RP of job (km)	AY         (PACIFIC         MOTORWAY           1100         -         31900           eference         Points         From start to end of job         From end to Following RP	12A) Following RP	Drawn C.WOJCIK Designed	EXII Al ENG. AREA CIVIL	49 NORTH E NOTATED CR SHEET 5 OF ENGINEERIN NAME A. O'SHEA
			Auxiliary Drg Nos	Datum 5 Horiz. Grid Height Origin	GDA MGA94 Z56 AHD	0 10 20 30 40m	PACIFIC HIGHWA	Y (PACIFIC MOTORWAY 1100 – 31900 eference Points From start to From end to	12A) Following	Drawn C.WOJCIK	EXII At ENG. AREA	49 NORTH E NOTATED CR SHEET 5 OF ENGINEERIN NAME
			Auxiliary Drg Nos	Datum Horiz. Grid Height	GDA MGA94 Z56	0 10 20 30 40m	PACIFIC HIGHWA	Y (PACIFIC MOTORWAY 1100 - 31900 eference Points	12A)	Drawn		49 NORTH E NOTATED CR SHEET 5 OF
			Auxiliary Drg Nos	Datum s Horiz.	GDA MGA94 Z56	0 10 20 30 40m	PACIFIC HIGHWA	$\frac{100}{100} - \frac{31900}{31900}$	12A)		EXII Al	49 NORTH E NOTATED CR SHEET 5 OF
				Datum	GDA		PACIFIC HIGHWA	Y (PACIFIC MOTORWAY	12A)		EXII AN	49 NORTH E INOTATED CR
					001		CIT	OF GOLD COAST		1	EXII	49 NORTH B
			Associated Job No:	s Su	rvey Data	Scales	CITY	OF COLD COAST				AT AT A REAL PROPERTY OF A DES
			CHAINAGE	210.0	000		1			1	CHAIN	'AGE 222.976
OFFSETS	-10.76 -10.76	-7.655	-3.830	0.000	1.000 1.000 1.190 1.490 2.490	5.000 5.500 6.000	11.159 11.159	OFFSETS	-9.179	-8.000	-4.000	0.000 1.000 1.000
EXISTING SURFACE	424.350 424.350	24.414	24.453	24.498	24.361 24.352 24.352 24.354 24.358	24.376 24.381 24.386 24.386	24.574	EXISTING SURFACE	25.201	25.238	25.293	25.150 25.144 25.144
			24.45.	24.113	24.123 24.123 24.125 24.128 24.128			SUBGRADE HEIGHT			25.293	24.968 24.982 24.982

CONTROL LINE MCA4 X = 528547.092 Y = 6922522.536 Z = 24.493	Erial. Electricity Underground	15%	-1.03%	CONTROL LINE MCA4	1.03%	-2.00	)% -5.	28%		1.91%		
Datum 22.00	- k				-						_	
DESIGN HEIGHT	24,350 24,350	24.414	24.453	24.493	24.503	24.628	24.628 24.608	24.476	24.376 24.476		24.574	24.574
SUBGRADE HEIGHT			24.453	24.113	24.123	24.125	24.128					
EXISTING SURFACE	24.350 24.350	24.414	24.453	24.498	24.381	24.352	24.358	24.376	24.381 24.386		24.574	24.574
OFFSETS	-10.764	-7.655	-3.830	000	000	000.	.490	000	.000		1.159	1.159

CONTROL LINE MCA X = 528536.496 Y = 6922529.996 Z = 25.348	Faist. Electricity Underground	3.10%	-1.38%	CONTROL LINE MCA4	1.38%	
Datum 24.00						
DESIGN HEIGHT	25.201	25.238	25.293	25.348	25.362	25.362
SUBGRADE HEIGHT			25.293	24,968	24.982	24 982
EXISTING SURFACE	25.201	25.238	25.293	25,150	25.144	25 144
OFFSETS	-9.179	-8.000	-4.000	000	.000	1 000

CONTROL LINE MCA4 X = 528538.804 Y = 6922528.117 Z = 25.155 Datum 24.00	Exist. Electric	-2	.95%	-1.51%	CONTROL	.51%		2.00%	t in	-11.	3		0.87%	
DESIGN HEIGHT	24.972	24.972	25.036	25.096	25.155	25.171	25.171	25.296	25.296	0/7.07	25.054	25.054	25 090	25.090
SUBGRADE HEIGHT				25.096	24.775	24.791	24.791	24.793	24.798					
EXISTING SURFACE	24.972	24.972	25.036	25.096	24.974	24.969	24.969	24.969	24.968	0007-1-7	24.954	24.959	25.090	25.090
OFFSETS	- 10.085	-10.085	-7.923	-3.962	0.000	1.000	1.000	1.190	1.490	061.7	5.000	6.000	10 150	10.150

MCA4 LINE

CHAINAGE	220.000

CONTROL LINE MCA4 X = 528530.826 Y = 6922534.131 Z = 25.812	Exist, Electricity Undergrour	-5,30%	-2.97%	CONTROL LINE MCA4	2.97%		2.007		-7.91%			2.81%	
Datum 24.00					_				-	1	1	_	~
DESIGN HEIGHT	25.520	25.572	25.691	25.812	25.841	25.841	25.966	25.966	25.748	25.648	25.748	25.812	25.812
SUBGRADE HEIGHT			25.691	25.432	25.461	25.461	25.467	25.476					
EXISTING SURFACE	25.520	25.572	25.691	25.766	25.631	25.631	25.631	25.630	25.648	25.652	25.657	25.812	25.812
OFFSETS	-9.061	-8.062	-4.060	0.000	1.000	1.000	1.190	1.490	2.490	5.500	6.000	8.292	8.292

CHAINAGE 230.000

.00	76 1	in -	10.8	~	-	0,55%		
25.487	25.487	25.467	25.234	25.134	25.234	25.254	25.254	
24.984	24.988							
25.144	25.143	25.139	25.134	25.133	25.132	25.254	25.254	
1.190	1.490	2.490	5.000	5.500	6.000	9.615	9.615	

BOUND OFF RAMP ROSS SECTIONS 9 CL MCA4				<b>Jueensla</b> Governme	nd ent
NG CERTIFICATION (RPEQ)			Job No.	413159	
CIONATURE	NO.	DATE	Contract. No.	CN-3476	
	18998	3/9/12	Drawing No.	786496	A
1		1110	Series Number	XS-5 of	9
			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	MRR_Detail (0	2/14)

6				Associated Job No:	s S	urvey Data	Scales		CITY	OF GOLD		EXIT	49 NORTH B			
E					Datum	GDA		PACIFIC HIGHWAY (PACIFIC MOTORWAY 12A)						A	ANNOTATED CRC	
D				Auxiliary Drg Nos	s Horiz. Grid	MGA94 Z56	0 10 20 30 40m	CTL CHGE	1100 - 319		SHEET 6 O					
С					Height AUD Reference Points Drawn		Reference Points						ENGINEERING			
B			1-2-2		Origin	AHD		Preceding	Dist. to start	From start to	From end to	Following	C.WOJCIK	ENG. AREA	NAME	
A Issued For Construction			1		Survey	100100700	Dimensions shown in metres	RP	of job (km)	end of job	Following RP	RP	Designed	CIVIL	A. O'SHEA	
Revisions/Descriptions	Certification	Date	Microfiled		Books	MR100720	except where shown otherwise	12A/10R	0.478	0.8	7.503	12A/11Q	Designed			
CAD FILES G \NERD\ROAD PROJECTS\12A\230_12A_413159 - Exit 49\Design\Acad\Current\07_XS-1.dwg							Through Chaing	ae from start of c	nazettal 31 1km -	31.9km		S.READ		1		

OFFSETS	-9.031	-8.280	-4.220	0.000	1.000 1.000 1.190	2.490 4.738 5.238	5.738	8.605 8.605
			CHAINAGE	250.0	000			
CONTROL LINE MCA4 X = 528521.842 Y = 6922538.480 Z = 26.335 Potum 24.00	Exist. Electricity Underground		-3.50%	CONTROL LINE MCA4	3.50% -2.00% -	CONTROL LINE MCD1	2.60%	
DESIGN HEIGHT	25.996	26.049	26.190	26.335	26.370 26.370 26.495 26.495	26.475 26.428 26.328	26.428 26.511	
SUBGRADE HEIGHT			26.190	25.955	25.990 25.990 25.997 25.997	200		
EXISTING SURFACE	25.996	26.049	26.190	26.344	26.350 26.350 26.351 26.351	26.269 26.269 26.281	26.294	
OFFSETS	-9.090	-8.163	-4,143	0.000	1.000 1.000 1.190 1.490	2.490 3.855 4.355	4.855 8.069	

CHAINAGE 240.000

CONTROL LINE MCA4 X = 528502.170 Y = 6922541.152 Z = 27.074 Datum 26.00	Exist. Electricity Underground Exist. Electricity Underground		-3.00%	-5-98 Line -545t. Droinoge Line -545t. Droinoge Line	2.99%		2.00	Exist Telecomms Underground		_2.58%	
		55	9	4	4	14	6	6	6		
DESIGN HEIGHT		26.79	26.94	27.07	27.10	27.10	27.22	27.22	27.20		11 20
SUBGRADE HEIGHT			26.946	26.694	26.724	26.724	26.730	26.739			
EXISTING SURFACE		26.792 26.822	26.946	27.160	27.181	27.181	27.185	27.192	27.239		202 20
OFFSETS		-8.912 -8.405	-4.288	0000	1.000	1.000	1.190	1.490	2.490		
				CHAINAGE	26	0.0	000	2			

CONTROL LINE MCA4 ~~ X = 528512.147 Y = 6922540.855 Z = 26.723	Exist. Electricity Underground		-2.86%	-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1	2.85%		Culvert	-4	22%			t in 8.9	Exist. Telecomms Underground
Datum 24.00													
DESIGN HEIGHT	26.445	26.487	26.603	26.723	26.752	26.752	26.877	26.877	26.760	26.660	26.760	27.080	27.080
SUBGRADE HEIGHT			26.603	26.343	26.372	26.372	26.377	26.386		1			
EXISTING SURFACE	26.445	26.487	26.603	26.572	26.783	26.783	26.813	26.819	26.808	26.826	26.843	27.080	27.080
OFFSETS	-9.031	-8.280	-4.220	0.000	1.000	1.000	1.190	7 400	4 7.38	5.238	5.738	8.605	8.605



NTROL LINE MCA4 = 528484.935 = 6922536.483 = 27.495	Exist. Electricity Underground Exist. Electricity Underground	3.26%	-3.20% -2.00%			CONTROL LINE MCA4 X = 528479.784 Y = 6922533.560 Z = 27.572	Exist. Electricity Underground	Exist Electricity Underground	CONTROL LINE MCA4
SICN HEICHT	116	154	95 27 52 52 32				67 24	21	72 21 46 26
	27.1	4 27.3	5 27.4 7 27.5 7 27.5 7 27.5 27.6 27.6				27.2	27.4	27.5 27.6 27.6 27.7 27.7 27.7
BGRADE HEIGHT		27.354	27.115 27.14) 27.14) 27.15			SUBGRADE HEIGHT		27.451	27.192 27.241 27.241 27.254 27.254
STING SURFACE	27.177	27.354	7.488 7.597 7.597 7.603 7.613 7.613			EXISTING SURFACE	7.267	7.451	7.572 7.621 7.621 7.701 7.712 7.717
FSETS	9.112 2	4.378				OFFSETS	8.711 2	4.396 2	000 2 760 2 950 2 250 2 250 2 250 2
	СН	AINAGE 277.9	63					CHAINAGE 283.	389
NTROL LINE MCA4 = 528492.351 = 6922539.358 = 27.354	Exist. Electricity Underground Exist. Electricity Underground	-3.51%	Exist. Drannage Line CONTROL LINE MCA4		Evit. Telecomms Undergroun	CONTROL LINE MCA4 X = 528483.124 Y = 6922535.551 Z = 27.523	Exet. Electricity Underground	2.30% - Electricity Underground	20012-1 210E MCA4
SIGN HEIGHT	128 154	01	554 559 589 514 514 514	47 47 47	68		113	16	23 56 81 61
	27.6	1 27.2	4 27.5 9 27.5 5 27.5 27.4	27.4	28.2		27.2	1 27.3	3 27.5 5 27.5 5 27.5 1 27.6 1 27.6
BGRADE HEIGHT		27.20	26.97 27.00. 27.01. 27.02.			SUBGRADE HEIGHT		27.39	27.14. 27.17t 27.17t 27.19t 27.19t
ISTING SURFACE	27.028	27.201	27.421 27.437 27.437 27.438 27.451 27.451	27.906 27.881 27.924	28.289 28.289	EXISTING SURFACE	27.213	27.391	27.523 27.621 27.621 27.636 27.636 27.655 27.655
FSETS	-9.029	-4.344	0000 0000 000 000 000 000 000 000 000	0.152 0.652 1.152	7.687	OFFSETS	-9.069 2	4.385 2	.000 .088 .088 .578 .578 .578 .578
		1	CHAINAGE 270 000					HAINIACE 280 0	10

5					Associated Job Nos	S	urvey Data	Scales	1.00	CITY	OF GOLD (	COAST			EXIT	EXIT 49 NORTH BOI	
F E						Datum	GDA		PAC	IFIC HIGHWA	Y (PACIFIC	MOTORWAY	12A)		A	NNOTATED	CROS
D					Auxiliary Drg Nos	Horiz. Grid	MGA94 Z56	0 10 20 30 40m	CTL CHGE	3	1100 - 319	000				SHEET 7 0	)F 9
C						Height	100			Re	eference Points	1		Drawn		ENGINE	RING C
В						Origin	AHD		Preceding	Dist. to start	Dist. to start From start to		Following	C.WOJCIK	ENG. AREA	NAME	
A Issue	ed For Construction				10	Survey	10100700	Dimensions shown in metres	RP	of job (km)	end of job	Following RP	RP	Decigned	CIVIL	A. O'SHEA	
1.1	Revisions/Descriptions	Certification	Date	Microfiled		Books	MR100720	except where shown otherwise	12A/10R	0.478	0.8	7.503	12A/11Q	Designed		1	
CAD FILE	S G \NERD\ROAD PROJECTS\12A\230_12A_413159	- Exit 49\Design\Acad	Current\07	XS-1.dwg					Through Chaina	ge from start of a	azettal 31.1km -	31.9km		S.READ			

BOUND OFF RAMP ROSS SECTIONS			<b>Queensla</b> Governme	nd
C CERTIFICATION (PPEO)		Job No.	413159	
Stignature	NO. DATE	Contract. No.	CN-3476	
	12478 3/5/	C Drawing No.	786498	A
-	1-1-1-1	Series Number	XS-7 of	9
			MRR_Detail (02	2/14)

CONTROL LINE MCC1 X = 528471.453 Y = 6922546 994		-2.82%	CONTROL LINE MCC1	CONTROL LINE MCC1 X = 528473.769 Y = 6922541.465			CONTROL LINE MCC1					
Z = 27.783				Z = 27.703								
DESIGN HEIGHT	111.	.930		DESIGN HEIGHT	.600	.826	788 663 734 734					
SUBGRADE HEIGHT	5	21	27 27 27 27 27 27 27 27 27 27 27 27 27 2	SUBGRADE HEIGHT	27	27	27 27 27 27 27 544 27					
EXISTING SURFACE	123	901	772 763 750 783 27 804 27	EXISTING SURFACE	937	205	720 700 657 703 27. 734 27.					
OFFSETS	.204 28.	.686 27.	600 27. 490 27. 300 27. 00 27. 00 27.	OFFSETS	532 27.	600 27.	600 27. 490 27. 300 27. 00 27. 00 27.					
	° CHAINAGE	۲ 4.000	-0 -0 0.0 0.5		CHAINAG	ېز F 10.000	-0. -0. 0.0. 0.50					
CONTROL LINE MCC1 X = 528470.518 Y = 6922548.763 7 = 27.821		0.73%	2884 CONTROL LINE MCC1	CONTROL LINE MCC1 X = 528473.080 Y = 6922543.342 7 = 27 726		=4.55% 2	CONTROL LINE MCC1	CONTROL LINE MCC1 X = 528474.638 Y = 6922538.620 Z = 27.670		-2 22%	CONTROL LINE MCC1	
Datum 26.00				Datum 26.00				Datum 26.00				
DESIGN HEIGHT	28.056	28.016	27.906 27.906 27.781 27.821 27.847 27.847	DESIGN HEIGHT	27.590	27.851	27.811 27.811 27.686 27.726 27.753	DESIGN HEIGHT	27,615	27.758	27.726 27.726 27.613 27.670 27.708	
SUBGRADE HEIGHT			27.821	SUBGRADE HEIGHT			27.726	SUBGRADE HEIGHT			27.670	
EXISTING SURFACE	28.174	27.939	27.807 27.795 27.785 27.881 27.847 27.847	EXISTING SURFACE	28.138	27.869	27.716 27.703 27.677 27.677 27.755 27.753	EXISTING SURFACE	27.731	27.758	27.726 27.683 27.613 27.670	
OFFSETS	-8.307	-2.858	-0.600 -0.490 -0.300 0.000 0.500	OFFSETS	-8.316	-2.600	-0.600 -0.490 -0.300 0.000 0.500	OFFSETS	-9.056	-2.615	-0.615 2 -0.497 2 -0.307 2 0.000 2000 2000 2000 2000 2000 2000 2	
CONTROL LINE MCC1	CHAINAGE	2.000	CONTROL LINE MCC1	CONTROL LINE MCC1	CHAINAGE	E <i>8.000</i>	CONTROL LINE MCC1	CONTROL LINE MCC1	CHAINAC	GE 12.974	ONTROL LINE MCC1	
X = 528469.505 Y = 6922550.487 7 = 27.846		4.34%	6.80%	X = 528472.307 Y = 6922545.186 Z = 27.751	····· <u>·</u> ····	6.04%2	.00%	X = 528474.374 Y = 6922539.558		-2.77%	1.67%	44
 Datum 26.00				Datum 26.00				2 = 27.079 Datum 26.00				
DESIGN HEIGHT	28.342	28.101	27.936 27.936 27.802 27.845 27.873 27.873	DESIGN HEIGHT	27.539	27.876	27.836 27.836 27.711 27.771 27.771	DESIGN HEIGHT	27.610	27.783	27.749 27.749 27.630 27.679 27.718	
SUBGRADE HEIGHT			27.846 27.683	SUBGRADE HEIGHT			27.751 27.581	SUBGRADE HEIGHT			27.679 27.528	
EXISTING SURFACE	28.224	28,101	27.936 27.882 27.802 27.846 27.873	EXISTING SURFACE	28.189	27.865	27.743 27.733 27.714 27.751 27.771	EXISTING SURFACE	27.800	27.809	27.720 27.659 27.637 27.679 27.718	
OFFSETS	-8.517	-2.975	-0.544 -0.434 -0.271 0.000 0.500	OFFSETS	-8.207	-2.600	-0.600 -0.490 -0.300 0.000 0.500	OFFSETS	-8.857	-2.607	-0.607 -0.494 -0.304 0.000 0.500	
	CHAINAGE	E 0.000			CHAINAGE	6.000			CHAINAG	SE 12.000		
			Associated Job Nos Si Datum Auxiliary Drg Nos Horiz. Grid Height	GDA GDA MGA94 Z56 UID	40m C7L_C	CITY PACIFIC HIGH CHGE	Y OF GOLD COAST WAY (PACIFIC MOTOR 31100 – 31900 Reference Points	RWAY 12A)	EXIT 49 ANNC SH	NORTH BOUN DTATED CROSS IEET 8 OF 9 ENGINEERING CFR	ND OFF RAMP S SECTIONS CL MCC1 IFFICATION (RPEO)	Job No. 4
Construction Revisions/Descriptions	Certificat	tion Date Mic	ofiled Books	AHU MR100720 Dimensions shown in met except where shown othe	es wise 12A/1	ling Dist. to start of job (km) OR 0.478	From start to From end of job Followi 0.8 7.5	and to Following C.WOJCIK ng RP RP Designed 03 12A/11Q S.READ	ENG. AREA CIVIL A. O'	NAME 'SHEA	SIGNATURE	NO.         DATE,         Contract. No.         CN           (9478)         7/1/8         Drawing No.         786           Series Number         XS-8



10 20 30 40m Auxiliary Drg Nos loriz. MGA94 Z56 CTL Grid ---leigh Precr AHD Origin

Certification Date Microfiled

Survey

looks

MR100720

Dimensions shown in metres except where shown otherwise

A Issued For Construction

Revisions/Descriptions

CAD FILES C:\NERD\ROAD PROJECTS\12A\230\_12A\_413159 - Exit 49\Design\Acod\Curre

-0.601 0.000	OFFSETS	-9,875		-5.739	-0.900 0.000	OFF	SETS		-1.229 -1.131 -0.900 0.000		
			CHAINAGE	20.000			CI	HAINAGE	27.500		
CITY OF PACIFIC HIGHWAY ( CTL CHGE 3110	2A)	-	EXIT	49 NORTH E NNOTATED CR SHEET 9 OF	BOUND OFF RAM BOSS SECTIONS 9 CL MCC2	>			<b>Queensla</b> Governme	nd ent	
Referen	nce Points		Drawn	+0. ····	ENGINEERIN	IG CERTIFICATION (RPEQ)			Job No.	413159	
Preceding Dist. to stort Fro RP of job (km) e	om start to From end to end of job Following RP	Following RP	C.WOJCIK	ENG. AREA CIVIL	NAME A. O'SHEA		NO.	DATE 3/9/10	Contract. No. Drawing No.	CN-3476 786500	A
12A/10R 0.478 Through Chainage from start of gazetta	0.8 7.503 il 31.1km - 31.9km	12A/11Q	S.READ	-			.0110	-1 -116	Series Number	XS-9 of 9 MRR_Detail (02	9 2/14)





 Run 12 cores optic fibre cable (per cable standards in accordance with MRTS234) between existing ITS cabinet 5762 to new traffic signals cabinet M5276 in existing electrical conduit.
 Present all 12 cores at Fobot and terminate active pairs only at both: PM5762 & M5276.
 Recover existing Cisco switch from ITS cabinet PM5762 and install 2000-8TS-G-B.
 Contact ITS&E team for port & switch configuration details.

General cabinet arrangement of the traffic signal controller M5762 to be as per SD1781, however with substitution of Telstra modem with Network Switch. Equipment to be located on shelf in controller; no top hat to be installed for M5762.

 Install BLUETOOTH modern in the TSC M5276 with the directional antenna on the signal post at Station 4 pointing towards NB off-ramp & Rifle Range Road. Refer to Traffic Signal Installation dwg No.706974A for Station details.

		CABLE S	CHEDU	LE
10	N/ PIT	CABLE	LENGTH	REMARKS
١	TO	ITTPE	(m)	
1	M5276	12C FOC	106	Install new cable
		TOTAL:	106	

LEGEND UNLESS OTHERWISE STATED

	NEW CONDUIT
	EXISTING CONDUIT
-	12C PROPOSED OPTIC FIBRE CABLE
0	PROPOSED CABLE IN CONDUIT
	EXISTING TMR TRAFFIC SIGNAL/STREET LIGHTING PIT
0	CIRCULAR PIT
	TRAFFIC SIGNAL CONTROLLER
$ \ge $	COMMUNICATIONS FIELD CABINET

BILL OF MATERIAL	S		
EM DESCRIPTION	BRAND/MODEL -		
		M5276	PM5762
OUTLET PER SD1778		1	
		1	
H POWER SUPPLY	TRANSMAX FP MINI-6	1	
		1	
CABLE		1	
ТСН	CISCO-2000-4TS-G-B	1	
ТСН	CISCO-2000-8TS-G-B	1	1
TCH POWER SUPPLY	PRW-IE-65W-AC-IEC	1	1
	GLC-FE-100LX-RGD	1	1
	GLC-LX-SM-RGD		2
			1
ADS 1MT SINGLE MODE	SCA-LC DUPLEX	2	2
IT TERMINAL (FOBOT) DIN RAIL	AFC 6 PORT SCA	1	
IT TERMINAL (FOBOT) RACK MOUNT	AFC 6 PORT SCA		2
	SD-1000	1	
	NTC-6200-02	1	
L ANTENNA	SPDG11H22-SMA	1	

NSPORT SYSTEMS JT PLAN		- 1		<b>Queensland</b> Government
RING CERTIFICATION (RPEO)			Job No.	413159
SIGNATURE	NO.	DATE	Contract. No.	CN-3476
Original signed	17460	19/8/2016	Drawing No.	706969 B
			Series Number	IT-1 of 1
				MRR_Detail (02/14)

LEGEN	) UNLESS OTHERWISE STATED			CABLE S	SCHEDU	JLE			1.	A	TNN ST	
· · · · · ·	EXISTING CONDUIT	STATIC	N/ PIT	CABLE	LENGTH (m)	REMARKS		ib // //	1.	1		
0	PROPOSED CALLE IN CONDUIT	FROM	TO		(				1' //	11/1		1
	EXISTING CABLE IN CONDUIT	5762	M5275	CAT6	30	Install new cable					A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A	-
	EXISTING TMR TRAFFIC SIGNAL/STREET LIGHTING PIT			TOTAL:	30		)		111	1	Press Press	En.?
0	CIRCULAR PIT						./	1	MIL 1	A LAND	St 2515	1
	TRAFFIC SIGNAL CONTROLLER							/	11+F	S & Charles	Constitution of	13
$\blacksquare$	COMMUNICATIONS FIELD CABINET							E E	FH /	A STATE	Col Real	
							Mill		1 AB	ALC: YOU	a state	
							HI		11 12		A SHAT	
									N SA	al parte	The second	
									- A - A - A - A - A - A - A - A - A - A		A date of	
									1 PADES	X	198 9 P. H.	S.
						A			11-1		the second	
						M			1121-00		1. S. F. S. S. S.	a. 1
								2			Sand Sales	4.4
						8	Y/f	A	M ils			
				-			161			1	2.2.2	182
		-12	and the	I. series	1		11	1 11 4	- Har			
	1	IW			1		///	111-		10-	- Secondard -	-
		L	MANUAL ROOM PROFILE				//	11-0	1 4	N		240
		F	and the		THE		1	11/1		The state		
		SOUTH	BOUN	) OFF	RAMI	- X	1			2	21	-
		30011			T	1	1/	The second	All a			
			00	V	1		Q		1 X	14 - L	and a state of the	36.70 1.3

NOTES:

- Run CAT6 cable (per cable standards in accordance with MRTS234) between existing ITS cabinet 5762 to new traffic signals cabinet M5275 in new communications conduit. Contact ITS&E team for port details.
- General cabinet arrangement of the traffic signal controller M5275 to be as per SD1780, however with substitution of Telstra modem with Network Switch. Equipment to be located on shelf in controller; no top hat to be installed for M5275.
- Install BLUETOOTH modem in the TSC M5275 with the directional antenna on the signal post at Station 2 pointing towards SB on-ramp & Yawalpah Road. Refer to Traffic Signal Installation dwg No.706975A for Station details.

	BILL OF MAT	ERIALS		
			EXI	T 49
TIEM NU.	TIEM DESCRIPTION	BRAND/ MODEL	M5275	PM576
1	230V, DOUBLE SOCKET OUTLET PER SD1778		1	
2	4-WAY POWER RAIL		1	
3	FIELD PROCESSOR WITH POWER SUPPLY	TRANSMAX FP MINI-6	1	
4	ECLIPSE CONTROLLER		1	
5	ECLIPSE CONTROLLER CABLE		1	
6	ETHERNET SURGE PROTECTION	NOVARIS RJ45 - CAT6	1	1
7	CAT6 CABLE 1.5m		1	
15	PARANI	SD-1000	1	
16	NETWORK MODEM	NTC-6200-02	1	
17	BLUETOOTH DIRECTIONAL ANTENNA	SPDG11H22-SMA	1	



		_	-	Associated Job Nos	Survey Data	Scales		GOL	LD COAST	CITY			INTE	LLIGENT TRA
		-			Datum			PAC	CIFIC MOTOR	WAY				LAYOU
				Auxiliary Drg Nos	Horiz. Grid	0 2 4 6 8 10m		EXIT 49	INTERCHANC	GE (EAST)				
	10				Height	-		Re	eference Points			Drawn		ENGINEER
B Minor Changes	2087.	3 30.08.18			Origin		Preceding	Dist. to start	From start to	From end to	Following	C.WOJCIK	ENG. AREA	NAME
A Issued For Construction			MF10.16A		Survey	Dimensions shown in metres	RP	of job (km)	end of job	Following RP	RP	Designed	- IT&T	T.KOOYMANS
Revisions/Descriptions	Certification	Date	Microfiled		Books	except where shown otherwise	12A/10R	0.37	0.55	7.86	12A/11Q	Designed		
CAD FILES G:\NERD\ROAD PROJECTS\Elements\34\Pacifi	Motorway Off Ramp Signa	Is\Exit 49\Fi	nal\M5275_M5	276_TS_Comms.dwg			Through Chaine	ige from 30.99km	to 31.54km			M.VENKATARAMU		

1						Department of Transport and Main Rodas
						ST RUN 1
			4 4 Field Cabinet	STATION /	DISTANCE FROM	
PHASE	1		5762.	PIT CABLE LENGTH REMARKS LOOP LOO	P TYPE STOP/HOLD QUANITY REMARKS	FUNCTION E 2
DIAGRAMS	<b>^</b>	т		FROM TO TO THE	SENCE As shown 230 Install New	
		1		1 19C 31 Install new cable 2 PRE	SENCE As shown 130 Install new	
		Sector Sector		2 1 2 19C 18 Install new cable 3 PRE	SENCE As shown 130 Install new	RED A5 1 1
	A PHASE	B PHASE			SENCE As shown 185 Install new	1 YELLOW A4 2 2
SIGNAL GROUPS	1	1		TOTAL. TO	TOTAL 675	
VEHICLE/PED	VG1	VG1	- ASS - ASS	~ Proposed traffic signal controller	11	
LOGICAL INPUT	4 V			cabinet M5276 with Top Hat.		
FXTEND	X	FRE3		Refer Note 3.		NEUTRAL A1,B1 NL BK
INCREMENT					(C7)	
and the second		SG1 RED if presence on detect	or 1, (E2)			TO EARTH / 3-16
SPECIAL CONDITIONS		2 or 3 canceled (after min REI time) by presence on detector		4 Extend/realign	3 0 0	
		else Off after RED max time.		Install new 4m pole for into new pits	5 ( 6) 25 [2] [2]	CABLE SIZE / 19C+E
		A 9.652		(E1A) BT directional antenna	R IL LEL TO	CONTROLLER TYPE
SIGNAL	LANTERN SUNEDULE	AL		of Ram	(E5)	TYCO ECLIPSE ECT-62-16
POST	HARDWARE RE	EQUIREMENT		Or.		
1 G/	M 2 Aspect LED Lantern Ne	ew Lantern			(E4)	LABEL TERMINAL INPUT CONFIGURATION STOP LINE
2 G/	M 2 Aspect LED Lantern Ne	ew Lantern	it is seen and and it			LOOP 1 P1 1 PRES As shown
G/	M - Ground Mounted	Rene	w ATS S400 Watt Aeroscreen luminaire (Part			LOOP 2 P2 2 PRES As shown
100	1 seems	Nc	ATS OPN400 SAEG). Test in accordance			LOOP 3 P3 3 PRES AS SNOWN
		En En	.h IMR and Australian Standards. Provide		1 Martin Contraction of the Cont	5
1000		10 100				6
<b>GE</b>		The			-6m +190m	7
1. A.		A The second			Canal Canal	
13.2	Sec. Sec.	i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i			Y /	
	A	2		And the second sec		
35	1 Contract of State	E E			PIT NO. TYPE	COMMENTS COORDINATES
IL ADATCH	STREET, STREET, STREET,	14-1-3			E1 Circulor	Install new pit 528463 679 6922576 222
					E1A Circular	Install new pit 528468.019 6922565.371
	LEGEND	1 Mill for Stores			E1B Circular	Replace with new pit
****	UNLESS OTHERWISE STATED *	****	WARNING		C1 Circular	Install new pit 528469.126 6922565.653
	PROPOSED CONDUIT R	RUN	SOME SERVICES ARE NOT SHOW		E2 Circular	Install new pit 528452.795 6922576.338
	EXISTING CONDUIT RUI	N	ALL CONTRACTORS ARE RESPONSIBLE FOR AV	IDING	E3 No.7	Existing to remain
	FOOTING LOCATION		CONFLICTS WITH SERVICES AND MUST CARRY CHECKS WITH ALL SERVICE AUTHORITIES BEF		E4 N0.4	Existing to remain
3	DETECTOR LOOP		COMMENCING WORKS.		C6 No.7	Existing to remove
3	STATION POST/ID				E6 No.7	Existing to remove
0	CIRCULAR PIT	Less and the lines			E7 Circular	Install new pits 528509.158 6922543.010
NOTE:					C7 Circular	Install new pits 528509,945 6922543.869
INSTALLED TO	TMR SPECIFICATION MRTS91.	and the second s			and the second sec	
REFER TO TM	R SD1436 FOR SYMBOL DETAI	ILS			The second second second	
		P P	A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A		RIFLE RANGE ROAD	
		Cty /			ANOL NOND	
		RAM		A shall a life same so	NO.	
		PIFLE			NU I TH	IED:
-		KING		and the second sec	L. The bee	n supplied by the various services authorities.
DIT NO	CONDULT SCHED	DULE			2. Ref	er drawing number 706969 for fibre optic
FROM T	ELECTRICAL SURFAC	E (m) COMMENTS		1	Existing Rate 3 pillar mounted 3. Inst	all traffic signal controller cabinet site M5276
E1 F	1B 2 100 Concret	te 14 Install new	FOOTING AND POST SCHEDULE		Switchboard Site 353	concrete base pad in accordance with TMR
E1 E	2 2 100 Existing R	Road 14 Install new	STATION TYPE COMMENTS COORDINATES	SIGN SCHEDULE	stur	wn).
E1A E	E7 1 100 Earth	53 Install new	NO. EASTING NORTHING	POST SIGN TYPE REQUIREMENT	URD pillar U1428402.	tiple loops to be connected in parallel to a
C1 (	27 1 100 Earth	53 Install new	1 4.1m Post Install New 528464.536 6922572.754	1A Regulatory sign (R6-6A) Install new sign on new post	5. Pro	vide 25mm <sup>2</sup> 2C XLPE/PVC mains cable to new
E1A E	1B 2 100 Earth	7 Install new	2 4.1m Post Install New 528451.968 6922572.127	2A Regulatory sign (R6-6A) Install new sign on new post	Com	troller M5276. Energex service fuse for mains
	TOTAL:	: 141	4 4.1m Post Install New 528470.700 6922564.423	3   Warning sign (W3-3A)   Install new sign on new post		
		A	ssociated Job Nos Survey Data Scales	GOLD COAST CITY	TRAFFIC SIGNAL INSTALLATION	Site Number
			Datum GDA94	PACIFIC MOTORWAY	OPERATIONS AND ELECTRICAL	15276 Queensland
			Auxiliary Drg Nos Horiz. MGA94 Zone56 0 2 4 6 8 10m	EXIT 49 INTERCHANGE (WEST)	CONNECTION SHEET	MAP 302 F20 Government
			Grid Height HD D H H	Reference Points Drawn	ENGINEERING CERTIFICATION (RPEQ)	Job No. 413159
A lowed Fac /	Construction		Origin AHD Derived Preceding	Dist. to start From start to From end to Following C.WOJCIK	ENG. AREA NAME SIGNATURE NO	DATE Contract. No. CN-34/6 50 30/8/2016 Drawing No. 706074 A
A issued for (	Revisions/Descriptions	Drawn Checked Certification Date M'filed	Survey Books MR100720 Dimensions shown in metres except where shown otherwise 12A/10R	0.83 0.53 7.42 12A/110 Designed		Series Number TS-01 of 2
CAD FILES G:\N	ERD\ROAD PROJECTS\Elements\34\Pacific	Motorway Off Ramp Signals\Exit 49\Final\M5275_M52	76_TS_Comms.dwg Through Chai	age from 31.45km to 31.98km C.WOJCIK		MRT_Detail (02/14)



_		Departn	nent of	Tran	nspor	t and	Mair	n R	loads
				S		RU	N	1	1
	SIGNAL GROUPS	FUNCT	ION	CONTROLLER TERMINAL	FINIAL TERMINALS		1 X	USI	
		RED	6	A5	1	1			
	1	YELLO	W	A4	2	2			
	-	NEUTRAL		A1,81 C1,D1	NL	BK			
	3	SPARE COR TO EARTH	ES		/	3-1	6		
	-	CABLE SIZ	E		/	19C+	E		
	ì	CON <sup>T</sup> TYCO ECI	IROLL	ER EC	TYP 1-6	E 2-16	5		-
		DET	ECTO	R T	ABL	E			
PHYS	BEL	CONTROLLER TERMINAL	LOGICAL INPUT	CON	OOP/ FIGUI	PB RATION	DI	ST I	TO
LOO	IP 1	P1	1		PRE	S	As	sh	own
LOO	P 2	P2	2		PRE	S	As	sh	own
LOO	P 3	P3	3		PRE	S	As	sh	own
LOO	P 4	P4	4		PRE	S	1	15	m
-			5						
			6		_				
	_		7	-				_	-
			8	1					





					_	Depart	ment o	of Tran	nspor	t and M	lain R	oa
48m								ALS		RUN	1 1	
11			-1	. 64	NPS			RMIN	TR	1	XXX	7
	(E12		+		GRO	FUNC	TION	RTE	SMINA	2	$\langle H \rangle$	$\geq$
	~	P	7		NAL			OLLE	E	FX-	$\mathbf{v}$	-
		/	5		SIC			NTR	INIAL		5	
	1			1.14				S	ш	CORE	S USE	D
Point of s	upply E	nergex -	1	/		RE	D	A5	1	1		
pillar U183	51757	1	/	/	1	YELI	.OW	A4	2	2	-	1
		1		1	-			-		-	-	-
				G. 1								1
7 11				-								
(F11)				111		(L.T.,						_
1					1	-	_	-	1	-	-	
4-4-2-3	-	and and	-	1					-		1	-
1			-	-								
F	xisting	circular	pit	/		(C						
				12		NEUTRA	L	A1,B1 C1,D1	NL	BK		
		2		/		CDADE	CODEC					
1000	- 0-	~		1		TO E	ARTH		/	3-16		
Page of the							_		/			L
				_		CABLE	SIZE	_	/	19C		5
						COM	TROL	LER	TYP	E		
GIGN SCHEDU	LE				Т	YCO EC	LIPSE	EC	1-6	2-16		
SIGN TYPE	REOU	IREMENT				DE	TECTO	DR T	ABL	-		
SIGN THE	Install	new sic	n	PHYS	ICAL	CONTROLLE	R LOGIC	AL L	.00P,	/PB	DIST	TO
ory sign (R6-6A)	on n	ew post		LAB	BEL	TERMINAL	INPU	T COM	IFIGU	RATION	STOP 1	11
ory sign (R6-6A)	Install	new sig	n	100		P1 P2	2	-	PRE	2	AS Sho	WC
, , , ,	on n	new sic	in	LOOP	P 3	P3	3		PRE	S	116r	'n
ng sign (W3-3A)	on n	ew post										
									_			_
	LOC	OP DE	TE	CTOR	FE	EEDER	CAE	BLE	SC	HEDU	JLE	
	LOOP	100	þ	DIS	STAN	CE FROM	1 0	UANT	ITY		-	1
	No.	TYPE	E I	STOP,	/HOL	D LINE	(m)	(m)		REMA	RKS	
	1	PRESE	NCE		As	shown		330	1	Install	New	1
	2	PRESE	NCE		As	shown		160		Install	new	1
	3	PRESE	NCE		As	shown		135		Install	new	
					TC	DTAL		625				]
											_	-
			1	CONE	ווטכ	SCH	EDUL	E				
_	PIT	NO.	EL	ECTRIC	AL	SURF	ACE	LENG	TH	СОММ	ENTS	
-	FROM	TO	No	SIZ	ZE			(m	/			-
-	E3	E1	2	10	00	Eart	h	12		Install	new	-
-	E1	E2	2	10	0	Existing	Doon	12		Install	new	-
-	E4	E5	2	10	0	Existing	Road	15		Install	new	-
	EII	EIZ	2	110	JU I	LAISUNG	Noud	2		Install	new	1
						1014	NL:	bL				
IMENTS N	OTES											
new pit	TI TI											
new pit 1.	suppl	ied by t	the v	mation	ser	own on vices au	thoriti	ans es.	nds	been		
new pit on 2.	Locat	e existin	ng u	ndergr	ound	electric	al cor	nduit	alig	nment	and	
g conduit	alian	nent as	cir sho	cular a	elect	rical pit	into	ocate	d co	onduit		
to remain 3.	Refer	drawing	g nu	mber	7069	70 for	fibre d	optic	cabl	е		
to new pit	instal	lation d	etails	s.	atroll	er cahin	at alt	ME	75	00 00	norato	
to remain 4.	instal	i uunic	sigr	iui cor	IUOII	er cubin	et site	NU.	15	011 00	TCIELE	i.

 base pad in accordance with TMR standard drawing 1423. Provide earth pit (not shown).
 Multiple loops to be connected in parallel to a sinale loop

 Multiple loops to be connected in parallel to a single loop feeder cable as shown.
 Provide 25mm<sup>2</sup> 2C XLPE/PVC mains cable to new Controller

 Provide 25mm<sup>\*</sup> 2C XLPE/PVC mains cable to new Controller M5275. Energex service fuse for mains cable to be 50A HRC.

ISTALLATION ELECTRICAL SHEET	Site	Number 5275 AP 302 F20		<b>Queensland</b> Government
FERING CERTIFICATION (RPEO)	-		Job No.	413159
SIGNATURE	NO.	DATE	Contract. No.	CN-3476
	09350	30.08.2018	Drawing No.	706975 A
			Series Number	TS-1 of 1
				MRT_Detail (02/14)









### CONTROL LINE MCC2

PT	CHAINAGE	EASTING	NORTHING	HEIGHT	BEARING	RAD/SPIRAL
IP 1	0.000	528469.118	6922593.458	29.433	198'54'56.57"	
TC	4.596	528467,628	6922589.110	29.233	198'54'56.57"	
IP 2	4.733	528467.583	6922588.981	29.227		R = 3.000
CT	4.871	528467.527	6922588.855	29.221	204'09'08.83"	
TC	7.169	528466.586	6922586.758	29.125	204'09'08.83"	
IP 3	8.096	528466.206	6922585.910	29.117		R = -10,000
CT	9.023	528465.989	6922585.006	29.048	193"31"46.94"	
TC	14.153	528464.788	6922580.018	28.835	193"31"46,94"	
IP 4	17.146	528464.025	6922576.845	28.689		R = -6.052
CT	20.139	528466.256	6922574.464	28.568	136'51'43.40"	
TC	24,805	528469,447	6922571.059	28.506	136'51'43.40"	
IP 5	24.940	528469.539	6922570.960	28.505		R = 2.000
CT	25.075	528469.618	6922570.850	28.503	144'35'52.34"	
P 6	29.048	528471.919	6922567.612	28.440	144"35'52.34"	1

		CU	INTROL LINE	MCD1		
PT	CHAINAGE	EASTING	NORTHING	HEIGHT	BEARING	RAD/SPIRAL
IP 1	0.000	528479.894	6922548.334	27.582	68'09'27.87"	
IP 2	3.152	528482.864	6922549.524	27.524		R = 15.000
CT	6.304	528486.061	6922549.399	27.466	92"14"19.84"	1
P3	27.466	528507.206	6922548.572	26.998	92"14"19.84"	

PT	CHAINAGE	EASTING	NORTHING	HEIGHT	BEARING	RAD/SPIRAL
IP 1	0.000	528453.763	6922578.711	28.822	40"47"23.15"	
IP 2	0.328	528454.014	6922579.002	28.812		R = 0.500
00	0.655	528454.360	6922578.835	28.802	115'54'01.46"	
IP 3	0.983	528454.706	6922578.667	28.792		R = 0.500
CC	1.311	528454.633	6922578.289	28.782	191'00'39.77"	
P4	7.845	528453.374	6922571.820	28.583		R = -41.000
00	14.380	528454.206	6922565.283	28.409	172'44'53.09"	
P 5	14.649	528454.244	6922564.987	28,409		R = 0.500
CC	14.918	528454.001	6922564.813	28.408	234'24'15.68"	-
IP 6	15.187	528453.758	6922564.639	28.408		R = 0.500
P7	15.456	528453.490	6922564.771	28.407	296'03'38.26"	

### PERMANENT MARKS

THEFT	COORD	NINATES	UPDAUE	COMBINED SCALE	000 000 000
(NAME.	EASTING	NORTHING	HEIGHT	FACTOR	COMMENTS
PM158595	528278.819	6922570.363	17.327	0.99960073	MINE MARK
PM130485	528447.558	6922587.004	28.983	0.99959902	STAR PICKET
PM191576	528654.858	6922327.303	19.284	0.99960069	MINI MARK
PM113167	528416.673	6922472.711	32.294	0.99959848	HILTI NAJL IN MANHOLE

### CONTROL LINE MCA4

PT	CHAINAGE	EASTING	NORTHING	HEIGHT	BEARING	RAD/SPIRAL
IP 1	0.000	528743.619	6922449.914	19.018	294'23'57.30"	
IP 2	38.053	528708.957	6922465.636	19.631		R = -1513.232
CT	76.105	528673,549	6922479,596	20.183	291"31'03.59"	-
TC	124.150	528628.854	6922497.219	21.028	291"31"03.59"	
P 3	154.904	528600.154	6922508.534	21.889		R = -320.000
00	185.658	528569.822	6922514.158	23.164	280"30"16.57"	
IP 4	204.317	528551.050	6922517.639	24.156		R = 71.680
00	222.976	528536,496	6922529.996	25.348	310'20'02.44"	
IP 5	265.773	528490.775	6922568.817	27.241		R = -47.500
CC	308.570	528463.463	6922515.417	27.354	207'05'17.72"	100 C
IP 6	329.667	528451.891	6922492.792	27.177		R = 30.063
00	350.764	528427.655	6922500.436	27.084	287'30'14.92"	
IP 7	371.861	528403.420	6922508.079	27.209		R = 30.063
IP 8	392,958	528406.921	6922533.249	27,397	7'55'12.13"	1

### PRIMARY INSTRUMENT STATIONS

mor	COORD	DINATES	0000	COMBINED SCALE	COLOUTIN	
NAME	EASTING	NORTHING	HEIGHT	FACTOR	COMMENTS	
STN9000	528394.818	6922527.866	27.381	0.99959923	SCREW	
STN9001	528498.422	6922545.612	27.529	0.99959928	SCREW	
STN9002	528564.343	6922513.371	23.445	0.99959997	SCREW	
STN9003	528635.971	6922496.778	20.777	0.99960044	SCREW	
STN9004	528696.257	6922471.157	19.858	0,99960063	NAL	
STN9005	528686.524	6922416.121	15.753	0.99960127	NAR	

6			10	Associated Job Nos	S	irvey Data	Scales	1	CITY	OF GOLD	COAST			EXIT	49 NORTH	BOUND OFF RAM	Р		JANE M	
9 E	-	-	1		Dotum	GDA	0 2 4 6 8 10m	PAC	CIFIC HIGHW	AY (PACIFIC	MOTORWAY	12A)	EXISTING	FEATUR	ES AND SEF	RVICES/CONTROL	LINE SE	TOUT	XXX	Queensland
D	1			Auxiliary Drg Nas	Horiz. Grid	MGA94 Z56		CTL CHGE	3	1100 - 31	00				SHEET	2 OF 2			CXXXI.	Jovernmen
6		1.1		( and and and a set of the set of	Haisht				R	eference Points			Drawn	T	ENGINEER	ING CERTIFICATION (RPEO)			Job No.	415159
B Update WODT Table, New Table For MCD2		9/6/	R		Origin	AHD		Preceding	Elist. to start	From start to	From end to	Following	C WOLCK	ENG. AREA	NAME	SIGNATURE	NO.	DATE	Contract. No.	CN-3476
A Issued For Construction					Super		Republican whome in workers	RP	of job (km)	end of job	Following RP	RP	Destined	CIVIL	A D'SHEA	ORIGINAL SIGNED	18498	3/9/18	Drawing No.	786487 E
Revisions/Descriptions	Certification	Dote	Microfiled	-	Books	MR100720	except where shown otherwise	12A/10R	0.478	0.8	7.503	12A/110	Jesigned						Series Number	EF-2 of 2
CAD FILES C:\NERD\R0AD FROJECTS\124\230_124_413	159 - Exit. 49\/Design\/Acot	(Content/(15)	IF-01-1.84	lug :		-		Through Chaing	ope from Start of	Gozettol 31.1km -	31.9km		23040			-		-		MRR Detail (02/14















rity )	REMARKS
2	Install New
2	Install new
2	Install new
5	Install new
1	100 C

		Departn	nent of	Tran	Ispor	t and	Main	Roa	ds
				S		RU	N 1	1	
	SIGNAL GROUPS	FUNCT	ION	CONTROLLER TERMINAL	FINIAL TERMINALS		INNE 1		
10		RED	K.	A5	1	1		JJLU	-
	1	YELLO	W	A4	2	2	-	-	
	_	NEUTRAL		A1,81 C1,D1	NL	BK			
	1	SPARE COR TO EARTH	ES		3-1		6		
		CABLE SIZ	E		V	190			
		CON TYCO ECI DET	TROLL LIPSE	ER EC	TYP 1-6	E 2-16	3		-
PHYS	ICAL	CONTROLLER	LOGICAL		OOP	00	DIG	T TO	-
LAE	BEL	TERMINAL	INPUT	CON	FIGUE	RATION	STO	P LINE	-
LOO	P 1	P1	1		PRES	S	As	show	n
LOO	P 2	P2	2		PRES	S	As	show	n
LOO	P 3	P3	3		PRES	5	As	show	n
L00	P 4	P4	4		PRES	S	1	15m	
_			5	-					
		-	6			_			
	_		7				_		

		PIT SCHEDU	LE	
PIT NO	TYPE	COMMENTS	COOR	DINATES
FIT NO.	ULL.	COMMENTS	EASTING	NORTHING
E1	Circular	Install new pit	528463.679	6922576.222
E1A	Circular	Install new pit	528486.191	6922565.485
E1B	Circular	Replace with new pit		
E1C	J pit	Install new pit	528485.307	6922569.080
C1	Circular	Install new pit	528487.279	6922565.704
E2	Circular	Install new pit	528452.795	6922576.338
E3	No.7	Existing to remain		
E4	No.4	Existing to remain		
E5	No.4	Existing to remain		
C6	No.7	Existing to remove		
E6	No.7	Existing to remove		
E7	No.4	Existing to remain		
E8	No.4	Existing to remain		
E9	No.4	Existing to remain		1.000

<ol> <li>Install traffic signal controller cabinet site M5276 on concrete base pad in accordance with TMR standard 1423. Provide earth pit (not shown).</li> <li>Wittige losse to be concepted in accordance in the second standard</li> </ol>	drawing e loop
4. Multiple toops to be connected in parallel to a single feeder cable as shown.	
<ul> <li>bunted</li> <li>g Energex</li> <li>g Energ</li></ul>	ontroller 50A ocation andards.
8. Existing under-depth electrical conduit exist on-site. new LV cable shall be installed in existing under-dept conduit. All existing under-depth conduits shall be lo on-site with permanent engraved marked plates stat under-depth LV conduit.	No oth ibeled ing
NSTALLATION Site Number	land
ELECTRICAL INIS276	lanu
SHEET UBD MAP 302 F20 GOVERNT	nent
NEERING CERTIFICATION (RPEQ) Job No. 41315	59
SIGNATURE NO. DATE CONTract. No. CN-34	.76
Original signed 09350 23/8/2016 Drawing No. 70697	<u>4 B</u>
Series Number TS-01 of	



						Department o	f Tran	nspor	t and Ma	ain Roads
		///	•		SIGNAL GROUPS	FUNCTION	ONTROLLER TERMINALS	FINIAL TERMINALS		1 VECTS
-			-	< · ·			õ		CORES	USED
		1		-		RED	A5	1	1	_
			1		1	YELLOW	A4	2	2	-
=1		_	-	-	_		-	-		
11	n	2		-						
5	> 1	/		-			-	-		1
11	91				-		-	-		
JE				4			-	-		
11	1 0				-		-	-		
11-	4		-		-			-		
11	9									-
H		- Exist	ting circular	nit						
K	-17					1				1
	17-							1		
31	-0					h		1		
425	And Sup	2.00		_		0				
									-	
					_		-	_		
									-	
						<u> </u>				
					-		-			
								-		
							-	-		
										-
										-
						1				
mm²	2C XLPE/	/PVC								
o S/	B 352								0	
ightin	g Drawing									
							1 6			
				-	_			-		
				1.0			-	-		
							-	-		
								-		
ng S	ub-mains									
into	S/B 352,							-		
inina	pillar									
	There are					10			1	
				1						1
				L						
wing	Number 7	97597.								
nduit	for comp	oliance	to AS/NZS30	000				-		
actor	shall ins	tall 75	mm(Min)thick		_					
ce le	vel to acr	nieve c	ompliance.	-	_			-		
duit e	exist on-s	ite. No	new LV cab	le	-		-	-		
-dept	h conduit.	All ex	isting under-	-depth			-			
with p	ermanent	engrav	red marked p	olates	-		-			
						NEUTRAL	A1,B1 C1,D1	NL	BK	
_								/		
	DET	LCIOR	TABLE			SPARE CORES		/	3-16	
SICAL	CONTROLLER	LOGICAL	LOOP/PB	DIST TO		TU EARTH				
OP 1	P1	1	PRES	As shown		CARLE SIZE		/	190	
OP 2	P2	2	PRES	80m		UNULL JILL	0011		7.00	
OP 3	P3	3	PRES	116m		CONT	ROLI	-ER	TYPE	
						TYCO ECLIF	PSE	EC1	-62-1	6
STA		N		Site Numl	ber	- ARA				
	OTDIA	nn. L		M527	75	22	0	Je	ensl	and
LLE		۱L		101327	5	12 CANAS	G	NIC	arnm	ent
SHE	ET			UBD MAP 302	2 F20	Land		140	47454	
EERIN	G CERTIFICAT	tion (RF	PEQ)			JOD NO.	-	4	1315	5
	1 1	SIGNATUR	\$F	NO DA	TE	Contract. No.	1	UN	1-04/	D

NO. DATE Contract. No.

09350 30.08.201

Drawing No.

Series Number

706975 | B

TS-1 of 2

MRT Detail (02/14

Original signed



JOIN DRAWING No. 706975

			PIT SCHE	DULE	
	DIT NO	COORI	DINATES	TYPE	COLUENTO
	PIT NO.	EASTING	NORTHING	TIPE	COMMENTS
	E1	528484.421	6922687.516	Circular	Install new pit
	E2	528495.016	6922687.328	Circular	Install new pit
	E3	528479.404	6922677.642	Circular	Install new pit on existing conduit
	E3A	528478.600	6922677.381	J Pit	Install new pit
	E4	1 Liter		No.4	Existing to remain
	E5	-		Circular	Upgrade to new pit
	E6	-	-	No.4	Existing to remain
	E7	<del></del>		No.4	Existing to remain
	E8	<u>+</u>	-	No.4	Existing to remain
	E9	-	-	No.4	Existing to remain
*Refer Note 2	*E10	-	-	Circular	Install new pit
	E11	4	-	No.4	Existing to remain
	E12	528504.647	6922851.153	Circular	Install new pit

# NOTES:

- shown.

				Associated Job Nos	Survey Data	Scales		GOI	LD COAST	CITY			TRAFF	C SIGNAL IN
					Datum			PAC	IFIC MOTOR	RWAY		1	OPERA	TIONS AND F
				Auxiliary Drg Nos H	Horiz. Grid	0 2 4 6 8 10m	EXIT 49 INTERCHANGE (EAST)						SCHEDULE	
			1		Height		Reference Points				Drawn	ENGINE		
					Origin		Preceding	Dist. to start	From start to	From end to	Following	C.WOJCIK	ENG. AREA	NAME
A Issued For Construction					Survey	Dimensions shown in metres	RP	of job (km)	end of job	Following RP	RP	Destand	Electrical	D.ROESNER
Revisions/Descriptions	Certification	Date	Microfiled		Books	except where shown otherwise	12A/10R	0.37	0.55	7.86	12A/11Q	Designed		
CAD FILES G:\NERD\ROAD PROJECTS\Elements\34\Pacifi	ic Motorway Off Ramp Signa	s\Exit 49\F	inal\M5275_M	5276_TS_Comms_B_C.	dwg		Through Chaine	age from 30.99km	to 31.54km			C.WOJCIK		

		E3	PR EXI RE PIT FO	OPOSED ISTING CO CTANGULA NUMBER OTING LO	CONDUIT RUN DNDUIT RUN AR PIT R ICATION 250W
		N PF	R SW	ITCHBOAF	RD
NO NE INS RE	TE: W ITEMS STALLED FER TO	S ARE TO T TMR	TO BE S IMR SPEC SD1436	UPPLIED FICATION FOR SYM	& MRTS91. BOL DETAILS
AL	SOM L CONTR CONFLICTS CHECKS	E SEI PACTOR S WITH A WITH A C	VARN RVICES AF IS ARE RESI SERVICES SERVICES OMMENCING	ING RE NOT S ONSIBLE AND MUST AUTHORIT WORKS.	SHOWN FOR AVOIDING CARRY OUT IES BEFORE
AL	SOM	E SEF RACTOR S WITH A C	VARN RVICES AF S ARE RESI SERVICES ALL SERVICE OMMENCING	ING RE NOT S ONSIBLE AND MUST AUTHORIT WORKS.	SHOWN FOR AVOIDING CARRY OUT IES BEFORE
AL C	SOM L CONTR CONFLICTS CHECKS RAFFI STATIC PIT	E SEF S WITH C C C S DN/	VARN RVICES AF S ARE RESI SERVICES ALL SERVICE OMMENCING	E NOT S PONSIBLE AUTHORIT WORKS.	SHOWN FOR AVOIDING CARRY OUT IES BEFORE SCHEDULE REMARKS
ALC	SOM L CONTR CONFLICTS CHECKS RAFFI STATIC PIT FROM	C S DN/ To	VARN RVICES AF S ARE RESI SERVICES OMMENCING IGNAL CABLE TYPE	ING RE NOT S PONSIBLE AND MUST AUTHORIT WORKS.	SHOWN FOR AVOIDING CARRY OUT IES BEFORE SCHEDULE REMARKS
	SOM L CONTR CONFLICTS CHECKS RAFFI STATIC PIT FROM TSC	E SEF RACTOR S WITH / C C S DN/ C TO 1	VARN RVICES AF S ARE RESI SERVICES ALL SERVICE OMMENCING IGNAL CABLE TYPE 19C	CABLE	SHOWN FOR AVOIDING CARRY OUT IES BEFORE SCHEDULE REMARKS Install new cable
RUN 1	SOM L CONTR CONFLICTS CHECKS RAFFI STATIC PIT FROM TSC 1	C S C S C S C S C S C S C S C S C S C S	VARN RVICES AF S ARE RESI SERVICES OMMENCING IGNAL CABLE TYPE 19C 19C	ING RE NOT SONSIBLE AND MUST AUTHORIT WORKS. CABLE LENGTH (m) 30 20	SHOWN FOR AVOIDING CARRY OUT IES BEFORE SCHEDULE REMARKS Install new cable

LEGEND \*\*\*\*\* UNLESS OTHERWISE STATED \*\*\*\*\*

SIGNAL POST	HARDWARE	REQUIREMENT
1	G/M 2 Aspect LED Lantern	New Lantern
2	G/M 2 Aspect LED Lantern	New Lantern

	FOOTING	G AND PO	ST SCHEDL	ILE				
STATION	TYDE	COMMENTS	COORDINATES					
No. ITPE	COMMENTS	EASTING	NORTHING					
1	4.1m Post	Install New	528484.373	6922688.67				
2	4.1m Post	Install New	528494.740	6922688.94				

ast

-

	SIGN SCH	EDULE
POST	SIGN TYPE	REQUIREMENT
1A	Regulatory sign (R6-6A)	Install new sign on new post
2A	Regulatory sign (R6-6A)	Install new sign on new post
3*	Warning sign (W3-3A)	Install new sign on new post
3A*	Warning sign (W3—3A)	Install new sign on new post

\*Position of signs to be confirmed on site"

LOC	OP DETE	CTOR FEEDER CA	ABLE SC	CHEDULE
LOOP No.	LOOP TYPE	DISTANCE FROM STOP/HOLD LINE (m)	QUANTITY (m)	REMARKS
1	PRESENCE	As shown	330	Install New
2	PRESENCE	As shown	160	Install new
3	PRESENCE	As shown	135	Install new
		TOTAL	625	

		С	ONDUI	T SCHEDUL	E	
PIT NO.		ELECTRICAL		CUDEACE	LENGTH	OOLULENITO
FROM	TO	No	SIZE	SURFACE	(m)	COMMENTS
E3	E1	2	100	Earth	12	Install new
E1	E2	2	100	Existing Road	12	Install new
E4	E5	2	100	Existing Road	15	Install new
E11	E12	2	80	Earth	65	Install new
				TOTAL:	104	

1. The existing information shown on the plans has been supplied by the various services authorities.

vorious services authorities.
 Locate existing underground electrical conduit alignment and integrate new circular electrical pit into located conduit alignment as shown.
 Refer drawing number 706970 for fibre optic cable installation details.
 Install traffic signal controller cabinet site M5275 on concrete base pad in accordance with TMR standard drawing 1423. Provide earth pit (not shown).
 Multiple loops to be connected in parallel to a single loop feeder cable as obving

 Provide 35mm<sup>2</sup> 2C XLPE/PVC mains cable to new Controller M5275. Energex service fuse for mains cable to be 50A HRC.
 X-Y coordinates provided are indicative only. Final location to be determent on-site in accordance with TMR standards.

ISTALLATION ELECTRICAL ES	Si M UBD	te Number 5275 MAP 302 F20		<b>Queensland</b> Government		
FERING CERTIFICATION (RPEO)			Job No.	413159		
	1 10	DATE	Contract. No.	CN-3476		
	Ē	0 20.11.2018	Drawing No.	797597 A		
			Series Number	TS-2 of 2		
	1			MRT_Detail (02/14)		



om 30.995km

- 32.275kr

CAD FILES G:\NERD\ROAD PROJECTS\Flem

352 - M1 & Fyit 49 Sou

	FOOTING	SCHEDULE	
STING	NORTHING	FOOTING TYPE	COMMENTS
	N/A ALL FOOTING	S ARE EXISTING	

	ELECT	FRICAL PIT SO	CHEDULE	
DIT NO	COORI	DINATES	TYPE	-
PIT NO. F	EASTING	NORTHING	TITPE	REMARKS
E1	528504.048	6922840.140	Circular	PROPOSED
E2			Type 4	EXISTING

REACH ARM			MOUNT		
ER	ERECT (m) SI	DS No.	HEIGHT (m)	REMARKS	

D.ROESNER

SH	SHEET 1 OF 5						
ENI PRI	ENERGEX PROJECT NUMBER: S2350 PROJECT SUBURB: PIMPAMA						
LIGHTING	Site	Number 352		Queensland Government			
EERING CERTIFICATION (RPED)	_		Job No.	D10/D	001/418		
CICHIATLIDE	NO.	DATE	Contract. No.				
	09350	50 20.04.2017	Drawing No.	713925			
			Series Number	RL-1	of 5		
				MRT_I	Detail (02/14)		



- 5. Electrical Contractor shall check conduit for compliance to AS/NZS3000 under-depth, Contractor shall install 75mm(Min)thick concrete above cor surface level to achieve compliance.
- 6. The Contractor will be solely responsible for any damage incurred to existing utility services as a result of the execution of work under the Contract.

Survey Data ssociated Job Nos Scales GOLD COAST CITY RATE 3 ROAD L Datun PACIFIC MOTORWAY LAYOUT 5 10 15 20m Auxiliary Drg Nos Horiz. Grid SHEET 2 O 30.995km - 32.275km CTL CHGE 713925 Reference Points Height Drawn ENGIN 09350 20.11.18 713927-713929 B Minor changes ENG AREA Origin Dist. to star Following RP Preceding From start to From end to L.NOKHOVA NAME A Issued For Remedial Electrical Work MF.A RP of job (km) end of job Following RP 797596 Survey I ECTRICA ROFSNER Dimensions shown in metres except where shown otherwise esigned Revisions/Descriptions 12A/10A Certification Date Microfiled Books 0.39 1.28 7.145 12A/11A D ROFSNER CAD FILES G:\NERD\ROAD PROJECTS\Elements\34 - M1 & Exit 49 Southbound on F rough Chainage from 30.9 32.275km



shall be installed [ be labeled	SHEET 2	2 (	)F 5		
/ conduit.   requirements. If nduit to at	ENERGEX PROJECT NUMBER: S2350210 PROJECT SUBURB: PIMPAMA				
IGHTING		Site	Number 352		Queensland Government
ERING CERTIFICATION (RE	PEO)	-		Job No.	D10/D001/418
	N	D. T	DATE	Contract. No.	
-	09.	350	20.04.2017	Drawing No.	713926 B

Series Number

RL-2 of 5

MRT Detail (02/14




N	01	FC.	
11	U.	LJ.	

- 1. These drawings are provided for modification to existing electrical cabling, switchgear and switchboards in regards to "end of life" maintenance. The existing lighting design and all existing luminaries, outreaches, poles etc are to remain "as is". Certification of these drawings are limited to electrical changes only.
- This drawing is based on "marked up" information provided by RoadTek and must be confirmed on-site.

	CIRCUIT SCH	HEDULE				
AIRE ON ER	LOAD	START CURRENT (A)	RUN CURRENT (A)	FUSE RATING (A)	MINIMUM CONDUCTOR SIZE	REMARKS
10A	4x250W HPS	7.2	5.8	20	25mm <sup>2</sup> 4C XLPE/PVC	Existing
4	2x250W HPS	3.6	2.9	20	25mm <sup>2</sup> 4C XLPE/PVC	Existing
0	2x250W HPS	3.6	2.9	20	25mm <sup>2</sup> 4C XLPE/PVC	Existing
5	2x250W HPS	3.6	2.9	20	25mm <sup>2</sup> 4C XLPE/PVC	Existing
7	3x250W HPS	5.4	4.35	20	25mm <sup>2</sup> 4C XLPE/PVC	Existing
3	2x250W HPS	3.6	2.9	20	25mm <sup>2</sup> 4C XLPE/PVC	Existing
1	2x250W HPS	3.6	2.9	20	25mm <sup>2</sup> 4C XLPE/PVC	Existing
6	2x250W HPS	3.6	2.9	20	25mm <sup>2</sup> 4C XLPE/PVC	Existing
	2x250W HPS	3.6	2.9	20	25mm <sup>2</sup> 4C XLPE/PVC	Existing
2	1x250W,1x400W HPS	4.73	3.73	20	25mm <sup>2</sup> 4C XLPE/PVC	Existing
19	3x250W HPS	5.4	4.35	20	25mm <sup>2</sup> 4C XLPE/PVC	Existing
7	2x250W HPS	3.6	2.9	20	25mm <sup>2</sup> 4C XLPE/PVC	Existing

-	TO	GPO	1	(RCD	Prot	ected)
	TO	GPO	2	(Non	RCD	Protected)

MEN

EXISTING FIELD CABINET 5761

	SHEE	T 4	OF 5					
	ENER PROJ	RGEX JECT	PROJEC SUBURE	CT NUMBER: S2350210 3: PIMPAMA				
IGHTING C		Site S	Number 352	Queensla Governme				
FRING CERTIFICATION (RE	PEO)	-		Job No.	D10/D	001/418		
		NO.	DATE	Contract. No.		0.0000		
		09350	20.04.2017	Drawing No.	713928			
1				Series Number	RL-4	of 5		
				14	MRT_	Detail (02/14)		

	_		-								ROAD	LIGH	HING SC	CHEDULE							
LOOATION	STN	SITE I.D.		I TVICTINO	PC	OLE		1				LUMIN	AIRE					OUTREACH	ARM	MOUNT	
LUCATION	No.	(POLE No)	COMP	(m)	(m)	ERECT	SDS No.	ALIGN	COMP	EXIST.	RECOV	ER	ER	ECT	SDS No.	EXIST	RECOVER	ERECT	SDS No	HEIGHT	REMARKS
	1	WA68507	D01	1000	Tuil	(11)	FV		LU.	00500117	LUMIN.	CUST.	LUMIN.	CUST.		(m)	(m)	(m)	505 NO.	(m)	
	2	W469509	PO1	10DPM			EX	EX	SLI	S2500M3	-				EX	3.0			EX	12	EXISTING POLE, OUTREACH AND LUMINAIRE.
	2	W468500	P01	10PDM			EX	EX	SLI	S250CM3	-				EX	3.0			EX	12	EXISTING POLE, OUTREACH AND LUMINAIRE.
	1	W468600	P01	10CPM			EX	EX	SLI	S250CM3					EX	3.0			EX	12	EXISTING POLE, OUTREACH AND LUMINAIRE.
	5	101547	P01	0 EDDU			EX	EX	SLI	S250CM3					EX	3.0	2		EX	12	EXISTING POLE, OUTREACH AND LUMINAIRE
	6	101546	P01	0.JDFM			EX	EX	SLI	S250CM3		1			EX	3.0	1		EX	10.5	EXISTING POLE, OUTREACH AND LUMINAIRE
	7	W/68601	PO1	9 500M			EX	EX	SLI	S250CM3					EX	3.0			EX	10.5	EXISTING POLE, OUTREACH AND LUMINAIRE
	8	101548	P01	1000M			EX	EX	SLI	S250CM3					EX	3.0			EX	10.5	EXISTING POLE, OUTREACH AND LUMINAIRE
	0	101553	P01	100PM			EX	EX	SLI	S250CM3					EX	4.5			EX	12	EXISTING POLE, OUTREACH AND LUMINAIRE
	10	101554	P01	0 EDDM			EX	EX	SLI	S250CM3	(				EX	4.5			EX	12	EXISTING POLE, OUTREACH AND LUMINAIRE
	104	111316	POI	0.JBPM	-	-	EX	EX	SLI	S250CM3			L		EX	3.0			EX	10.5	EXISTING POLE, OUTREACH AND LUMINAIRE
	11	101540	P01	1000M			EX	EX	SLI	S250CM3		1			EX	3.0			EX	10.5	EXISTING POLE, OUTREACH AND LUMINAIRE
	10	101549	PUI	100PM			EX	EX	SLI	S250CM3					EX	4.5			EX	12	EXISTING POLE, OUTREACH AND LUMINAIRE
EVIT AD CONTUROUND	12	101550	POI	100PM		1	EX	EX	SLI	S250CM3	S250CM3	MRD	S400CA	MRD	EX	4.5			EX	12	EXISTING POLE, OUTREACH AND NEW LUMINAL
INTERCHANCE	14	101551	P01	100PM			EX	EX	SLI	S250CM3					EX	4.5		-	EX	12	EXISTING POLE, OUTREACH AND LUMINAIRE
INTERCIANCE	14	101531	POI	100PM			EX	EX	SLI	S250CM3					EX	4.5			EX	12	EXISTING POLE, OUTREACH AND LUMINAIRE
	16	101520	PUI	103BM	-		EX	EX	SL1	S250CM3					EX	3.0			EX	12	EXISTING POLE, OUTREACH AND LUMINAIRE
	17	W468602	POI	1000M			EX	EX	SL1	S250CM3		_	1		EX	3.0			EX	10.5	EXISTING POLE, OUTREACH AND LUMINAIRE
	10	W4000UZ	PUI	100PM			EX	EX	SL1	S250CM3					EX	3.0			EX	12	EXISTING POLE, OUTREACH AND LUMINAIRE.
	10	101600	PUI	10288			EX	EX	SL1	S250CM3		1.1.1	1		EX	3.0			EX	12	EXISTING POLE, OUTREACH AND LUMINAIRE.
	20	WACREDA	PUI	0.00DM			EX	EX	SLT	S250CM3		_	-		EX	3.0		CHER &	EX	10.5	EXISTING POLE, OUTREACH AND LUMINAIRE.
	20	W400004	PUI	0.0BPM			EX	EX	SL1	S250CM3		1			EX	3.0	-		EX	10.5	EXISTING POLE, OUTREACH AND LUMINAIRE.
	21	W400000	PUI	TUBPM			EX	EX	SL1	S250CM3				_	EX	3.0			EX	10.5	EXISTING POLE, OUTREACH AND LUMINAIRE
	22	W400000	PUI	TOBPM			EX	EX	SL1	S250CM3			1.6.1		EX	3.0			EX	10.5	EXISTING POLE, OUTREACH AND LUMINAIRE.
	23	W4000U/	PUI	TUBPM			EX	EX	SL1	S250CM3				1.5	EX	3.0			EX	10.5	EXISTING POLE, OUTREACH AND LUMINAIRE.
	24	W400000	PUI	TUBPM			EX	EX	SL1	S250CM3				1	EX	3.0			EX	10.5	EXISTING POLE, OUTREACH AND LUMINAIRE.
	20	W400009	PUI	TOREM			EX	EX	SL1	S250CM3				1	EX	3.0			EX	10.5	EXISTING POLE, OUTREACH AND LUMINAIRE.
	20	W408610	P01	TUBPM		-	EX	EX	SL1	S250CM3					EX	3.0			EX	10.5	EXISTING POLE, OUTREACH AND LUMINAIRE.
	21	W468611	PUT	TORAW			ΕX	EX	SL1	S250CM3			1.5.5.14		EX	3.0			EX	10.5	EXISTING POLE, OUTREACH AND LUMINAIRE

MRD - TRANSPORT & MAIN ROADS DEPARTMENT, SBM - SLIP BASE MOUNTED, BPM - BASE PLATE MOUNTED, JUP - JOINT USE POLE, KB - KERB BACK, EP - EDGE OF PAVEMENT, EL - EDGE LINE (WHITE)

LOCATION	STATIONS FROM – TO	VOLTS (V)	EX	REC	IN	CABLE SIZE/TYPE	TOTAL LENGTH (m)	REMARKS			
CONSUMER MAINS U856182 TO S352	U856182 - S352	400			X	35MM <sup>2</sup> 4C XLPE/PVC	338	NEW CABLE			
	CIRCUIT 352L1										
	S352 - 5	400	X			25MM <sup>2</sup> 4C PVC/PVC		EXISTING CABLE			
	5 - 3	400	X			25MM <sup>2</sup> 4C PVC/PVC		EXISTING CABLE			
	5 - 10	400	X			25MM <sup>2</sup> 4C PVC/PVC		EXISTING CABLE			
	5 - 10A	400	X			25MM <sup>2</sup> 4C PVC/PVC	11 1	EXISTING CABLE			
	S352 - 6	400	X			25MM <sup>2</sup> 4C PVC/PVC		EXISTING CABLE			
	6 - 2	400	X			25MM <sup>2</sup> 4C PVC/PVC		EXISTING CABLE			
PACIFIC MWY	6 - 7	400	X			25MM <sup>2</sup> 4C PVC/PVC		EXISTING CABLE			
EXIT 49	S352 - 4	400	X			25MM <sup>2</sup> 4C PVC/PVC		EXISTING CABLE			
SWITCHBOARD 352	4 - 1	400	X		1.1	25MM <sup>2</sup> 4C PVC/PVC		EXISTING CABLE			
			1			CIRCUIT 352L2					
	S352 - 14	400	X			25MM <sup>2</sup> 4C PVC/PVC		EXISTING CABLE			
	14 - 8	400	X			25MM <sup>2</sup> 4C PVC/PVC		EXISTING CABLE			
	S352 - 13	400	X			25MM <sup>2</sup> 4C PVC/PVC		EXISTING CABLE			
	13 - 9	400	X	2		25MM <sup>2</sup> 4C PVC/PVC		EXISTING CABLE			
	S352 - 11	400	X			25MM <sup>2</sup> 4C PVC/PVC		EXISTING CABLE			
	11 - 12	400	X			25MM <sup>2</sup> 4C PVC/PVC		EXISTING CABLE			

	UNDERGROUND	CABL	- 2	CHEL	DULE - SII	E 352	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
LOCATION	STATIONS FROM - TO	VOLTS (V)	EX	REC	CABLE SIZE	E/TYPE	TOTAL LENGTH (m)	REMARKS			
	CIRCUIT 352L3										
	S352 - 15	400	X		25MM <sup>2</sup> 4C P	VC/PVC		EXISTING CABL			
	15 - 20	400	X		25MM <sup>2</sup> 4C P	VC/PVC		EXISTING CABL			
	S352 - 17	400	X		25MM <sup>2</sup> 4C P	VC/PVC		EXISTING CABL			
	17 - 21	400	X		25MM <sup>2</sup> 4C P	VC/PVC		EXISTING CABL			
	S352 - A28	400	X		25MM <sup>2</sup> 4C P	VC/PVC		EXISTING CABL			
0101510 1004	A28 - 16	400	X	- 14	25MM <sup>2</sup> 4C P	VC/PVC		EXISTING CABL			
FXIT 49	16 - 19	400	X		25MM <sup>2</sup> 4C P	VC/PVC		EXISTING CABL			
SWITCHBOARD 352	A28 - 18	400	X		25MM <sup>2</sup> 4C P	VC/PVC		EXISTING CABLE			
					CIRCUIT	352L4					
	S352 - 22	400	X		25MM2 4C P	VC/PVC		EXISTING CABLE			
	22 - 24	400	X		25MM2 4C P	VC/PVC		EXISTING CABL			
	S352 - 23	400	X		25MM <sup>2</sup> 4C P	VC/PVC		EXISTING CABLE			
	23 - 26	400	X		25MM2 4C P	VC/PVC		EXISTING CABLE			
	S352 - 24	400	X		25MM2 4C P	VC/PVC		EXISTING CABLE			
	24 - 27	400	X		25MM <sup>2</sup> 4C P	VC/PVC		EXISTING CABLE			

NOTE

 This drawing is based on "marked up" information RoadTek and must be confirmed on-site.

Associated Job Nos Survey Data GOLD COAST CITY Scales RATE 3 ROAD LIC Datum PACIFIC MOTORWAY NTS SCHEDULES Auxiliary Drg Nos Horiz. Grid 30.995km - 32.275km CTL CHGE 713925-713928 797596 Height Origin Survey Reference Points ENGINEE B Minor changes Drawn 09350 20.11.18 From start to end of job Preceding RP Dist. to start From end to Following RP Following RP ENG. AREA NAME A Issued For Remedial Electrical Work L.NOKHOVA MF,A of job (km) Dimensions shown in metres except where shown otherwise ELECTRICAL D.ROESNER 
 g
 Revisions/Descriptions
 Certification
 Date
 Microfiled

 Image: CAD FILES
 G:\NERD\ROAD PROJECTS\Elements\34\Site 352 - M1 & Exit 49 Southbound on Ramp\Site 352 SLD M1 & Exit 49 Suthbound on Ramp\Site 352 SLD M1 & Exit 49 Suthbound on Ramp\Site 352 SLD M1 & Exit 49 Suthbound on Ramp\Site 352 SLD M1 & Exit 49 SUTHBOUND & Designed 12A/10A 0.39 Books 1.28 7.145 12A/11A D.ROESNER ound dr\_B.dwg Through Chainage from 30.995km - 32.275km

	SHEET 5	OF 5							
n provided by	ENERGEX PROJECT	ENERGEX PROJECT NUMBER: S2350210 PROJECT SUBURB: PIMPAMA							
GHTING S	Sit	e Number		Queensland Government					
RING CERTIFICATION (	RPEO)		Job No.	D10/D001/418					
and original original	T NO.	DATE	Contract. No.						
	09350	20.04.2017	Drawing No.	713929 B					
			Series Number	RL-5 of 5					
				MRT_Detail (02/14)					





12A/10R

rough Chai

0.83

from 31.45km to 31.98km

0.53

7.42

12A/11Q

M.VENKATARAMU

A Issued For Construction Revisions/Descriptions CAD FILES | G:\NERD\ROAD PROJECTS\Elements\34\Pacific Mo

Certification Date Microfiled

otorway Off Ramp Signals\Exit 49\F

Books

1. Run 12 cores optic fibre cable (per cable standards in accordance with MRTS234) between existing ITS cabinet 5762 to new traffic signals cabinet M5276 in existing electrical conduit. Present all 12 cores at Fobot and terminate active pairs only at both: PM5762 & M5276. Recover existing Cisco switch from ITS cabinet PM5762 and install 2000-8TS-G-B.

Recover existing Cisco switch from Its cabinet PM5/52 and install 2000-815-6-8.
 Contact ITS&E team for port & switch configuration details.
 General cabinet arrangement of the traffic signal controller M5/62 to be as per SD1781, however with substitution of Telstra modern with Network Switch. Equipment to be located on shelf in controller; no top hat to be installed for M5/62.
 Install BLUETOOTH modern in the TSC M5276 with the directional antenna on the signal post at Station 4 pointing towards NB off-ramp & Rifle Range Road. Refer to Traffic Signal level/lation

Installation dwg No.706974A for Station details.

7. Subduct electrical conduit to run the shielded co-axial cable between the antenna on traffic signal post at Stn 2 and the Controller M5275.

		CABLE S	CHEDU	LE			
10	N/ PIT	CABLE	LENGTH	RFMARKS			
1	TO	TYPE	(m)	The marked			
	M5276	12C FOC	156	Install new cable			
		TOTAL:	156				

LEGEND UNLESS OTHERWISE STATED

	NEW CONDUIT
_	EXISTING CONDUIT
	12C PROPOSED OPTIC FIBRE CABLE
0	PROPOSED CABLE IN CONDUIT
	EXISTING TMR TRAFFIC SIGNAL/STREET LIGHTING PIT
0	CIRCULAR PIT
	TRAFFIC SIGNAL CONTROLLER
$\blacksquare$	COMMUNICATIONS FIELD CABINET

BILL OF MATERIALS	S			
EM DESCRIPTION	BRAND/MODEL -	M5276	PM5762	
OUTLET PER SD1778		1		
		1		
H POWER SUPPLY	TRANSMAX FP MINI-6	1		
		1		
CABLE		1		
ТСН	CISCO-2000-4TS-G-B	1		
ITCH	CISCO-2000-8TS-G-B		1	
ITCH POWER SUPPLY	PRW-IE-65W-AC-IEC	1	1	
	GLC-FE-100LX-RGD	1	1	
	GLC-LX-SM-RGD		2	
			1	
ADS 1.5MT SINGLE MODE	SCA-LC DUPLEX	2	2	
JT TERMINAL (FOBOT) DIN RAIL	AFC 6 PORT SCA	1		
JT TERMINAL (FOBOT) RACK MOUNT	AFC 6 PORT SCA		2	
	SD-1000	1		
	NTC-6200-02	1		
AL ANTENNA	SPDG11H22-SMA	1		

ANSPORT SYSTEMS JT PLAN				<b>Queensland</b> Government
			Job No.	413159
SIGNATURE	NO.	DATE	Contract. No.	CN-3476
Original signed	17460	19/8/2016	Drawing No.	706969 D
			Series Number	IT-1 of 1
				MRR_Detail (02/14)

LECEN	D UNITES OTHERWISE STATED			CABLE	SCHEDU	IIF
	EXISTING CONDUIT	STATIC	N/ PIT	CABLE	LENGTH	DEMARKO
	PROPOSED CAT 6 CABLE	FROM	TO	TYPE	(m)	REMARKS
	PROPOSED CABLE IN CONDUIT EXISTING CABLE IN CONDUIT	5762	M5275	CAT6	30	install new cable
	EXISTING TMR TRAFFIC SIGNAL/STREET LIGHTING PIT			TOTAL:	30	
0	CIRCULAR PIT					
NA A	TRAFFIC SIGNAL CONTROLLER					
$\boxtimes$	COMMUNICATIONS FIELD CABINET					
						1
						it
						all l
				1. Law	1	
		and a		-		
		¥				
		T		-	DAMP	
	-	SOUTH	HBOUN	) OFF	TV41	a p

NOTES:

- Run CAT6 cable (per cable standards in accordance with MRTS234) between existing ITS cabinet 5762 to new traffic signals cabinet M5275 in new communications conduit. Contact ITS&E team for port details.
- General cabinet arrangement of the traffic signal controller M5275 to be as per SD1780, however with substitution of Telstra modem with Network Switch. Equipment to be located on shelf in controller; no top hat to be installed for M5275.
- Install BLUETOOTH modem in the TSC M5275 with the directional antenna on the signal post at Station 2 pointing towards SB on-ramp & Yawalpah Road. Refer to Traffic Signal Installation dwg No.706975A for Station details.
- Subduct electrical conduit to run the shielded co-axial cable between the antenna on traffic signal post at Stn 2 and the Controller M5276.

	BILL OF MAT	ERIALS			
ITEN NO			EXIT 49		
TIEM NO.	TIEM DESCRIPTION	BRAND/ MUDEL	M5275	PM5762	
1	230V, DOUBLE SOCKET OUTLET PER SD1778		1		
2	4-WAY POWER RAIL		1		
3	FIELD PROCESSOR WITH POWER SUPPLY	TRANSMAX FP MINI-6	1		
4	ECLIPSE CONTROLLER		1		
5	ECLIPSE CONTROLLER CABLE		1		
6	ETHERNET SURGE PROTECTION	NOVARIS RJ45 - CAT6	1	1	
7	CAT6 CABLE 1.5m		1		
15	PARANI	SD-1000	1		
16	NETWORK MODEM	NTC-6200-02	1		
17	BLUETOOTH DIRECTIONAL ANTENNA	SPDG11H22-SMA	1		



				Associated Job Nos	Survey Data	Scales	1	GOI	LD COAST	CITY			INTE	ELLIGENT TRA
		-		-	Datum	All Statements	1	PAG	CIFIC MOTOR	WAY				LAYOU
				Auxiliary Drg Nos	Horiz. Grid	0 2 4 6 8 10m		EXIT 49	INTERCHANC	GE (EAST)				
C Note 4 added		873 21.11.	18		Height			R	eference Points			Drawn		ENGINEE
B Minor Changes		873 30.08.	18		Origin		Preceding	Dist. to start	From start to	From end to	Following	C.WOJCIK	ENG. AREA	NAME
A Issued For Construction			MF10.16	A	Survey	Dimensions, shown in metres	RP	of job (km)	end of job	Following RP	RP	Designed	IT&T	T.KOOYMANS
Revisions/Descriptions	Certification	Date	Microfile	d	Books	except where shown otherwise	12A/10R	0.37	0.55	7.86	12A/11Q	Designed		
CAD FILES G:\NERD\ROAD PROJECTS\Elements\34\Paci	fic Motorway Off Ramp Si	anals\Exit 49	\Final\M5275_	M5276_TS_Comms_B_C	.dwg		Through Chain	age from 30.99km	to 31.54km			M.VENKATARAMU		

# **Business Case**

(

M1 Pacific Motorway Exit 49 – Interchange Upgrade 230/12A/900



Great state. Great opportunity.



# **Project Summary**

Region/Unit	South Coast Region
Location	Nerang
Program	QTRIP
Project Number	230/12A/900
Project Description	M1 Pacific Motorway – Exit 49 Interchange Upgrade

# **Document Control**

Prepared by:	Wayne Brennan
Title:	Principal Engineer
Branch:	Project Delivery and Operation
Division:	Project Planning and Corridor Management
Location:	36-38 Cotton Street, Nerang
Version no:	0.2
Version date:	February 2017
Status:	Final
DMS ref. no:	450/01258
File/Doc no:	230/12A/900

# Version history

Version no.	Date	Changed by	Nature of amendment
0.1	Nov 2016	N J Guest	Initial draft.
0.2	Feb 2017	N J Guest	Final



Template Version 2.0 (07/08/2013)

# **Endorsement and Approval**

# Customer

(

(

I agree to the project proceeding as proposed in this document.

Name	Paul Noonan Les DUAN	
Position A	Regional Director (South Coast Region)	
Signature	Date 7/2	~
	Part Refuse Sch.4 Part 4 s.6 Personal information	
Comment	s please advision portable	<u> </u>
	delivery dates	
Sponsor		
I agree to t	the project proceeding as proposed in this document.	
Name	Gary Swanson	
Position	A/Manager, Project Planning & Corridor Management	
Signature	Part Pofuse Sch / Part / s 6 Personal information Date 2/2/	17
-		<i>t</i>
Comment	s	
The followi	ng officers have endorsed this document:	
Name	Shane McNamee	
Position	Principal Engineer (Civil), Project Planning and Corridor Management	
Signature	Date 27/2/1-	7
	Part Refuse Sch.4 Part 4 S.6 Personal Information	
Add furthe	r names as required	
D	$(\bigcirc)^{>}$	
Project m	lanager:	
l recomme	nd the project proceeds as proposed in this document.	
Name	Wayne Brennan	
Position	Principal Engineer, Project Planning and Corridor Management	
Signature	Date 27/02/1-	Т
	Part Refuse Sch.4 Part 4 s.6 Personal information	1
	>	
M1 Pacific Mo	otorway – Exit 49 Interchange Upgrade	
Transport and	d Main Roads, February 2017	Page 2 of 32

# **Table of Contents**

1	Exec	utive Summary	6					
	1.1.	Current Situation						
	1.2.	Preferred Option	6					
	1.3.	Estimated Project Cost	7					
	1.4.	Key Benefits Realised	7					
	1.5.	Recommendation	7					
2	Purp	ose of this Document	8					
3	Defir	nitions	8					
4	Governance							
	4.1.	Key Roles						
	4.2.	Project Organisation Structure						
	4.3.	Whole of Government Requirements/Strategic Focus						
	4.4.	Departmental Corporate/Strategic Requirements	10					
	4.5.	Portfolio Management Requirements	10					
	4.6.	Program Management Requirements	10					
	4.7.	Business and Program Benefits of the Project						
	4.8.	Approvals	11					
	4.9.	Reviews and Reporting						
	4.10.	Project Management Method	11					
	4.11.	Technical Standards and Processes	11					
5	Project Definition							
	5.1.	Location	12					
	5.2.	Background	12					
	5.3.	Current Situation	13					
	5.4.	Traffic Modelling Results						
	5.5.	Crash History	14					
	5.6.	Environmental Studies	14					
	5.7.	Public Utility Plant	15					
	5.8.	Related Projects/Proposals/Planning Studies	15					
	5.9.	Proposed Project	15					
	5.10.	Objectives and Benefits	16					
	5.11.	Defivery Strategy	16					
	5.12.	Project Performance Measurement/Success Criteria/KPIs	16					
	5.13.	Product Performance Measurement/Success Criteria/KPIs	16					
6	Proje	ct Scope						
	6.1.	In Scope	17					

	6.2.	Out of Scope	17
	6.3.	Constraints	17
	6.4.	Assumptions	17
	6.5.	Urgency	18
7	Stak	eholder Impacts	
	7.1.	Internal	19
	7.2.	External	
8	Opti	ons Development	20
	8.1.	Options Investigated	
	8.2.	Recommended Preferred Option	20
	8.3.	Key Benefits Realised	20
9	Proje	ect Cost and Quantifiable Benefits	21
	9.1.	Project Cost – Preferred Option	
10	Proje	ect Management Plan	22
	10.1.	Scope	22
	10.2.	Time	22
	10.3.	Cost	23
	10.4.	Quality	
	10.5.	Environment, Cultural Heritage and Native Title	
	10.6.	Safety	25
	10.7.	Functionality	25
	10.8.	Human Resources	25
	10.9.	Communications	25
	10.10	). Risk	25
	10.11	. Procurement	
	10.12	2. Integration	27
	10.13	3. Phase Transitions/Handover/Completion	27
	10.14	. Design Development	27
	10.15	i. Project Learnings	27
11	Sum	mary and Recommendations	28
	11.1.	Summary	
	11.2.	Preferred Option	
	11.3.	Estimated Project Cost	29
	17.4.	Key Benefits Realised	29
	11.5	Recommendation	29
12	Cons	siderations for Development Phase	30
	12.1.	Topographical Survey	30

M1 Pacific Motorway – Exit 49 Interchange Upgrade Business Case Transport and Main Roads, February 2017

C

(

(

	12.2.	Services Location		30
	12.3.	Environmental Studies		30
	12.4.	Geotechnical Investigations		30
	12.5.	Pavement Design		30
13	Annex	kures		2
App	endix A	Preferred Option Layout Plans	$Q_{-}$	2
Арр	endix E	8: Project Cost Estimate		2
Арр	endix C	: Traffic Analysis Report		2
App	endix D	Risk Management Record		

M1 Pacific Motorway - Exit 49 Interchange Upgrade **Business** Case Transport and Main Roads, February 2017

willing the second seco

# **1 Executive Summary**

## 1.1. Current Situation

This **Business Case** study focuses on the upgrade of the northbound off-ramp at Exit 49 on the M1 Pacific Motorway at Pimpama.

Congestion and queuing on the northbound off-ramp at this interchange presents a serious safety issue as vehicles are regularly backed-up out onto the motorway with motorists often queuing along the motorway shoulder to avoid the through traffic.

The northbound off-ramp at Exit 49 is controlled by a roundabout which directs traffic to adjacent residential developments and across the motorway.

The roundabout becomes heavily congested particularly during peak periods due to increasing traffic flow demands. This results in significant queuing and unacceptable delays on the off-ramp.

The off-ramp at this interchange does not meet current design standards associated with the existing and future traffic demands.

The root cause of the problems associated with the poor performance of this interchange include;

- > Continuing residential development in the Pimpama area, close to and adjacent to the M1.
- > Congestion and delays at the existing roundabouts particularly in peak periods.
- > Inadequate length of the northbound off-ramp.
- > Uneven flows entering the roundabouts causing delays on minor legs.

Part way along the off-ramp is an access to an information centre/layby area which also exits onto the roundabout to the north of the off-ramp exit. Motorists are by-passing queued traffic on the off-ramp by accessing the information/layby area lane and entering the roundabout ahead of the queued traffic. This is causing more delays to the queued traffic on the off-ramp and is initiating some hostility between motorists.

# 1.2. Preferred Option

The Recommended Preferred Option for the upgrade of the northbound off-ramp and to alleviate congestion at the roundabout is **Option 1: Duplication of the Off-ramp Lanes**.

The Scope of Works includes:

- > Duplication of the ramp lanes, to provide additional capacity on the off-ramp.
- Closing of the information/layby area to eliminate the associated traffic issues and driver frustration.

The Traffic modelling undertaken indicates that Option 1 will operate satisfactorily up to 2021 with moderate improvements to the northbound off-ramp in the AM peak period. Average delays are predicted to reduce from 150 seconds to 40 seconds and queues on the off-ramp reduced from 40 vehicles to 10 in the AM peak.

This option is considered to be a low cost interim upgrade which will provide improved safety performance on the off-ramp.

## 1.3. Estimated Project Cost

The estimated project cost for the upgrade of the northbound off-ramp is **\$1.20M** (2017). The out-turned project cost (2017-2018) is **\$1.23M** 

#### 1.4. Key Benefits Realised

The key benefits realised by the project include:

- > Improved safety by reducing traffic incidents, and driver frustration.
- > Improved operational functionality by reducing congestion/travel times/pollution.
- Improved network functionally by improving connections to cross network links.
- Elimination queuing on the motorway.
- > Reduced whole of life costs with better asset preservation.

#### 1.5. Recommendation

It is recommended that Preferred Option identified in this Business Case proceed to the Development Phase (Preliminary and Detailed Design).

Additional Recommendation. Strom Alan Stone · To improve the forecast 2021 performance, project scope is to include roundabout approach metering of the western roundabout on the eastern approach. budget is to be increased to \$1.5m the above increased scope. Part Refuse Sch.4 Part 4 s.6 Personal informa 4poroved Regional Director

# 2 Purpose of this Document

The purpose of this **Business Case** is to finalise scope definition of and concept estimate for the selected option, evaluate benefits and obtain the customer's commitment to funding and agreement to the project's inclusion in the organisation's program.

This Business Case focuses on the upgrade of the northbound off-ramp at Exit 49 on the M1 Pacific Motorway at Pimpama.

# **3 Definitions**

Terms, abbreviations and acronyms	Meaning
Customer	Decision maker 'owning' the new asset
Sponsor	Head of the delivery group
TMR	Department of Transport and Main Roads
QTRIP	Queensland Transport and Roads Investment Program
OnQ	TMR Project Management Methodology

## 4 Governance

The project will be/is being managed in accordance with the project management policy of April 2012 and the principles on the OnQ website under governance. Governance arrangements for the project are set out below.

The proposed governance model for the project delivery will include TMR representatives who will have defined roles and responsibilities that will be further developed during the project lifecycle.

TMR will remain the Project Manager/Owner/Sponsor for procuring preconstruction consultancies and construction contractor/s.

#### 4.1. Key Roles

The key project management roles are:

Project Customer	Paul Noonan, Regional Director (South Coast Region)
Project Sponsor	Gary Swanson, A/Manager, Project Planning & Corridor Management
Project Director	Spane McNamee, Principal Engineer, PP&CM
Project Manager	Wayne Brennan, Principal Engineer, PP&CM

# 4.2. Project Organisation Structure

This Project is to be completed by the Program Delivery and Operations division of the South Coast Region, which is part of the Transport and Main Roads Infrastructure Management and Delivery division.



# 4.3. Whole of Government Requirements/Strategic Focus

# Sydney to Brisbane Corridor Strategy

The M1 Pacific Motorway is part of the Sydney to Brisbane national coastal corridor. The corridor strategy recognises that the M1 between Eight Mile Plains (Gateway Motorway) and Tugun currently is congested and interaction between passenger and HV is reducing efficiency for freight operations as well as causing negative impacts on local communities and transit times.

The strategy identified increasing interchange capacity and addressing congestion on the Pacific Motorway, as short-term strategic priorities.

This project directly delivers on the short-term strategic priority by providing increased capacity to address congestion at this interchange and safety related issues on the Pacific Motorway.

#### Queensland State Infrastructure Plan

The Queensland Government State Infrastructure Plan (SIP) (March 2016) provides a coordinated and integrated approach to; infrastructure planning, prioritisation, funding and delivery. It sets out policies and initiatives to support economic growth, productivity enhancement and job creation.

The M1 corridor is recognised by the Queensland Government as critical infrastructure essential for supporting development in SEQ and for Queensland as a whole.

#### Draft Brisbane to Gold Coast Transport Investment Strategy

The proposed strategy for upgrading this corridor is outlined in Queensland Government's draft Brisbane to Gold Coast Transport Investment Strategy.

This draft strategy outlines multi-modal approaches for addressing key corridor priorities over the next 10 years. The draft investment strategy identifies the following upgrade 10-year priorities;

- > Increasing the capacity of interchanges to reduce queuing at off-ramps.
- > Upgrading the intersections at key interchanges to improve travel times; and
- Implementation of Managed Motorways to improve motorway operations and incident management to reduce recovery time and risk of secondary incidents.

The upgrade of the Exit 49 interchange at Pimpama is one of a number of interchange upgrades required to address capacity and safety issues on the M1 corridor between Brisbane (Eight Mile Plains) and Tugun.

# 4.4. Departmental Corporate/Strategic Requirements

This project aligns with the Departments Strategic Plan – *Roads Connecting Queenslanders*, by meeting the challenge to contribute to Queensland's welfare and responding to the needs of community, industry and government, and contributing to an integrated transport system.

# 4.5. Portfolio Management Requirements

This project is planned to be part of the Queensland Transport and Roads Investment Program (QTRIP), which is a portfolio under the policy Planning and Investment Division.

Part of the Portfolio management is to achieve specific strategic business objectives starting with strategic planning as the first step. This includes the sanctioned process (current departmental policy) of the provision of an approved OnQ project proposal ("first step") to get the project onto QTRIP four years out and then an approved OnQ Business Case two years out for the QTRIP.

# 4.6. Program Management Requirements

This programming project is included in and managed under the Transport Strategy Planning Program (TSPP).

# 4.7. Business and Program Benefits of the Project

The purpose of this project is to enable this interchange to safely and efficiently cater for the future traffic demands.

The strategic benefits for this project include;

- > Improved safety by reducing traffic incidents, and driver frustration.
- > Improved operational functionality by reducing congestion/travel times/poilution.
- Improved network functionally by improving connections to cross network links.
- Elimination queuing on the motorway.
- Reduced whole of life costs with better asset preservation.

## 4.8. Approvals

This project is to be developed under the OnQ process and will follow the appropriate approval procedures as set out by this process.

## 4.9. Reviews and Reporting

This project is to be developed under the OnQ process and all reviews and reporting shall be in accordance with the appropriate procedures and proforma documents.

#### 4.10. Project Management Method

The OnQ project management methodology is to be used for this project.

# 4.11. Technical Standards and Processes

All relevant Departmental technical standards will be followed. These include (but are not limited to):

- > TMR Road Planning and Design Manual.
- > TMR Road Drainage Manual.
- > Austroads Guidelines.
- > TMR Project Cost Estimating Manual.
- > TRUM Guidelines,
- > TMR Pavement Design Manual.
- > Manual of Uniform Traffic Control Devices.
- > TMR Preconstruction Processes Manual.



# **5 Project Definition**

#### 5.1. Location

This **Business Case** focuses on the upgrade of the northbound off-ramp at Exit 49 on the M1 Pacific Motorway at Pimpama.

The location of the interchange is shown in Figure 1 below.



Figue 1: Locality Plan

# 5.2. Background

Congestion and queuing on the northbound off-ramp at this interchange present serious safety issues as vehicles are backed-up out onto the motorway in peak traffic periods, with motorists often queuing along the motorway shoulder to avoid the through traffic.

The northbound off-ramp at this interchange does not meet current design standards associated with the existing and future traffic demands.

Land use for properties abutting the M1 Pacific Motorway in the Pimpama area is undergoing a major transition from predominantly rural use to residential development.

Congestion levels and delay costs are projected to worsen significantly to 2031, with Queensland set to experience the second highest level of population and employment growth in Australia after WA.

# 5.3. Current Situation

# 5.3.1. Off-Ramp Queuing

The northbound off-ramp at Exit 49 is controlled by a roundabout which directs traffic to adjacent residential developments and across the motorway.

The roundabout becomes heavily congested particularly during peak periods due to increasing traffic flow demands. This results in significant queuing and unacceptable delays on the off-ramp.

Observations of the current interchange operations show that significant queuing occurs on the northbound directions during the AM and PM peaks.

The queuing extends past the start of the off-ramps which results in slow moving or stationary vehicles being located in the shoulder or through lane of the Pacific Motorway. This creates a serious safety issue for through traffic on the motorway in this 110km/h area. (Refer to the photo below).

The existing length of the off-ramp is only 340m from the gore area and does not have sufficient storage length to contain the peak hour traffic.



# 5.3.2. Information Lane Informal Detour

Part way along the off-ramp is an access to an information centre/layby area which also exits onto the roundabout to the north of the off-ramp exit. Motorists are by-passing queued traffic on the off-ramp by accessing the information/layby area lane and entering the roundabout ahead of the queued traffic (refer to photo below).

This is causing further delays to the queued traffic on the off-ramp and is initiating some hostility between motorists.



#### 5.4. Traffic Modelling Results

A base year 2016 Aimsun Microsimulation Traffic Model has been developed by Metis for the M1 Pacific Motorway and Exit 49. The model was calibrated and validated based on existing traffic count data from intersection surveys. Future year 2021 road network and traffic demands were developed to assess future traffic performance within the modelling area. In addition, one network option (Option 1) was tested for the northbound off-ramp and was found to reduce queues and delays.

A copy of the Aimsun Traffic Modelling Report is attached in Appendix C.

The Aimsun modelling indicates that the existing off-ramp is at capacity in 2016, and predicted Average Delays at the interchange in 2021 without improvement to be in region of 150 seconds.

#### 5.5. Crash History

An examination of the available road crash data for this general locality over the last five years shows that there has not been any crashes on the northbound off-ramp. The majority of crashes appear to be single or double vehicle crashes on the motorway in the vicinity of the off-ramp.

# 5.6. Environmental Studies

# 5.6.1. Environmental Scoping Study

Environmental studies have not been undertaken at the Business Case Stage.

It is recommended that Environmental Scoping Study be carried out at the Preliminary Design stage of the project

# 5.6.2. Cultural Heritage Risk Assessment

A Cultural Heritage Risk Assessment of the project site has not been undertaken at the Business Case Stage.

It is recommended that a CHRA be carried out at the Preliminary Design stage.

# 5.7. Public Utility Plant

A DBYD request has revealed that there are no PUP assets on the northbound off-ramp. Telstra cables have been identified in the vicinity of the project. An allowance for the relocation/protection of the cables has been included in the cost estimate.

# 5.8. Related Projects/Proposals/Planning Studies

# 5.8.1. Intra Regional Transport Corridor (IRTC)

Planning of the corridor for the IRTC has been completed, along with concept planning of the carriageway configuration and intersections / interchange layouts.

The IRTC connects to Yawalpah Road which feeds directly to the motorway via Exit 49.

It is anticipated that the circulating traffic at Exit 49 interchange would reduce with the introduction of the IRTC.

# 5.8.2. Road Corridor Development Planning

An ultimate planning option for Exit 49 has been developed which shows the collapsing of the roundabouts at the interchange to traffic signals and the duplication of the overpass bridge. The northbound off-ramp is shown to deviate to join into Ritle Range Road.

A copy of the Road Corridor Development staging plans are in the White Books – Ground Floor, TMR Regional Office, 36-38 Cotton Street, Nerang.

# 5.8.3. Managed Motorway Planning Study

A Managed Motorway Planning Study (GHD July 2015) for the M1 has been undertaken to provide the Department with a plan for the operation of the M1 Pacific Motorway from the Gateway Motorway to Nerang as a managed motorway.

The managed motorway model under consideration for the M1 Pacific Motorway adopts the concept of controlling access onto the network and managing the operations and flow of traffic on the road network by using modern technologies and procedures. Managed motorway uses technologies to tackle flow breakdown, bottlenecks and congestion to improve motorway efficiency, reliability and safety.

The combination of ramp signalling, incident management, vehicle detection systems, variable speed control, and driver information systems all combine to provide the maximum efficient use of the road network.

Further concept planning is underway to determine the requirements and cost for the implementation of the recommended treatments at this interchange.

# 5.9. Proposed Project

This project focuses on the upgrade of the northbound off-ramp at Exit 49 on the M1 Pacific Motorway at Pimpama. This interchange services predominantly residential developments, and congestion on the northbound off-ramp is having a detrimental effect on the safe and efficient operation of the M1.

The root cause of the problems associated with the poor performance of this interchange include;

- > Continuing residential development in the Pimpama area, close to and adjacent to the M1.
- > Congestion and delays at the existing roundabouts, particularly in peak periods.
- > Inadequate length of the northbound off-ramp.
- > Uneven flows entering the roundabouts causing delays on minor legs.

## 5.10. Objectives and Benefits

#### 5.10.1. Primary Objectives

Primary Objectives of the Project include;

- Improved safety for the motorway off-ramp traffic movements at this interchange by reducing queuing on the northbound off-ramp.
- > Reduced delays at the interchange by increasing the capacity of the interchange.

#### 5.10.2. Secondary Objectives

Secondary Objectives of the Project include;

- Retention of the interchange functionality by providing safe and efficient operations for interchange directional cross flow traffic.
- Retention of and improvement to the network functionality by not precluding the Managed Motorways discipline, particularly for 2031 traffic demands.
- > Integration with the City of Gold Coast planning directions for these locations.
- > Maintain existing active transport provisions.

#### 5.11. Delivery Strategy

South Coast District will manage this project. The project is to be delivered primarily in-house with traffic analysis and modelling conducted by consultants.

The concept planning project is funded over the 2016/2017 financial years.

# 5.12. Project Performance Measurement/Success Criteria/KPIs

The management of this project shall be judged to have been successful based on the following criteria;

- > On time and within budget.
- > Achieving particular milestones.
- > Customer / stakeholder satisfaction.

These criteria are likely to change as the project progresses.

# 5.13. Product Performance Measurement/Success Criteria/KPIs

The performance of this project shall be judged against the project objectives and benefits as defined in clause 5.10 above.

# 6 Project Scope

# 6.1. In Scope

The scope of the project includes;

- Traffic modelling of the off-ramp performance to determine the most appropriate preferred option for the upgrade of this off-ramp, and present a timeline for the implementation which provides the best value for money.
- > Development of viable option for the upgrade of the off-ramp and interchange.

## 6.2. Out of Scope

Out of scope for this project includes;

- Field investigations.
- Community consultation.
- Improvement works outside the sanctioned scope of works (unless approved).

#### 6.3. Constraints

The following constraints have been identified for this project;

- Required funding is not available for subsequent phases of this project (i.e. Implementation and Finalisation phases).
- The improvement works will be undertaken in a high traffic volume area and the works will need to the completed under traffic. A high revel of analysis with the staging of the ultimate option will be needed in order to deliver value for money.

#### 6.4. Assumptions

Assumption made during the preparation of this Business Case include;

- Predicted traffic volumes and % increase used in the traffic studies and the strategic models reflect the existing and juture traffic flows.
- > Congestion at this interchange will continue to worsen.
- > Residential development in the Pimpama area will continue at its current rate.
- > Funding will continue to be available for subsequent phases of this project.
- > Resources will be available to complete subsequent phases.
- > Stakeholders will continue to support the project.

#### 6.5. Urgency

Queuing of vehicles back onto the Pacific Motorway on Exit 49 (northbound) in the AM peak period presents a serious safety issue in this 110km/h speed restriction zone.

Congestion and delays at this interchange is expected to increase with the continuing residential development in the area.

Planning for the upgrade of this interchange is necessary to prepare for the existing and future demands, and provide for a safe and efficient interchange.

# 7 Stakeholder Impacts

Public consultation on this project has not been undertaken to date. However, some initial consideration of stakeholder and their potential concerns is provided in the following sections.

# 7.1. Internal

Stakeholder	Impact/Interest in the project
Regional Director, South Coast Region	<ul> <li>Most appropriate solution to serve the district local community.</li> <li>Most appropriate use of funds.</li> <li>Most efficient and effective solution for transport industry, travelling public and other road users</li> </ul>
Manager (Project Planning and Corridor Management)	<ul> <li>Most appropriate and cost-effective solution within budgetary constraints meeting district road network requirements.</li> <li>Improve safety.</li> <li>Clarify future road planning and requirements for preservation.</li> </ul>

# 7.2. External

Impact/Interest in the project	
Local plan and area road network.	
	Impact/Interest in the project     Local plan and area road network.

# 8 Options Development

#### 8.1. Options Investigated

At this stage of the project only one option has been investigated as a viable option for the upgrade of the northbound off-ramp and to improve congestion at the roundabout.

Option 1: Duplication of the Off-ramp Lanes includes;

- > Duplication of the ramp lanes to provide additional capacity on the off-ramp.
- Closing of the information/layby area to eliminate the associated traffic issues and driver frustration.

This option is considered to be a low cost interim upgrade which will provide improved performance on the off-ramp.

#### 8.2. Recommended Preferred Option

The Recommended Preferred Option for the upgrade of the northbound off-ramp and to alleviate congestion at the roundabout is **Option 1: Duplication of the Off-ramp Lanes** 

The Traffic modelling undertaken indicates that Option 1 will operate satisfactorily up to 2021 with significant improvements to the northbound off-ramp in the AM peak period. Average delays are predicted to reduce from 150 seconds to 40 seconds and queues on the off-ramp reduced from 40 vehicles to 10 in the AM peak.

A copy of the Preferred Option Layout Plan is attached in Appendix A.

#### 8.3. Key Benefits Realised

- > Improved safety by reducing traffic incidents, and driver frustration.
- > Improved operational functionality by reducing congestion/travel times/pollution.
- > Improved network functionally by improving connections to cross network links.
- > Elimination of queuing on the motorway.
- > Reduced whole of life costs with better asset preservation.

M1 Pacific Motorway – Exit 49 Interchange Upgrade Business Case Transport and Main Roads, February 2017

Page 20 of 32

# 9 Project Cost and Quantifiable Benefits

## 9.1. Project Cost – Preferred Option

A Concept Cost estimation of the Preferred Option has been undertaken in accordance with the TMR Project Cost Estimating Manual.

A copy of the construction cost estimate is attached in Appendix B.

The project estimated cost includes the Departmental Costs for all of the subsequent Phases of the project (i.e. Concept, Development, Implementation (incl. construction costs), and Finalisation). The estimate also includes an allowance for contingency.

Appropriate risk contingency and escalation values have been added to the estimate.

The date of the last stage estimate is; 2017.

This estimate is a category (1 to 6): 2.

The method of contingency estimation was: In accordance with the PCEM.

#### The final figure given is a: Risk Adjusted Comparative Cost Estimate.

The confidence level in this estimate is: Medium.

Project Phase	Total (\$)
Concept (Business Case)	\$88,500
Development (Preliminary & Detailed Design)	\$129,500
Implementation (Construction and Contract Supervision)	\$714,300
Finalisation	\$10,000
Base Estimate	\$942,300
Contingency	\$254,520
Total Project Cost	\$1,196,820
Escalation Amount (to 2018/19)	\$33,250
Out-turn Cost	\$1,230,070

# 10 Project Management Plan

#### 10.1. Scope

Scope will be managed initially by proceeding through the concept and development phases to enumerate the scope defined in **Section 6** above.

Once scope is finalised, any changes will be identified, costed and their implications for time and quality determined, using the OnQ site> tools> proforma> project change request and change log, or other required/existing organisational process. Any changes to estimated cost will be handled through the cost variation process in sub-section 3 below on cost.

#### 10.2. Time

Progress of the project will be managed against the milestones listed below:

Milestone	Date
Approval to commence Business case	November 2016
Commence Business Case	November 2016
Submission of Business Case for approval	February 2017
Approval to proceed to Development stage	March 2017
Completion of Development stage	June 2017

Progress will be reviewed and reported monthly, initially against the above milestones, and at later stages, against the P6 schedule.

Extensions of time (EOTs) will be recorded in a change log for major contracts.

For projects that are mandated in RPM, TMR's Reporting and Performance Management system, the project manager will enter commentary on any time or financial variances, preferably as they occur, but by the sixth working day of the month at the latest.

M1 Pacific Motorway – Exit 49 Interchange Upgrade Business Case Transport and Main Roads, February 2017

Page 22 of 32

# 10.3. Cost

# 10.3.1. Budget to Development Phase

The estimated cost to complete the Development Phase (Preliminary and Detailed Design) for this project is detailed in the table below.

Activity	Totai (\$)	
Project Management	\$10,000	
Preliminary and Detailed Design	\$95,000	
Traffic Modelling (provisional if ordered)	\$7,500	
Geotechnical investigations	\$7,000	
Survey	\$10,000	
Total Phase Cost	\$129,500	

<u>Project management</u> includes departmental project management of the Concept Phase (Business Case) of the project which may include management of external consultants.

<u>Preliminary and Detailed Design</u> involves the preparation of the final construction drawings and cost estimate. This task could be undertaken internally or by an external consultant.

Traffic modelling involves a provisional amount for additional traffic modelling if required.

<u>Environmental studies</u> would include the preparation of an Environmental Scoping Report (ESR) (internal) and Cultural Heritage Risk Assessment. Depending of the findings of the ESR, the preparation of an Environmental Assessment Report (EAR) which may include a traffic noise assessment. The preparation of the EAR would generally be prepared by an external consultant.

Survey would include a full topographical survey of the project extents.

Stakeholder Consultation would be undertaken internally by the Department.

<u>Tender Documentation</u> involves the preparation of the final documentation for the tendering of the works.

#### 10.3.2. Budget Management

The total project budget, formerly called allocations, will be obtained/managed through TMR's Statewide Program Investment Delivery Application (SPIDA). Variations to the project total budget will be initiated and approved using the program submission form, formerly known as the M3131.

Approval to spend money on the project will be obtained in TMR's Financial Approval Process (FAP) system using the 'Financial approval for purchase of materials and services' form, formerly known as the M739.

Staff and contractor staff working in-house will use CATS timesheets, and apportion their times to appropriate cost codes determined by the project manager.

Expenditure will be recorded in SAP. General Ledger (GL) codes will be assigned to all expenditure, and detailed estimate items aggregated to a suitable level into either SAP WBS elements or internal orders. The structure of these needs to be determined by the project manager at the start of the job. The total of these estimated items becomes the project management budget for each cost code/internal order, which SAP expenditure will be monitored against.

SAP line items will be reviewed monthly, if necessary, to ensure no items have been charged to the wrong cost code, and that any such items are corrected.

Expenditure forecasts will be done in ProjMan.

Expenditure will be reviewed and the forecast cost to complete will be estimated monthly.

Variations to project internal budget items will be identified by the project manager/team and submissions requesting financial approval will be approved as per the limit of each officer's financial delegation, with due consideration being given to the impact on total project budget.

Variations that need to be funded from contingencies will be identified by the project manager/team and the funds released by the program manager or the 'major project owner' for projects where the Major Projects Contingency and Savings Management Policy applies.

For projects that are mandated in RPM, TMR's Reporting and Performance Management system, the project manager will enter commentary on any time or financial variances, preferably as they occur, but by the sixth working day of the month at the latest.

#### 10.4. Quality

The quality requirements of the end product will be addressed during the concept phase process of considering options and developing the business case. This process is designed to balance aspirations for project scope, completion date, cost and quality, all of which impact upon each other. Design standards and surface finish requirements will be considered in balancing these aspects of the project. Once these matters are settled and the project proceeds to implementation, then the quality standards will be incorporated into the contract brief and specification annexures.

#### 10.5. Environment, Cultural Heritage and Native Title

Environment and cultural heritage will be managed in accordance with the Environmental Processes Manual as per the TMR EMS under the Operations tab on InsideTMR.

## 10.6. Safety

A 'Zero Harm' policy exists within TMR which aspires to achieve an incident and injury-free work environment where every person comes to work and goes home again safely. This covers all activities, from the office based concept development, data collection and site investigations through to operations.

Safety in Design criteria will be considered during the Business Case phase of the project and implemented in the final detailed design.

To achieve the best Safety in Design outcome, workshop shall be conducted during the subsequent phases of this project i.e. Development Implementation, and Finalisation, where safety will be discussed at all forums and meetings.

#### 10.7. Functionality

Decisions on issues that could either reduce or increase functionality will be referred to the customer.

Where substantial improvements in functionality become possible through performing additional work at an additional cost, the Customer shall be informed of the appropriate cost option.

#### 10.8. Human Resources

The skills and resources needed to deliver the Business Case study included:-

- Project Director leads the delivery team to achieve objectives of project.
- > Project Manager drive technical aspects and ensure timely delivery of project.
- Consultant preparation of Options Aralysis, Business Case documentation, traffic modelling, risk management, cost estimates.
- Design/Draft Lead design and drafting of options, concept estimates.

Human Resource skills, roles and responsibilities for subsequent phases of the project will be developed using the OnQ Responsibility Assignment Matrix (RAM).

## 10.9. Communications

No public or industry group consultation will be undertaken at this stage of the project.

Key stakeholders will be consulted using the RPCG.

#### 10.10. Risk

A Project Risk Register has been prepared identifying the risks to the concept phase and the overall or high level risks which may impact on later phases of the project. These risks will be fully defined during preparation of the Business Case.

A copy of the Project Risk Register is attached in Appendix D.

Project risks have been identified in each category and the Likelihood and Consequence of the risk assessed. Mitigation strategies have been developed and the Likelihood and Consequence after mitigation have been reassessed, which then classifies the final Risk Rating for each risk identified.

The table below identifies the major risks or uncertainties likely to be encountered in this phase as well as the remaining phases of the project. M1 Pacific Motorway – Exit 49 Interchange Upgrade Business Case

Transport and Main Roads, February 2017

Risk details	Comment on likelihood, consequence and treatment			
Overall Project Key Risks				
Availability of Funding	Almost certain/project does not proceed			
Constructability under traffic	Likely/further delays, congestion and frustration			
Increased congestion due to adjacent residential development	Almost certain/adverse publicity, complaints			
Current Phase Risks				
Funding to complete next phase	Likely/Project delayed			
Availability of resources for next phase	Possible			

This list will be further developed by:

- > Taking these risks into the risk register in the corporate risk log.
- > Expanding as the project scope and impacts are fully developed.
- > Referring back to the corporate risk prompt list.
- Conducting (a) risk workshop(s).
- > Conducting (a) value management workshop(s).
- > Monitoring, reviewing and updating the risk register on a monthly/quarterly basis.

#### 10.11. Procurement

The major consultancies/contracts/service providers required are listed below together with the proposed procurement method:

Consultancy/Contract/Service Required	Expected \$ value	Procurement method
Traffic Modelling (Provisional)	\$7,500	External Consultant
Development Phase	\$97,500	Internal / External Consultant
Geotechnical investigations	\$7,500	External Consultant
Survey	\$7,000	External Consultant

Procurement will be in accordance with the State Purchasing Policy and will be carried out in accordance with departmental procurement procedures including the Manual – Consultants for Engineering Projects Whole of Government Engineering Consultant Scheme.

All purchase orders will be processed in SAP and approval limits will be monitored in ProjMan.

Requisitions for goods/services and purchase orders will be created in SAP.

Accounts will be processed and paid through SAP.

## 10.12. Integration

This project management plan has been prepared taking into account the requirements of all knowledge areas, and so provides the means of integrating them, ensuring they can be progressed individually and as a seamless part of the whole project with cohesive inter-relationships.

Management against this plan using the issues register on the OnQ site under tools> proforma will provide ongoing integration that will be supported by the regular project meetings and reporting outlined in this plan.

# 10.13. Phase Transitions/Handover/Completion

This **Business Case** report shall facilitate as a handover document for the completion of the project in the Concept Phase.

All data and information documented in this report is stored in the following TMR computer directory;

G:\NERD\ROAD PROJECTS\12A\230\_12A\_900\Exit 49\1 Concept\Concept Reports\3 Business Case

## 10.14. Design Development

Design considerations will be progressively documented in the Design Development Report M4212. As-constructed plans will be prepared progressively during the implementation phase.

#### 10.15. Project Learnings

Learnings on the project will be progressively entered into the learnings register from the OnQ website.

Project team members will add to this progressively throughout the project, and it will be an agenda item at monthly team meetings. This will provide a source of information for preparation of the completion report at the end of the project.

M1 Pacific Motorway - Exit 49 Interchange Upgrade **Business** Case Transport and Main Roads, February 2017

# 11 Summary and Recommendations

#### 11.1. Summary

This **Business Case** study focuses on the upgrade of the northbound off-ramp at Exit 49 on the M1 Pacific Motorway at Pimpama.

Congestion and queuing on the northbound off-ramp at this interchange present serious safety issues as vehicles are regularly backed-up out onto the motorway, with motorists often queuing along the motorway shoulder to avoid the through traffic.

The northbound off-ramp at Exit 49 is controlled by a roundabout which directs traffic to adjacent residential developments and across the motorway.

The roundabout becomes heavily congested particularly during peak periods due to increasing traffic flow demands. This results in significant queuing and unacceptable delays on the off-ramp.

The off-ramp at this interchange do not meet current design standards associated with the existing and future traffic demands.

The root cause of the problems associated with the poor performance of this interchange include;

- > Continuing residential development in the Pimpama area, close to and adjacent to the M1.
- > Congestion and delays at the existing roundabouts, particularly in peak periods.
- > Inadequate length of the northbound off-ramp.
- > Uneven flows entering the roundabouts causing delays on minor legs.

Part way along the off-ramp is an access to an information centre/layby area which also exits onto the roundabout to the north of the off-ramp exit. Motorists are by-passing queued traffic on the off-ramp by accessing the information/layby area lane and entering the roundabout ahead of the queued traffic. This is causing more delays to the queued traffic on the off-ramp and is initiating some hostility between motorists.

#### 11.2. Preferred Option

The Recommended Preferred Option for the upgrade of the northbound off-ramp and to alleviate congestion at the roundabout is **Option 1: Duplication of the Off-ramp Lanes.** 

The Scope of Works includes

- > Duplication of the ramp lanes, to provide additional capacity on the off-ramp.
- Closing of the information/layby area to eliminate the associated traffic issues and driver frustration,

The Traffic modelling undertaken indicates that Option 1 will operate satisfactorily up to 2021 with significant improvements to the northbound off-ramp in the AM peak period. Average delays are predicted to reduce from 150 seconds to 40 seconds and queues on the off-ramp reduced from 40 vehicles to 10 in the AM peak.

This option is considered to be a low cost interim upgrade which will provide improved performance on the off-ramp.

# 11.3. Estimated Project Cost

The estimated project cost for the upgrade of the northbound off-ramp is **\$1.20M** (2017). The out-turned project cost (2017-2018) is **\$1.23M** 

#### 11.4. Key Benefits Realised

The key benefits realised by the project include:

- Improved safety by reducing traffic incidents, and driver frustration.
- Improved operational functionality by reducing congestion/travel times/policition.
- > Improved network functionally by improving connections to cross network links.
- Elimination queuing on the motorway.
- Reduced whole of life costs with better asset preservation.

#### 11.5. Recommendation

It is recommended that Preferred Option identified in this Business Case proceed to the Development Phase (Preliminary and Detailed Design).

# 12 Considerations for Development Phase

#### 12.1. Topographical Survey

The Business Case planning has been carried out using aerial imagery 2016, ALS data, and As Constructed drawings.

A full topographical survey of the project extents may need to be undertaken during the Development phase of the project.

The survey is required to check;

- Adverse crossfall at the roundabout entry.
- > Check aquaplaning along the road
- Drainage design

#### 12.2. Services Location

Potholing of the PUP assets may need to be undertaken if deemed to be of concern to the project.

#### 12.3. Environmental Studies

An Environmental Scoping Report and Cultural Heritage Risk Assessment may need to be undertaken.

#### 12.4. Geotechnical Investigations

Geotechnical investigations will be required where there is pavement widening.

#### 12.5. Pavement Design

The pavement design was indicative only taken from the As Constructed drawings.

A full pavement design will be required using the results of the geotechnical investigations.

M1 Pacific Motorway – Exit 49 Interchange Upgrade Business Case Transport and Main Roads, February 2017

Page 30 of 32
### 13 Annexures

Appendix A: Preferred Option Layout Plans Appendix B: Project Cost Estimate Appendix C: Traffic Analysis Report Appendix D: Risk Management Record

**Connecting Queensland** *delivering transport for prosperity*  13 QGov (13 74 68) www.tmr.qld.gov.au | www.qld.gov.au

# APPENDIX A

a

# PREFERRED OPTION LAYOUT PLANS

r\_Business Case.pdf - Page Number: 33 of 58



Comments: Prepared based on sketch supplied by PP&CM A/Manager 23/06/2016. Concept Developed for discussion only. Concept based on ALS data and aerial imagery.

Pacific Highway (Pacific Motorway) Exit 49 North Bound Exit Ramp Concept **Proposed Works** 



LEGEND
124-200
7777777

11111	
一般である	



Brawing No. SK004 (A) Drawn: S. NESMASHIN Design: S. READ Engineer: Y. WONG



PACIFIC MOTORWAY EXIT 49 | North Bound Off-Ramp



r\_Business Case.pdf - Page Number: 35 of 58

Typical Sections and Details

Concept Developed for discussion only.

Concept based on ALS data and aerial imagery.

Design: S. READ Engineer: Y. WONG



Not to Scale

PACIFIC MOTORWAY EXIT 49 | North Bound Off-Ramp

# APPENDIX B

# **PROJECT COST ESTIMATE**

\_90

0

(

O

r\_Business Case.pdf - Page Number: 36 of 58

Project Cost Estim	nate (Summ	ary)							
Droject Detailer						A REAL			
Project Details: Project Number:	230/124/900					R AND			
Road:	M1 Pacific Moto	prway							
Project Description	Exit 49 Northbo	Exit 49 Northbound Off-Ramp Upgrade							
Project Location:	Pimpama			Length (km) :	250m	QUEENSLAND			
		0.0.0		1		GOVERNMENT			
Region	SCR	Office	Nerang	Local Authority	Gold Co	zśt Cit <del>/ Coun</del> cil			
Estimate Stage	Estimate Stage Business Case Date								
Activity Group	Previous Years	2015/16	2016/17	2017/18	2018/19	Total (\$000)			
Concept Phase	100 C			And the second s					
Concept Planning			88 500			88 500			
Concept Hanning			00,500	/		88,500			
				Concept P	ase Sub Total	\$88,500			
Development Phase					$\checkmark$				
					~				
Development Phase				129,500		129,500			
and the states of	and the	and the second s	D	evelopment Ph	ase Sub Total	\$129,500			
<b>Implementation Phase</b>			$\int G$	$\mathcal{D}$	State 18				
Contract Administration				F2 000		52 000			
Principal arranged insurance	e & WHS - PLSI			25,000		25.000			
Construction (including PUP	reloctations)		3	636,300		636,300			
			$-(\partial A)$						
			$\overline{()}$						
			$\rightarrow$						
			~						
		<u>A</u>	Loss of	Diama di Antina	Cole Total	6714 200			
Finalization Disease	and the second se		Impl	lementation Ph	ase Sub Total	\$714,300			
Project Management				10,000		10,000			
Tiojeet Management	(9	75)		10,000		10,000			
	Ro	9		<b>Finalisation Pha</b>	ase Sub Total	\$10,000			
	$(\mathcal{O})$			B	ase Estimate	\$942,300			
					_				
Risk and Contingency	V(0)	the North Party				and the second second			
Risk & Contingency				254,520		254,520			
			Ris	k and Continger	ncy Sub Total	\$254 520			
			itis	k and continger	iley Sub Total	9254,520			
PROJECT ESTIMATE (IN	CURRENT SS)	Contraction of the local division of the loc	Estim	ate Base Date	1/02/16	\$1.196.820			
Outturn Cost Calculatio	n - Contraction	1		Sec. Sec. Strates	and the second second				
	Previous Years	2015/16	2016/17	2017/18	2018/19	TOTAL			
Total Project Cost	-	-	88,500	1,108,320	-	1,196,820			
Escalation % pa #	0%	0%	0%	3%	5%	22.250			
rescalation Amount	01	-		33,250	- ( <del>*</del> ) - (	33.2501			

# - For advice on Escalation refer QTRIP Development Guidelines and/or Project Cost Estimating Manual

**PROJECT ESTIMATE (IN OUT-TURN \$S)** 

Out-turn Cost (\$OT)

Page 1 of 2 M4755 V01-SPO August 2012

\$1,230,070 **\$1,230,070** 

0

88,500

1,141,570

Estimated Practical Completion Date 1/10/2018

0

Project Number: Road:	230/12A/900 M1 Pacific Motorw	yay		
Project Description	Exit 49 Northboun	d Off-Ramp Upgrade		
Project Location:	Pimpama	Length (km) :	250m	QUEENSLAND
Region	SCR	Office Nerang Local Authority	Gold Coa	st City Council
Estimate St	tage Busine	ess Case Outturn Cost \$ 1,230,070	] Date	March 2017
Project Cost Estimat	e Certification			0
Project Cost	l certify that I hav	e prepared this estimate in accordance with the Project Cos	t Estimating Manua	al based on the project
Estimate	information conto	nined in the project scope statement, plans, documents and	program of work in	cluded in this
	submission. I furt	her certify that this is a P50 risk adjusted estimate	$\left( \bigcap \right)$	
	Estimator's Name	Pam Price		22 2
	Signature	Part Refuse Sch.4 Part 4 s.6 Personal information	Date	07.00.17
	/			
eer Review	I certify that I hav	e conducted a peer review for this estimate in accordance w	with the Project Cos	t Estimating Manual
	Points of differen	ce have been resolved and adjustments incorporated in the	cost estimate as ap	propriate.
	r		1	
	Reviewer's Name	Neil Guest		
	Signature	Part Refuse Sch.4 Part 4 s.6 Personal information	Data	27.2.17
	Signature		Date	
ecommendation	l am satisfied that meets the require	t this P50 estimate has been propered in accordance with the ments of approved project proposal, business case or project	he Project Cost Estir ct plan, as relevant.	nating Manual and
tecommendation Proj	I am satisfied that meets the require Any issues raised I recommend that ject Manager's Name	t this P50 estimate has been prepared in accordance with the ments of approved project proposal, business case or project in the peer review have been resolved as per the Estimate R this estimate be approved. Wayne Brennan	he Project Cost Estin ct plan, as relevant. eview Checklist.	nating Manual and
ecommendation	I am satisfied tha meets the require Any issues raised I recommend that ject Manager's Name Signature	t this P50 estimate has been prepared in accordance with the ments of approved project proposal, business case or project in the peer review have been resolved as per the Estimate R this estimate be approved. Wayne Brennan Part Refuse Sch.4 Part 4 s.6 Personal information	he Project Cost Estin et plan, as relevant. review Checklist. Date	mating Manual and
Recommendation	I am satisfied that meets the require Any issues raised I recommend that iject Manager's Name Signature	t this P50 estimate has been propored in accordance with the ments of approved project proposal, business case or project in the peer review have been resolved as per the Estimate R this estimate be approved. Wayne Brennan Part Refuse Sch.4 Part 4 s.6 Personal information	he Project Cost Estin ct plan, as relevant. eview Checklist.	nating Manual and
Recommendation Proj Concurrence Project Review	I am satisfied that meets the require Any issues raised I recommend that iject Manager's Name Signature I have reviewed th has been sufficient Reviewer's Name	t this P50 estimate has been prepared in accordance with the ments of approved project proposal, business case or project in the peer review have been resolved as per the Estimate R this estimate be approved. Wayne Brennan Part Refuse Sch.4 Part 4 s.6 Personal information Part Refuse Sch.4 Part 4 s.6 Personal information the project in accordance with the Project Cost Estimating M try Jefined and the estimate is reasonable for this stage of t	he Project Cost Estin ct plan, as relevant. eeview Checklist. Date [ anual and I am satin the project.	mating Manual and $\frac{210217}{5fied that the scope}$
tecommendation Proj Concurrence Project Review	I am satisfied tha meets the require Any issues raised I recommend that ject Manager's Name Signature I have reviewed th has been sufficien Reviewer's Name	t this P50 estimate has been prepared in accordance with the ments of approved project proposal, business case or project in the peer review have been resolved as per the Estimate R this estimate be approved. Wayne Brennan Fart Refuse Sch.4 Part 4 s.6 Personal information Fart Refuse Sch.4 Part 4 s.6 Personal information the project in accordance with the Project Cost Estimating M thy defined and the estimate is reasonable for this stage of t	he Project Cost Estin ct plan, as relevant. eview Checklist. Date anual and I am satic the project.	mating Manual and $\frac{210217}{5}$ sfied that the scope
ecommendation Proj oncurrence roject Review	I am satisfied that meets the require Any issues raised I recommend that iject Manager's Name Signature I have reviewed th has been sufficien Reviewer's Name Signature	t this P50 estimate has been propored in accordance with the ments of approved project proposal, business case or project in the peer review have been resolved as per the Estimate R this estimate be approved. Wayne Brennan Part Refuse Sch.4 Part 4 s.6 Personal information Part Refuse Sch.4 Part 4 s.6 Personal information the project in accordance with the Project Cost Estimating M try defined and the estimate is reasonable for this stage of t	he Project Cost Estin et plan, as relevant. eview Checklist. Date [ anual and I am satin the project.	mating Manual and $\frac{210211}{5}$ sfied that the scope
ecommendation Proj oncurrence roject Review	l am satisfied tha meets the require Any issues raised I recommend that iject Manager's Name Signature I have reviewed th has been sufficien Reviewer's Name Signature	t this P50 estimate has been proported in accordance with the ments of approved project proposal, business case or project in the peer review have been resolved as per the Estimate R this estimate be approved. Wayne Brennan Part Refuse Sch.4 Part 4 s.6 Personal information The project in accordance with the Project Cost Estimating M thy defined and the estimate is reasonable for this stage of t	he Project Cost Estin ct plan, as relevant. eeview Checklist. Date [ anual and I am satis the project.	mating Manual and $\frac{210217}{5}$ sfied that the scope
ecommendation Proj oncurrence roject Review	I am satisfied that meets the require Any issues raised I recommend that ject Manager's Name Signature I have reviewed th has been sufficient Reviewer's Name Signature	t this P50 estimate has been prepared in accordance with the ments of approved project proposal, business case or project in the peer review have been resolved as per the Estimate R this estimate be approved. Wayne Brennan Part Refuse Sch.4 Part 4 s.6 Personal information Part Refuse Sch.4 Part 4 s.6 Personal information the project in accordance with the Project Cost Estimating M try defined and the estimate is reasonable for this stage of t this project cost estimate has been prepared in accordance st Estimating Manual and that any issues raised in the cond	he Project Cost Estin et plan, as relevant. eview Checklist. Date anual and I am satis the project. Date	mating Manual and $\frac{210201}{5}$ sfied that the scope
ecommendation Proj oncurrence roject Review	I am satisfied that meets the require Any issues raised I recommend that iject Manager's Name Signature I have reviewed th has been sufficien Reviewer's Name Signature i am satisfied that current Project Co been resolved as j	t this P50 estimate has been prepared in accordance with the ments of approved project proposal, business case or project in the peer review have been resolved as per the Estimate R this estimate be approved. Wayne Brennan Part Refuse Sch.4 Part 4 s.6 Personal information The project in accordance with the Project Cost Estimating M thy defined and the estimate is reasonable for this stage of the this project cost estimate has been prepared in accordance this project cost estimate has been prepared in accordance per the Estimating Manual and that any issues raised in the concord per the Estimate Review Checklist.	he Project Cost Estin ct plan, as relevant. eeview Checklist. Date [ anual and I am sating the project. Date [ e with the processes currence review (if c	mating Manual and
ecommendation Proj oncurrence roject Review	I am satisfied tha meets the require Any issues raised I recommend that iject Manager's Name Signature I have reviewed th has been sufficient Reviewer's Name Signature I am satisfied that current Project Co been resolved as p Regional/District	t this P50 estimate has been prepared in accordance with the ments of approved project proposal, business case or project in the peer review have been resolved as per the Estimate R this estimate be approved. Wayne Brennan Part Refuse Sch.4 Part 4 s.6 Personal information Peroject in accordance with the Project Cost Estimating M try defined and the estimate is reasonable for this stage of t this project cost estimate has been prepared in accordance est Estimating Manual and that any issues raised in the conco per the Estimate Review Checklist. Director/ Program Manager/ Customer/ Sponsor – (Circle as	he Project Cost Estin et plan, as relevant. eview Checklist. Date anual and I am satische project. Date with the processes currence review (if constant) s appropriate)	nating Manual and
tecommendation Proj oncurrence roject Review	I am satisfied that meets the require Any issues raised I recommend that iject Manager's Name Signature I have reviewed th has been sufficient Reviewer's Name Signature I am satisfied that current Project Co been resolved as f Regional/District	t this P50 estimate has been prepared in accordance with the ments of approved project proposal, business case or project in the peer review have been resolved as per the Estimate R this estimate be approved. Wayne Brennan Part Refuse Sch.4 Part 4 s.6 Personal information Peroject in accordance with the Project Cost Estimating M try defined and the estimate is reasonable for this stage of the this project cost estimate has been prepared in accordance est Estimating Manual and that any issues raised in the concorder the Estimate Review Checklist. Director/ Program Manager/ Customer/ Sponsor – (Circle as Gary Swansen	he Project Cost Estin et plan, as relevant. eview Checklist. Date anual and I am satische project. Date e with the processes currence review (if constant) s appropriate)	nating Manual and
Recommendation Proj	I am satisfied that meets the require Any issues raised I recommend that ject Manager's Name Signature I have reviewed th has been sufficient Reviewer's Name Signature I am satisfied that current Project Co been resolved as J Regional/District	t this P50 estimate has been propored in accordance with the ments of approved project proposal, business case or project in the peer review have been resolved as per the Estimate R this estimate be approved. Wayne Brennan Part Refuse Sch.4 Part 4 s.6 Personal information The project in accordance with the Project Cost Estimating M try defined and the estimate is reasonable for this stage of the try defined and the estimate has been prepared in accordance set Estimating Manual and that any issues raised in the concorder the Estimate Review Checklist. Director/ Program Manager/ Customer/ Sponsor – (Circle as Garv Swansen	he Project Cost Estin et plan, as relevant. eview Checklist. Date anual and I am sati the project. Date with the processes urrence review (if c s appropriate)	mating Manual and 21/02/11 sfied that the scope coutlined in the outlined in the one was required) have
ecommendation Proj oncurrence roject Review	I am satisfied that meets the require Any issues raised I recommend that iject Manager's Name Signature I have reviewed th has been sufficien Reviewer's Name Signature I am satisfied that current Project Co been resolved as Regional/District Approver's Name Signature	t this P50 estimate has been propored in accordance with the ments of approved project proposal, business case or project in the peer review have been resolved as per the Estimate R this estimate be approved. Wayne Brennan Part Refuse Sch.4 Part 4 s.6 Personal information Per project in accordance with the Project Cost Estimating M try defined and the estimate is reasonable for this stage of t this project cost estimate has been prepared in accordance st Estimating Manual and that any issues raised in the conco per the Estimate Review Checklist. Director/ Program Manager/ Customer/ Sponsor – (Circle as Garv Swansen	he Project Cost Estin et plan, as relevant. eview Checklist. Date anual and I am satis the project. Date with the processes currence review (if c s appropriate) Date	mating Manual and 21/02/11 sfied that the scope is outlined in the me was required) have 3/3/17



NOTE: Estimate must be considered absolutely as the property of Transport and Main Roads until the acceptance of a tender, and must under no circumstances be divulged.

### Stage : 1 - Business case

### Stage : 1 - Business case

Work Package : 1 - Civil\_BC

Item Number	Description	Unit of Measure	Quantity Unit Rate (\$)	Amount (\$)
MRS02 Apr	16			
	PROVISION FOR TRAFFIC		$\sim$	
1201.01	Provision for traffic	lump sum		\$150,000.00
MRS03 Oct	16			
	DRAINAGE REMOVAL/DEMOLITION			1
2103.01	Removal or demolition of culverts, excluding end structures	lump sum		\$3,000.00
2104.01	Removal or demolition of concrete kerb including kerb crossings	m		\$5,155.00
2106.01	Removal or demolition of concrete kerb and channel including kerb crossings	m		\$480.00
2107.01	Removal or demolition of concrete slabs	m2		\$5,824.00
2108.01	Removal or demolition of gullies	each		\$2,500.00
	SUPPLY AND INSTALLATION OF CULVERTS			
2241.01	Supply and installation of concrete pipe culvert components, Class [2], [375] mm diameter	m		\$25,500.00
	PAVEMENT DRAINAGE			
2401.01	Concrete kerb, [Type 5]	m		\$520.00
2401.02	Concrete kerb, [Type 9]	m		\$6,367.50
2401.03	Concrete kerb, [Type 11]	m		\$2,090.00
2413.01	Concrete gulites, [Field Inlet Type 1]	each		\$4,000.00
	SUBSURFACE DRAINAGE			
2502.01	Subsoil drains, Type D	m		\$5,490.00
2503.01	Subsoil drain outlet connection, [100] mm diameter, [D]	each		\$620.00
2504.01	Subsoil drain clean-out, [100] mm diameter, [D]	each		\$620.00
	PROTECTIVE TREATMENTS		Part Refuse Sch.4 Part 4 s.7(1)(b)	
2631.01	Hand placed concrete paving, [125mm Footpath] mm thick	m2		\$8,532.00
2631.02	Hand placed concrete paving, [100mm Median Infill] mm thick	m2		\$16,000.00
2642.01	Grouted rock pitching	m2		\$2,400.00
MRS04 Oct	$14 \qquad \bigvee (0)$			
	EARTHWORKS, PREPARATION			
3101.01	Clearing and grubbing	m2		\$1,327.20
3103.01P	Stripping of topsoil (Provisional Quantity as directed)	m3		\$1,625.00
3104.01	Ground surface treatment under embankment, standard	m2		\$1,000.00
	EARTHWORKS, EXCAVATION			
3201.01	Road excavation, all materials	m3		\$15,440.00
3205.01	Excavation for diversion channels, all materials	m3		\$1,600.00
	EARTHWORKS, EMBANKMENT			
3301.01	Road embankment	m3		\$4,300.00
	EARTHWORKS, SUBGRADE			
3401.01P	Testing of existing material below subgrade level in cuttings (Provisional Quantity if ordered)	per set		\$1,000.00
3404.01P	Subgrade in cuttings, subgrade treatment Type C, replace with unbound pavement material (Provisional Quantity if ordered)	m3		\$23,800.00



NOTE: Estimate must be considered absolutely as the property of Transport and Main Roads until the acceptance of a tender, and must under no circumstances he divulged.

### Stage : 1 - Business case

### Work Package : 1 - Civil BC

Item Number	Description	Unit of Qu Measure	antity Unit Rate (\$)	Amount (\$)
MRS08 Oct	14	I		
	PLANT-MIXED STABILISED PAVEMENTS	~		
4301.01	Plant-mixed stabilised pavement	m3		\$43,350.00
4321.01	Supply of stabilising agent	tonne		\$4,706.80
4331.01	Water curing [location]	m2		\$4,080.00
MRS11 Jan	17			
	SPRAYED BITUMINOUS SURFACING (EXCLUDING EMULSIO	N)		
5101.01	Prime, grade [AMCO], spray rate [1.0], [including] supply of binder, [location]	lifre		\$3,400.00
5141.01	Sprayed bituminous surfacing, [including] supply of binder, [including] supply of additives, [including] supply of cover aggregates, [Interlayer AC14/AC20], refer to Clause 2 of Annexure MRTS11.1	m2		\$12,240.00
5141.02	Sprayed bituminous surfacing, [including] supply of binder, [including] supply of additives, [including] supply of cover aggregates, [Interlayer CMBCI170], refer to Clause 2 of Annexure MRTS11.1	m2		\$12,240.00
MRS14 Oct	16			
	REMOVAL, DEMOLITION AND RE-ERECTION			
6101.01	Demolition of road furniture, as listed in Clause 3 of Annexure MRTS14.1	lump sum		\$4,000.00
6104.01	Removal and re-erection of road furniture, as listed in Clause 4 of Annexure MRTS14.1	lump sum		\$3,000.00
MRS16 Oct	14			
	GROUND PREPARATION WORKS - TOPSOIL			
3829.01P	Supply of imported topsoil (Provisional Quantity, if ordered)	m3		\$1,900.00
3830.01	Install topsoil [100mm]	m2 Pa	rt Refuse Sch.4 Part 4 s.7(1)	<sup>(b)</sup> \$864.00
	VEGETATION WORKS - TURFING	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
3847.01	Turf [description]	m2		\$1,440.00
	ESTABLISHMENT AND MONITORING OPERATIONS			
3891.01	Establishment Period	lump sum		\$5,000.00
3892.01P	Establishment Period Watering (Provisional Quantity, as directed)	kilolitre		\$450.00
MRS245 Jar	n 16 (QA)			
6440.01	TELECOMMUNICATIONS NETWORK	lump sum		\$71,000.00
MRS28 Apr	16 (7/)			
	CONTRACTOR'S SITE FACILITIES AND CAMP			
1101.01	Contractor's site facilities	lump sum		\$10,000.00
MRS30 Jan	16 0/		•	
	HEAVY DUTY DENSE GRADED ASPHALT			
4162.01	Heavy duty dense graded asphalt in base course. AC [20] H mix	tonne		\$32,000.00
4163.01	Heavy duty dense graded asphalt in intermediate course, AC [14] H mix	tonne		\$22,680.00
4164.01	Heavy duty dense graded asphalt in surfacing course, AC [14] H mix	tonne		\$30,740.00
	PREPARATION OF THE EXISTING SURFACE			
5401.01	Preparation of the existing surface	m2		\$2.040.00
5403.01P	Strain alleviating membrane fabric strips (Provisional Quantity)	m		\$3,240.00
5404.01P	Tack coat, residual bitumen (Provisional Quantity)	litre		\$1,700.00



NOTE: Estimate must be considered absolutely as the property of Transport and Main Roads until the acceptance of a tender, and must under no circumstances be divulged.

### Stage : 1 - Business case

Work Package : 1 - Civil\_BC

Item Number	Description	Unit of Q Measure	uantity	Unit Rate (\$)	Amount (\$)
MRS45 Jan	17				
	LINE MARKING				1
6301.01	Spotting only for longitudinal lines	m (	7		\$469.00
6316.01	Lane line, broken, 100 mm wide, [3] mm line length, [9] mm gap length, colour [white], material [material]	m			\$164.00
6318.01	Lane line, continuous, 100 mm wide, colour [white], material [material]	m			\$52.00
6319.01	Edge line, 150 mm wide, colour [white], material [material]	m			\$210.60
6331.01	Transverse lines (stop lines, holding lines, markings at Stop and Give Way signs, pedestrian crosswalk lines, arrows,shapes, symbols and numerals), colour [white], material [material]	(m <sup>2</sup> )			\$150.00
	RAISED PAVEMENT MARKERS	P	art Refuse :	Sch 4 Part 4 s 7(1)	(b)
6351.01	Retroreflective raised pavement markers	each			\$336.00
6355.01	Removal of existing raised pavement markers, by [method of removal]	each			\$156.00
MRS51 Oct	16				
	ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT				
1331.01	Develop Environmental Management Plan (Construction)	lump sum			\$3,000.00
1332.01	Implement Environmental Management Plan (Construction)	lump sum			\$5,000.00
MRS94 Jan	16				
6710.01P	ROAD LIGHTING (Provisional Item if ordered) (3 additional installations)	lump sum			\$67,500.00
		Work Package	Total:	\$63	36,299.10

### Work Package : A - Principals Cost

Item Numb	er Description	Unit of Quantity Measure	Unit Rate (\$) Amount (\$)
MRP001	May 11		Alle Interference contract contract of the second contract of the second contract of the second contract of the
11000.01	PROJECT STAGE MANAGEMENT (ALL STAGES)	lump sum	\$50,000.00
MRP004	May 11		
14000.01	BUSINESS CASE	lump sum	\$15,000.00
MRP005	May 11		
	Environmental and cultural		
15020.01	Environmental Impact Study	lump sum	\$5,000.00
15040.01	Cultural heritage	lump sum	\$3,500.00
MRP006	May 11 ( 📿 🧹		
	Public Utility Plant		
16010.01	Public Utility Plant identification	lump sum	\$10,000.00
16020.01	Public Utility Plant conflict management	lump sum	\$5,000.00
MRP007	May 11		
17000.01	DESIGN PLANNING (PRELIMINARY AND DETAIL) (Civil)	lump sum	\$60,000.00



NOTE: Estimate must be considered absolutely as the property of Transport and Main Roads until the acceptance of a tender, and must under no circumstances be divulged.

### Work Package : A - Principals Cost

Item Number	Description	Unit of Quantity Measure	Unit Rate (\$) Amount (\$)
MRP008 Ma	ay 11		
	DESIGN INVESTIGATION REPORTS	$\sim$	
18020.01	Geotechnical investigation analysis and report (non-pavement)	lump sum	\$7,000.00
18070.01	Traffic counting and analysis and reports	lump sum	\$7,500.00
18080.01	Engineering survey	lump sum	\$10,000.00
18090.01	Constructability review	lump sum	\$5,000.00
MRP009 Ma	ıy 11		
19000.01	ENGAGE AND MANAGE DESIGN SERVICES (Electrical)	Jump sum	\$40,000.00
MRP011 Ma	ay 11		
21000.01	PROCUREMENT	lump sum	\$3,000.00
MRP013 Ma	iy 11		
23000.01	CONTRACT ADMINISTRATION	lump sum	\$50.000.00
MRP014 Ma	ıy 11	<u> </u>	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
24000.01	PRINCIPAL'S OBLIGATIONS	lump sum	\$25.000.00
MRP015 Ma	iy 11		
25000.01	FINALISATION	lump sum	\$10,000.00
MISCELLAN	EOUS		
9001.01	CONTINGENCY	lump sum	\$254,520.00
		Work Package Total	: \$560,520,00

Stage Total : \$1,196,819.10

# APPENDIX C

# TRAFFIC ANALYSIS REPORT

r\_Business Case.pdf - Page Number: 43 of 58



# Technical Note 01

Project:	Pacific Motorway M1 Exit 49	Reference:	MC1609-TN01-v10
Prepared for:	Department of Transport and Main Roads		
Subject:	Aimsun Traffic Modelling		
Date:	02 February 2017		

### 1. Introduction

A base year 2016 Aimsun Microsimulation Traffic Model was developed for the Pacific Motorway M1 Exit 49 using the following steps:

- obtain aerial photography of the study area;
- code existing road network using information from the aerial photography;
- extract traffic count data from intersection survey at turning level;
- conduct model calibration (vehicle demands adjustment) using observed traffic count data; and
- carry out trip assignment by assigning the estimated 2016 traffic demand to the road network.

The above steps are illustrated in Figure 1.

Data collection and analysis Model network development Model network

Figure 1 Aimsun Microsimulation Traffic Model Development Process

### 1.1 Network Build

1.1.1 Extent

Figure 2 displays the extend of the Aimsun microsimulation traffic model developed for the study. The model contains the north-south interchange intersections for the Pacific Motorway at the Exit 49.

Technical Note 01 Pacific Motorway M1 Exit 49: Aimsun Traffic Modelling Page | 1 of 10 r160201





#### 1.1.2 Version

At time of model development, Aimsun version 8.1.3 (B40087) was used to develop the model as this version has been updated with functionalities that enhance modelling operations. No additional plug-ins or add-ons were used.

### 1.2 Model Demand Matrices

The Aimsun model has been set up to simulate two time periods:

- AM peak period
   0730 to 0930
- PM peak period 1430 to 1730
- 1.2.1 Origin-Destination Matrices Development

A trip matrix (also referred to as a trip table) is normally in a table format that displays the number of trips going from each origin (table row) to each destination (table column) for a given time period. Trip tables were developed for the two peak periods respectively, with separate trip tables for the two different vehicle classes, i.e. private vehicles and heavy vehicles.

Technical Note 01 Pacific Motorway M1 Exit 49: Aimsun Traffic Modelling Page [2 of 10



### 1.2.2 Demand Profile

The demand profile for the peak periods was determined from the traffic count in 15-minute intervals to represent the variation in the traffic flow throughout the simulation period. The observed volumes from traffic counts were converted to percentages to control the amount of vehicles released at each interval in the Aimsun model.

For the purpose of this study, a profile was calculated for each zone to represent the variation based on different surrounding land uses and road users. A separate profile was created for private and heavy vehicles. Figure 3 and Figure 4 show the overall profile distribution in the AM and PM peaks.

The hourly distribution was calculated in the following periods:

• AM Peak – two (2) hours between 0730 and 0930



• PM Peak – three (3) hours between 1430 and 1730

Figure 3 Demand Profile Distribution – AM Peaks





Technical Note 01 Pacific Motorway M1 Exit 49: Aimsun Traffic Modelling Page | 3 of 10 r160201



# 2. Model Calibration and Validation

2.1 Network Calibration

The purpose of calibrating a microsimulation traffic model is to verify that the modelling is a suitably robust representation of the traffic flows on the actual road network. During the calibration process, a few network changes were made to provide a better reflection of the existing traffic conditions.

### 2.2 Turn Calibration

The GEH statistic is used to quantify the extent of calibration. It is an empirical formula used to compare and gauge the acceptability of variations between two data sets. The GEH statistic was used as a reference to measure the general accuracy of the model and is given by the following equation:

$$GEH = \sqrt{\frac{2(M-C)^2}{M+C}}$$

where,

- M is the traffic volume estimated by the model (or new count); and
- C is the observed traffic volume (or old count).

The accepted GEH based calibration criteria, as defined by RMS Traffic Modelling Guidelines is shown in Table 1.

Proportion
≥ 85%
≤ 15%
0%

Turn calibration was carried out by comparing the outputs from the modelling to existing count data at various locations. The turn calibration is used to ensure the OD trip table was estimated robustly to produce the expected demands to the network.

Table 2 summarises the results for turn calibration for the peak hour in the AM and PM periods. The table shows all GEH values for turn performance are within 5, which indicate the model is calibrated satisfactorily at the zone demand level. The detailed turn calibration results for the AM and PM peaks are provided in Appendix A for further reference.

Table 2 Turn Calibration Summary: Observed vs Modelled Flow and Associated GEH Values

Modelled Time Period	Period	Total Counts	GEH Less than 5	GEN Between 5 and 10	GEH More than 10
AM Peak	0800 to 0900	26	26	0	0
PM Peak	1600 to 1700	26	26	0	0

Technical Note 01 Pacific Motorway M1 Exit 49: Aimsun Traffic Modelling

Page | 4 of 10



### 2.3 Model Validation

Following calibration of a traffic model, further evaluation of the calibrated model's performance is then conducted using an independently observed set of data not used in the calibration process. This second evaluation process is called the model validation and is an additional step to ensure that the calibrated model is robust.

### 2.3.1 Base Year 2016 Modelled Queues

The demand matrices were factored to 2016 conditions to validate the queuing performance of the Aimsun microsimulation model. Note the intersection turning movement counts were undertaken in June 2014 and the site observations were conducted between September and November 2016.

A typical growth of 1.5% per annum was applied to the demand matrices. In addition, a growth of 150% was applied to the zone linking Attenborough Road as a result of significant growth in residential developments along Attenborough Rd. Figure 5 and Figure 6 show the typical queues in the AM and PM peak conditions.



Figure 5 Modelled Queues for 2016 AM Peak

Figure 6 shows the 2016 base modelled queues in the PM peak.



Figure 6 Modelled Queues for 2016 PM Peak

Technical Note 01 Pacific Motorway M1 Exit 49: Aimsun Traffic Modelling Page | 5 of 10 r160201



# 3. Future Model and Options

Future year 2021 road network and traffic demand were developed to assess the future traffic performance within the modelled area following the calibration and validation of the base year model.

The future year 2021 base network is identical to the base year 2016 within the study area. In addition, one network option is proposed and tested for the westbound off-ramp, namely Option 1, which is shown in Figure 7.



Figure 7 Option 1 Concept Sketch

The development of the future year traffic demand matrices is based on the cordon matrices extracted from the VLC's Zenith Strategic Transport Model (STM). The cordon matrices have same number of zones as the Aimsun microsimulation model.

The 2016 traffic demand matrices were factored using growth rates estimated from the Zenith STM to generate the 2021 traffic demands matrices. A summary of the matrix totals are shown in Table 3. The growth in vehicle trips between 2016 and 2021 is approximately 18% in both AM and PM peak periods.

Year	AM Peak (two-hour total)	PM Peak (three-hour total)
2016	4,688	8,375
2021	5,512	9,915

Technical Note 01 Pacific Motorway M1 Exit 49: Aimsun Traffic Modelling Page | 6 of 10 r160201



### 3.1 Models Performance

Table 4 displays the forecast network performance of the AM peak base year 2016 and future year 2021 models. The average travel speed is forecast to drop significantly, from 38.0 kph in 2016 to 21.9 kph in 2021. This indicates the interchange is operating with over-capacity, with extensive queues forecast on Rifle Range Road. Figure 8 shows the queues forecast in the AM peak 2021 base model.

Scenario	Vehicle-Hours Travelled	Vehicle-Kilometres Travelled	Average Travel Speed (kph)	Vehicles Waiting to Enter the Network
2016 Base	128.9	4,894.1	38.0	0
2021 Base	262.2	5,728.7	21,9	0
2016 Option 1	127.5	4,893.5	38.4	0
2021 Option 1	243.5	5,728.9	23.5	0



Figure 8 Queues Forecast in the AM Peak 2021 Base Model

Table 5 demonstrates the forecast network performance of the PM peak base year 2016 and future year 2021 models. Similar to the performance in the AM peak, the average travel speed is forecast to drop significantly, from 39.8 kph in 2016 to 23.1 kph in 2021. This indicates the interchange is operating overcapacity. The table also indicates large amount of vehicles unable to access the network due to extensive queues forecast on the Pacific Motorway northbound off-ramp. Without increasing the capacity at the interchange, the queues are forecast to extend back to the motorway and affected the motorway traffic. Figure 9 shows the queues forecast in the PM peak in 2021 base model.

Technical Note 01 Pacific Motorway M1 Exit 49: Aimsun Traffic Modelling Page | 7 of 10 r160201



Scenario	Vehicle-Hours Travelled	Vehicle-Kilometres Travelled	Average Travel Speed (kph)	Vehicles Waiting to Enter the Network
2016 Base	211.9	8,429.5	39.8	0
2021 Base	410.3	9,490.9	23.1	401
2016 Option 1	191.3	8,432.3	44.1	0
2021 Option 1	456.7	9,827.3	21.5	56

#### Table 5 PM Peak Models Performance



Figure 9 Queues Forecast in the PM Peak 2021 Base Model

Table 4 and Table 5 above show the proposed Option 1 is forecast to improve the traffic performance within the study area. The model forecasts to relieve the extensive queuing issue on the Pacific Motorway northbound off-ramp in the PM peak period. It is noted in the 2021 PM peak period the average travel speed in Option 1 is slower than Base Case (21.5 kph vs. 23.1 kph). This is because there are more vehicles in the Option 1 network, where more vehicles are forecast to enter the interchange from the northbound off-ramp, hence causing extra traffic delays.



Technical Note 01 Pacific Motorway M1 Exit 49: Aimsun Traffic Modelling

Page | 8 of 10 r160201



### 3.2 M1 Exit 49 Northbound Off-Ramp Performance

Table 6 summarises the forecast AM traffic performance on the M1 Exit 49 northbound off-ramp. The table indicates the existing northbound off-ramp will be out of capacity by 2021, with an average delays of over 150 seconds.

The proposed Option 1 is forecast to reinstate the traffic performance in 2021 to the same conditions as current year. This indicates the additional storage will provide significant improvements to the northbound off-ramp in the AM peak.

Scenario	Forecast Traffic Volume (vehicles per hour)	Maximum Queues (vehicles)	Average Delays (seconds)	Number of Stops
2016 Base	421	10	42.1	0.94
2021 Base	531	40	150.8	2.41
2016 Option 1	421	5	28.2	0.70
2021 Option 1	549	10	40.7	1.01

### Table 6 M1 Exit 49 Northbound Off-Ramp Performance (AM Peak)

Table 7 provides the forecast PM traffic performance on the M1 Exit 49 northbound off-ramp. The table indicate the existing northbound off-ramp is at capacity in 2016, with long queues extending to the motorway. The average delays is forecast to double by 2021, which implies the ramp will be heavily congested.

The model forecasts the proposed Option 1 will operate satisfactory in 2016, but additional upgrades would be required to provide more capacity on the ramp to ensure the traffic perform well in 2021.

#### Table 7 M1 Exit 49 Northbound Off-Ramp Performance (PM Peak)

Scenario	Forecast Traffic Volume (vehicles per hour)	Maximum Queues (vehicles)	Average Delays (seconds)	Number of Stops
2016 Base	700	24	86.3	1.86
2021 Base	727	49	277.6	4.19
2016 Option 1	702)	10	45.8	0.98
2021 Option 1	936	52	225.8	2.83

Technical Note 01 Pacific Motorway M1 Exit 49: Aimsun Traffic Modelling



# Appendix A

1 and 1 and 1		1		AM			PM	
Intersection	Approach	Turn	Observed	Modelled	GEH	Observed	Modelled	GEH
	1	Through	227	228	0.1	193	192	0.1
	North	On-ramp	194	194	0.0	204	181	1.6
		Left	54	54	0.0	52	50	0.1
		Right	54	54	0.0	29	26	0.6
	East	Left	297	298	0.1	177	175	0.2
Attenborough Road / Yawalpah		On-ramp	117	117	0.0	114	110	0.4
Road / Ramps		On-ramp	443	446	0.1	185	184	0.1
(Northern Interchange)	South	Right	237	240	0.2	168	169	0.1
		Through	302	305	0.2	242	244	0.1
		Right	184	186	0.2	456	453	0.1
	Most	On-ramp	0		0.0	0	0	0.0
	vvest	t Through 114 1	114	0.0	203	194	0.7	
		Left 30		30	0.0	39	34	0.9
		On-ramp	297	300	0.2	177	180	0.2
	North	Right	98	99	0.1	132	135	0.3
		Left	310	313	0.2	503	505	0.1
		Right	336	338	0.1	279	281	0.1
	Off rame	On-ramp	C	0	0.0	0	0	0.0
Attenborough Road / Rifle Range	On-ramp	Through	75	75	0.0	109	107	0.2
Road / Ramps		Deft	14	14	0.0	21	21	0.0
(Southern Interchange)		Right	380	381	0.1	190	192	0.1
	East	On-ramp	207	207	0.0	94	91	0.3
	C,	Through	52	52	0.0	75	74	0.1
	6 E	Through	90	90	0.0	60	60	0.0
	West	Left	271	272	0.1	122	125	0.3
~	95)	On-ramp	142	142	0.0	74	69	0.6

# APPENDIX D

# **RISK MANAGEMENT RECORD**

(

## Project Planning & Corridor Management Risk Log

### M1 PACIFIC MOTORWAY EXIT 49 (Northbound Exit) INTERCHANGE UPGRADE

Risk log owner	Wayne Brennan	
Risk Management Reference Group member	Project Planning & Corridor Management	
Risk log contact (if different to the RMRG member)		
Date last updated	Feb-17	
Document purpose The TMR Risk Log is a central repository for the Risk Registe Register. The risk log also contains an (optional) Issue Registe integrated means of recording and managing risk.	r, Fraud Risk Register, Opportunity Register and V/ork Health and Safety er and a Lessons Learned Log. This suite of tools provides a structured and	
Template version number	Version 3.0	
Template enquiries/feedback	Emáil - Risk Advisory Team Mailbox	4
Risk management information	inside TMR> Home> Policies and procedures> Risk management	4
Risk Advisory Team	Shaun Scanlan, Sharrel Mani, Michael Baker	-
WHS Risk Contact	Regional Safety Advisor	



### Project Planning & Corridor Management

sk	Register	

			Т	MR O	bjective	s S	ervic	e Are	a (SD	DS)			Current Rating Treatment										Reta	Residual/ ained Rating	
C	Reference Number	Election commitments	-inancial implications Customer at centre of all we do	it-for-purpose transport network	Partnering with Govt, Industry, Community Vining One TMR - enabling	people Transport system investment	planning and programming	nanagement and delivery	Transport safety, regulation and customer service	Passenger transport services	Description	Impacts	Stakeholders	Risk Owner	Likelihood	Consequence	Rating	Treatment Option	Treatment	Treatment Owner	Treatment Due Date	Treatment Status	Likelihood	Consequence	Rating
	+		×			>	<	×			Funding priority changes, Availability of funding	<ul> <li>Project may not proceed.</li> <li>Interchange become further congested, which has a detremental affect on the motorway operations.</li> <li>Safety issues conpromised.</li> </ul>	TMR	TMR	Possible	Major	High	Changing the consequence	Ensure correct protocol and procedures are follwed. Prepare robust Business Case to justify expenditure			- A	Possible	Minor	Medium
(	2	×	×					×			Accelerated project leads to project errors/increase in cost	<ul> <li>Project budget exceeded,</li> <li>Project delayed,</li> <li>Appropriate option not realised.</li> </ul>	TMR, CGC	TMR	Unlikely	Moderate	Medium	Changing the consequence	Ensure correct protocol and procedures are follwed. Prepare robust Business Case to justify expenditure Undertake stakeholder consultation early on in the project timeline Undertake peer reviews at all stages of the project				Unlikely	Minor	Fow
	3	×									Politically unacceptable option	* Project delayed, * Congestion at interchange becomes unacceptable, * Congestion impacts on motorway, * Safety issues compromised.	TMR CGC		Unlikely	Moderate	Medium	Changing the consequence	Undertake stakeholder consultation early on in the project timeline Ensure political stakeholder are kept informed of the project and its progress			On Track	Unlikely	Minor	Low
	4		*	×				×			Owner/Community objects to the project	<ul> <li>Property accesses impacted,</li> <li>Project delayed,</li> <li>Congestion at interchange becomes unacceptable,</li> <li>Congestion impacts on motorway.</li> </ul>	TMR		Possible	Moderate	High	Changing the likelihood & consequence	Ensure early consultation with affected land owners / stakeholders				Unlikely	Minor	Low

Ne	t Finan npact (	cial \$)			
Best Case	Most Likely Case	Worst Case	Risk review date	BRIEF justification (if applicable) for: - Behind Schedule/ Stalled status - Change to Treatment Due Date	Comments

#### Project Planning & Corridor Management

**Risk Register** 

		TMR Objectives Service Area (SDS)											rent R	ating	Treatment Residual/ Net Financial Retained Rating Impact (\$)												
C	Reference Number	election commitments Financial implications	Customer at centre of all we do	Partnering with Govt, Industry, Community	beople Transport system investment	olanning and programming Transport infrastructure management and delivery Transport safety, regulation and	customer service Passenger transport services	Description	Impacts	Stakeholders	Treatment	Treatment Owner	Treatment Status Likelihood Consequence Rating			Kating Best Case	Best Case Most Likely Case Worst Case Risk review date			BRIEF justification (if applicable) for: - Behind Schedule/ Stalled status - Change to Treatment Due Date	Comments						
	5	×		×		×		Development constrains future upgrade options	* Restricts / limits available options, * Increase in project costs	TMR, Property Developer		Possible	Moderate	high	Changing the likelihood & consequence	Prepare robust Business Case Identify land requirements at early stage of the project Undertake close evaluation of Development Applications in the area				Unlikely	Minee	Vaw					
(	œ	×		×		×		Environmental / Cultural heritage issues	* Delays to project * Costly mitigation requirements	TMR, Indigenous Community		Unlikely	Moderate	Medium	Changing the consequence	Undertake appropriate studies to identify environmental and cultural heritage issues.				Unlikely	Minor	TOW					
									Rel	38.E	9																

### Project Planning & Corridor Management Risk Register

			TI	MR O	ojectiv	es	Serv	rice Ar	rea (S	SDS)					Current Rating		ating		Treatment				Reta	esidua ined R	al/ lating
C	Reference Number	Election commitments inancial implications	Customer at centre of all we do	Fit-for-purpose transport network	Partnering with Govt, Industry, Community	uvining One TMR - enabling beople	I ransport system investment blanning and programming	Fransport infrastructure nanagement and delivery	Transport safety, regulation and	Passenger transport services	Description	Impacts	Stakeholders	Risk Owner	Likelihood	Consequence	Rating	Treatment Option	Treatment	Treatment Owner	Treatment Due Date	Treatment Status	Likelihood	Consequence	Rating
	σ	*			<u> </u>		d	*	×		Constructability / Traffic Management	<ul> <li>Increase in project costs,</li> <li>Increased congestion,</li> <li>Increased driver frustration,</li> <li>Long construction period,</li> <li>Safety issues.</li> </ul>	TMR, Business owners, Road users.		Likely	Major	Hgh	Changing the consequence	Undertake robust review of contractor's Traffic Management Plan				Likely	Moderate	<ul> <li>Adh</li> </ul>
C	10	×						×			Public Utility Plant issues	* Increase in project cost, * Delays to project	TMR, Service authorities		Possible	Moderate	High	Changing the consequence	Undertake robust site investigations (incl. potholing) of PUP, Identify PUP impacts early in the project Carry out design to avoid PUP (where possible) Liaise / negotiate with the service autorities early on in the project				Possible	Minor	Medium
	11	×		×				×	×		Inappropriate option adopted as preferred option	* Delays regarding rework, * Increased departmental costs * Value for money not realised	TMR		Possible	Moderate	High	Changing the likelihood & consequence	Prepare robust Option Analysis and Business Case Ensure appropriate reviews of options is undertaken				Unlikely	Minor	Low

Net Financial Impact (\$)					
Best Case	Most Likely Case	Worst Case	Risk review date	BRIEF justification (if applicable) for: - Behind Schedule/ Stalled status - Change to Treatment Due Date	Comments



# Technical Note 01

Project:	Pacific Motorway M1 Exit 49	Reference:	MC1609-TN01-v10
Prepared for:	Department of Transport and Main Roads		
Subject:	Aimsun Traffic Modelling		
Date:	02 February 2017		

# 1. Introduction

A base year 2016 Aimsun Microsimulation Traffic Model was developed for the Pacific Motorway M1 Exit 49 using the following steps:

- obtain aerial photography of the study area;
- code existing road network using information from the aerial photography;
- extract traffic count data from intersection survey at turning level;
- conduct model calibration (vehicle demands adjustment) using observed traffic count data; and
- carry out trip assignment by assigning the estimated 2016 traffic demand to the road network.

The above steps are illustrated in Figure 1.



### Figure 1 Aimsun Microsimulation Traffic Model Development Process

### 1.1 Network Build

### 1.1.1 Extent

Figure 2 displays the extent of the Aimsun microsimulation traffic model developed for the study. The model contains the north-south interchange intersections for the Pacific Motorway at the Exit 49.







### 1.1.2 Version

At time of model development, Aimsun version 8.1.3 (R40087) was used to develop the model as this version has been updated with functionalities that enhance modelling operations. No additional plug-ins or add-ons were used.

### 1.2 Model Demand Matrices

The Aimsun model has been set up to simulate two time periods:

- AM peak period 0730 to 0930
- PM peak period 1430 to 1730
- 1.2.1 Origin-Destination Matrices Development

A trip matrix (also referred to as a trip table) is normally in a table format that displays the number of trips going from each origin (table row) to each destination (table column) for a given time period. Trip tables were developed for the two peak periods respectively, with separate trip tables for the two different vehicle classes, i.e. private vehicles and heavy vehicles.



### 1.2.2 Demand Profile

The demand profile for the peak periods was determined from the traffic count in 15-minute intervals to represent the variation in the traffic flow throughout the simulation period. The observed volumes from traffic counts were converted to percentages to control the amount of vehicles released at each interval in the Aimsun model.

For the purpose of this study, a profile was calculated for each zone to represent the variation based on different surrounding land uses and road users. A separate profile was created for private and heavy vehicles. Figure 3 and Figure 4 show the overall profile distribution in the AM and PM peaks.

The hourly distribution was calculated in the following periods:

• AM Peak – two (2) hours between 0730 and 0930



• PM Peak – three (3) hours between 1430 and 1730

Figure 3 Demand Profile Distribution – AM Peaks



Figure 4 Demand Profile Distribution – PM Peaks



# 2. Model Calibration and Validation

### 2.1 Network Calibration

The purpose of calibrating a microsimulation traffic model is to verify that the modelling is a suitably robust representation of the traffic flows on the actual road network. During the calibration process, a few network changes were made to provide a better reflection of the existing traffic conditions.

### 2.2 Turn Calibration

The GEH statistic is used to quantify the extent of calibration. It is an empirical formula used to compare and gauge the acceptability of variations between two data sets. The GEH statistic was used as a reference to measure the general accuracy of the model and is given by the following equation:

$$GEH = \sqrt{\frac{2(M-C)^2}{M+C}}$$

where,

- M is the traffic volume estimated by the model (or new count); and
- C is the observed traffic volume (or old count).

The accepted GEH based calibration criteria, as defined by RMS Traffic Modelling Guidelines is shown in Table 1.

GEH Value	Proportion
GEH ≤ 5	≥ 85%
5 < GEH < 10	≤ 15%
GEH ≥ 10	0%

### Table 1 Calibration Criteria (Ideal)

Turn calibration was carried out by comparing the outputs from the modelling to existing count data at various locations. The turn calibration is used to ensure the OD trip table was estimated robustly to produce the expected demands to the network.

Table 2 summarises the results for turn calibration for the peak hour in the AM and PM periods. The table shows all GEH values for turn performance are within 5, which indicate the model is calibrated satisfactorily at the zone demand level. The detailed turn calibration results for the AM and PM peaks are provided in Appendix A for further reference.

### Table 2 Turn Calibration Summary: Observed vs Modelled Flow and Associated GEH Values

Modelled Time Period	Period	Total Counts	GEH Less than 5	GEN Between 5 and 10	GEH More than 10
AM Peak	0800 to 0900	26	26	0	0
PM Peak	1600 to 1700	26	26	0	0



### 2.3 Model Validation

Following calibration of a traffic model, further evaluation of the calibrated model's performance is then conducted using an independently observed set of data not used in the calibration process. This second evaluation process is called the model validation and is an additional step to ensure that the calibrated model is robust.

### 2.3.1 Base Year 2016 Modelled Queues

The demand matrices were factored to 2016 conditions to validate the queuing performance of the Aimsun microsimulation model. Note the intersection turning movement counts were undertaken in June 2014 and the site observations were conducted between September and November 2016.

A typical growth of 1.5% per annum was applied to the demand matrices. In addition, a growth of 150% was applied to the zone linking Attenborough Road as a result of significant growth in residential developments along Attenborough Rd. Figure 5 and Figure 6 show the typical queues in the AM and PM peak conditions.



Figure 5 Modelled Queues for 2016 AM Peak

Figure 6 shows the 2016 base modelled queues in the PM peak.



Figure 6 Modelled Queues for 2016 PM Peak



#### **Future Model and Options** 3.

Future year 2021 road network and traffic demand were developed to assess the future traffic performance within the modelled area following the calibration and validation of the base year model.

The future year 2021 base network is identical to the base year 2016 within the study area. In addition, one network option is proposed and tested for the westbound off-ramp, namely Option 1, which is shown in Figure 7.



Figure 7 Option 1 Concept Sketch

The development of the future year traffic demand matrices is based on the cordon matrices extracted from the VLC's Zenith Strategic Transport Model (STM). The cordon matrices have same number of zones as the Aimsun microsimulation model.

The 2016 traffic demand matrices were factored using growth rates estimated from the Zenith STM to generate the 2021 traffic demands matrices. A summary of the matrix totals are shown in Table 3. The growth in vehicle trips between 2016 and 2021 is approximately 18% in both AM and PM peak periods.

Table 3 Future Year Matrix Totals (vehicles)						
Year	AM Peak (two-hour total)	PM Peak (three-hour total)				
2016	4,688	8,375				
2021	5,512	9,915				

### . . \_ . . . . .



### 3.1 Models Performance

Table 4 displays the forecast network performance of the AM peak base year 2016 and future year 2021 models. The average travel speed is forecast to drop significantly, from 38.0 kph in 2016 to 21.9 kph in 2021. This indicates the interchange is operating with over-capacity, with extensive queues forecast on Rifle Range Road. Figure 8 shows the queues forecast in the AM peak 2021 base model.

Table 4 AM Peak Models Performance						
Scenario	Vehicle-Hours Travelled	Vehicle-Kilometres Travelled	Average Travel Speed (kph)	Vehicles Waiting to Enter the Network		
2016 Base	128.9	4,894.1	38.0	0		
2021 Base	262.2	5,728.7	21.9	0		
2016 Option 1	127.5	4,893.5	38.4	0		
2021 Option 1	243.5	5,728.9	23.5	0		



Figure 8 Queues Forecast in the AM Peak 2021 Base Model

Table 5 demonstrates the forecast network performance of the PM peak base year 2016 and future year 2021 models. Similar to the performance in the AM peak, the average travel speed is forecast to drop significantly, from 39.8 kph in 2016 to 23.1 kph in 2021. This indicates the interchange is operating over-capacity. The table also indicates large amount of vehicles unable to access the network due to extensive queues forecast on the Pacific Motorway northbound off-ramp. Without increasing the capacity at the interchange, the queues are forecast to extend back to the motorway and affected the motorway traffic. Figure 9 shows the queues forecast in the PM peak in 2021 base model.



Scenario	Vehicle-Hours Travelled	Vehicle-Kilometres Travelled	Average Travel Speed (kph)	Vehicles Waiting to Enter the Network		
2016 Base	211.9	8,429.5	39.8	0		
2021 Base	410.3	9,490.9	23.1	401		
2016 Option 1	191.3	8,432.3	44.1	0		
2021 Option 1	456.7	9,827.3	21.5	56		

Table 5 PM Peak Models Performance



Figure 9 Queues Forecast in the PM Peak 2021 Base Model

Table 4 and Table 5 above show the proposed Option 1 is forecast to improve the traffic performance within the study area. The model forecasts to relieve the extensive queuing issue on the Pacific Motorway northbound off-ramp in the PM peak period. It is noted in the 2021 PM peak period the average travel speed in Option 1 is slower than Base Case (21.5 kph vs. 23.1 kph). This is because there are more vehicles in the Option 1 network, where more vehicles are forecast to enter the interchange from the northbound off-ramp, hence causing extra traffic delays.



### 3.2 M1 Exit 49 Northbound Off-Ramp Performance

Table 6 summarises the forecast AM traffic performance on the M1 Exit 49 northbound off-ramp. The table indicates the existing northbound off-ramp will be out of capacity by 2021, with an average delays of over 150 seconds.

The proposed Option 1 is forecast to reinstate the traffic performance in 2021 to the same conditions as current year. This indicates the additional storage will provide significant improvements to the northbound off-ramp in the AM peak.

Scenario	Forecast Traffic Volume (vehicles per hour)	Maximum Queues (vehicles)	Average Delays (seconds)	Number of Stops
2016 Base	421	10	42.1	0.94
2021 Base	531	40	150.8	2.41
2016 Option 1	421	5	28.2	0.70
2021 Option 1	549	10	40.7	1.01

### Table 6 M1 Exit 49 Northbound Off-Ramp Performance (AM Peak)

Table 7 provides the forecast PM traffic performance on the M1 Exit 49 northbound off-ramp. The table indicate the existing northbound off-ramp is at capacity in 2016, with long queues extending to the motorway. The average delays is forecast to double by 2021, which implies the ramp will be heavily congested.

The model forecasts the proposed Option 1 will operate satisfactory in 2016, but additional upgrades would be required to provide more capacity on the ramp to ensure the traffic perform well in 2021.

Scenario	Forecast Traffic Volume (vehicles per hour)	Maximum Queues (vehicles)	Average Delays (seconds)	Number of Stops
2016 Base	700	24	86.3	1.86
2021 Base	727	49	277.6	4.19
2016 Option 1	702	10	45.8	0.98
2021 Option 1	936	52	225.8	2.83

#### Table 7 M1 Exit 49 Northbound Off-Ramp Performance (PM Peak)


# Appendix A

had a second from		-	АМ			РМ		
Intersection	Approacn	Turn	Observed	Modelled	GEH	Observed	Modelled	GEH
		Through	227	228	0.1	193	192	0.1
	North	On-ramp	194	194	0.0	204	181	1.6
		Left	54	54	0.0	52	50	0.1
		Right	54	54	0.0	29	26	0.6
	East	Left	297	298	0.1	177	175	0.2
Attenborough Road / Yawalpah		On-ramp	117	117	0.0	114	110	0.4
Road / Ramps		On-ramp	443	446	0.1	185	184	0.1
(Northern Interchange)	South	Right	237	240	0.2	168	169	0.1
		Through	302	305	0.2	242	244	0.1
		Right	184	186	0.2	456	453	0.1
	West	On-ramp	0	0	0.0	0	0	0.0
		Through	114	114	0.0	203	194	0.7
		Left	30	30	0.0	39	34	0.9
	North	On-ramp	297	300	0.2	177	180	0.2
		Right	98	99	0.1	132	135	0.3
		Left	310	313	0.2	503	505	0.1
		Right	336	338	0.1	279	281	0.1
	0.000	On-ramp	0	0	0.0	0	0	0.0
Attenborough Road / Rifle Range	Off-ramp	Through	75	75	0.0	109	107	0.2
Road / Ramps		Left	14	14	0.0	21	21	0.0
(Southern Interchange)		Right	380	381	0.1	190	192	0.1
	East	On-ramp	207	207	0.0	94	91	0.3
		Through	52	52	0.0	75	74	0.1
		Through	90	90	0.0	60	60	0.0
	West	Left	271	272	0.1	122	125	0.3
		On-ramp	142	142	0.0	74	69	0.6



# Technical Note 01

Project:	Pacific Motorway M1 Exit 49	Reference:	MC1609-TN01-v11
Prepared for:	Department of Transport and Main Roads		
Subject:	Aimsun Traffic Modelling		
Date:	18 April 2017		

# 1. Introduction

A base year 2016 Aimsun Microsimulation Traffic Model was developed for the Pacific Motorway M1 Exit 49 using the following steps:

- obtain aerial photography of the study area;
- code existing road network using information from the aerial photography;
- extract traffic count data from intersection survey at turning level;
- conduct model calibration (vehicle demands adjustment) using observed traffic count data; and
- carry out trip assignment by assigning the estimated 2016 traffic demand to the road network.

The above steps are illustrated in Figure 1.



### Figure 1 Aimsun Microsimulation Traffic Model Development Process

### 1.1 Network Build

### 1.1.1 Extent

Figure 2 displays the extent of the Aimsun microsimulation traffic model developed for the study. The model contains the north-south interchange intersections for the Pacific Motorway at the Exit 49.





Figure 2 Extent of the Study Area

## 1.1.2 Version

At time of model development, Aimsun version 8.1.3 (R40087) was used to develop the model as this version has been updated with functionalities that enhance modelling operations. No additional plug-ins or add-ons were used.

## 1.2 Model Demand Matrices

The Aimsun model has been set up to simulate two time periods:

- AM peak period 0730 to 0930
- PM peak period 1430 to 1730
- 1.2.1 Origin-Destination Matrices Development

A trip matrix (also referred to as a trip table) is normally in a table format that displays the number of trips going from each origin (table row) to each destination (table column) for a given time period. Trip tables were developed for the two peak periods respectively, with separate trip tables for the two different vehicle classes, i.e. private vehicles and heavy vehicles.



### 1.2.2 Demand Profile

The demand profile for the peak periods was determined from the traffic count in 15-minute intervals to represent the variation in the traffic flow throughout the simulation period. The observed volumes from traffic counts were converted to percentages to control the amount of vehicles released at each interval in the Aimsun model.

For the purpose of this study, a profile was calculated for each zone to represent the variation based on different surrounding land uses and road users. A separate profile was created for private and heavy vehicles. Figure 3 and Figure 4 show the overall profile distribution in the AM and PM peaks.

The hourly distribution was calculated in the following periods:

• AM Peak – two (2) hours between 0730 and 0930



• PM Peak – three (3) hours between 1430 and 1730

Figure 3 Demand Profile Distribution – AM Peaks







# 2. Model Calibration and Validation

## 2.1 Network Calibration

The purpose of calibrating a microsimulation traffic model is to verify that the modelling is a suitably robust representation of the traffic flows on the actual road network. During the calibration process, a few network changes were made to provide a better reflection of the existing traffic conditions.

# 2.2 Turn Calibration

The GEH statistic is used to quantify the extent of calibration. It is an empirical formula used to compare and gauge the acceptability of variations between two data sets. The GEH statistic was used as a reference to measure the general accuracy of the model and is given by the following equation:

$$GEH = \sqrt{\frac{2(M-C)^2}{M+C}}$$

where,

- M is the traffic volume estimated by the model (or new count); and
- C is the observed traffic volume (or old count).

The accepted GEH based calibration criteria, as defined by RMS Traffic Modelling Guidelines is shown in Table 1.

GEH Value	Proportion
GEH ≤ 5	≥ 85%
5 < GEH < 10	≤ 15%
GEH ≥ 10	0%

#### Table 1 Calibration Criteria (Ideal)

Turn calibration was carried out by comparing the outputs from the modelling to existing count data at various locations. The turn calibration is used to ensure the OD trip table was estimated robustly to produce the expected demands to the network.

Table 2 summarises the results for turn calibration for the peak hour in the AM and PM periods. The table shows all GEH values for turn performance are within 5, which indicate the model is calibrated satisfactorily at the zone demand level. The detailed turn calibration results for the AM and PM peaks are provided in Appendix A for further reference.

#### Table 2 Turn Calibration Summary: Observed vs Modelled Flow and Associated GEH Values

Modelled Time Period	Period	Total Counts	GEH Less than 5	GEN Between 5 and 10	GEH More than 10
AM Peak	0800 to 0900	26	26	0	0
PM Peak	1600 to 1700	26	26	0	0



# 2.3 Model Validation

Following calibration of a traffic model, further evaluation of the calibrated model's performance is then conducted using an independently observed set of data not used in the calibration process. This second evaluation process is called the model validation and is an additional step to ensure that the calibrated model is robust.

## 2.3.1 Base Year 2016 Modelled Queues

The demand matrices were factored to 2016 conditions to validate the queuing performance of the Aimsun microsimulation model. Note the intersection turning movement counts were undertaken in June 2014 and the site observations were conducted between September and November 2016.

A typical growth of 1.5% per annum was applied to the demand matrices. In addition, a growth of 150% was applied to the zone linking Attenborough Road as a result of significant growth in residential developments along Attenborough Rd. Figure 5 and Figure 6 show the typical queues in the AM and PM peak conditions.



Figure 5 Modelled Queues for 2016 AM Peak

Figure 6 shows the 2016 base modelled queues in the PM peak.



Figure 6 Modelled Queues for 2016 PM Peak



# 3. Future Year Model and Options

Future year 2021 road network and traffic demand were developed to assess the future traffic performance within the modelled area following the calibration and validation of the base year model.

The future year 2021 base network is identical to the base year 2016 within the study area. In addition, one network option is proposed and tested for the westbound off-ramp, namely Option 1, which is shown in Figure 7.



Figure 7 Option 1 Concept Sketch

The development of the future year traffic demand matrices is based on the cordon matrices extracted from the VLC's Zenith Strategic Transport Model (STM). The cordon matrices have same number of zones as the Aimsun microsimulation model.

The 2016 traffic demand matrices were factored using growth rates estimated from the Zenith STM to generate the 2021 traffic demands matrices. A summary of the matrix totals are shown in Table 3. The growth in vehicle trips between 2016 and 2021 is approximately 18% in both AM and PM peak periods.

Table 3 Future Year Matrix	Table 3 Future Year Matrix Totals (vehicles)								
Year	AM Peak (two-hour total)	PM Peak (three-hour total)							
2016	4,688	8,375							
2021	5,512	9,915							

. . \_ . . . . .



## 3.1 Models Performance

Table 4 displays the forecast network performance of the AM peak base year 2016 and future year 2021 models. The average travel speed is forecast to drop significantly, from 38.0 kph in 2016 to 21.9 kph in 2021. This indicates the interchange is operating with over-capacity, with extensive queues forecast on Rifle Range Road. Figure 8 shows the queues forecast in the AM peak 2021 base model.

Table 4 AM Peak Models Performance							
Scenario	Vehicle-Hours Travelled	Vehicle-Kilometres Travelled	Average Travel Speed (kph)	Vehicles Waiting to Enter the Network			
2016 Base	128.9	4,894.1	38.0	0			
2021 Base	262.2	5,728.7	21.9	0			
2016 Option 1	127.5	4,893.5	38.4	0			
2021 Option 1	243.5	5,728.9	23.5	0			



Figure 8 Queues Forecast in the AM Peak 2021 Base Model

Table 5 demonstrates the forecast network performance of the PM peak base year 2016 and future year 2021 models. Similar to the performance in the AM peak, the average travel speed is forecast to drop significantly, from 39.8 kph in 2016 to 23.1 kph in 2021. This indicates the interchange is operating over-capacity. The table also indicates large amount of vehicles unable to access the network due to extensive queues forecast on the Pacific Motorway northbound off-ramp. Without increasing the capacity at the interchange, the queues are forecast to extend back to the motorway and affected the motorway traffic. Figure 9 shows the queues forecast in the PM peak in 2021 base model.



Scenario	Vehicle-Hours Travelled	rs Vehicle-Kilometres Average Travelled Speed (kp		Vehicles Waiting to Enter the Network
2016 Base	211.9	8,429.5	39.8	0
2021 Base	410.3	9,490.9	23.1	401
2016 Option 1	191.3	8,432.3	44.1	0
2021 Option 1	456.7	9,827.3	21.5	56

Table 5 PM Peak Models Performance



Figure 9 Queues Forecast in the PM Peak 2021 Base Model

Table 4 and Table 5 above show the proposed Option 1 is forecast to improve the traffic performance within the study area. The model forecasts to relieve the extensive queuing issue on the Pacific Motorway northbound off-ramp in the PM peak period. It is noted in the 2021 PM peak period the average travel speed in Option 1 is slower than Base Case (21.5 kph vs. 23.1 kph). This is because there are more vehicles in the Option 1 network, where more vehicles are forecast to enter the interchange from the northbound off-ramp, hence causing extra traffic delays.



# 3.2 M1 Exit 49 Northbound Off-Ramp Performance

Table 6 summarises the forecast AM traffic performance on the M1 Exit 49 northbound off-ramp. The table indicates the existing northbound off-ramp will be out of capacity by 2021, with an average delays of over 150 seconds.

The proposed Option 1 is forecast to reinstate the traffic performance in 2021 to the same conditions as current year. This indicates the additional storage will provide significant improvements to the northbound off-ramp in the AM peak.

Scenario	Forecast Traffic Volume (vehicles per hour)	Maximum Queues (vehicles)	Average Delays (seconds)	Number of Stops
2016 Base	421	10	42.1	0.94
2021 Base	531	40	150.8	2.41
2016 Option 1	421	5	28.2	0.70
2021 Option 1	549	10	40.7	1.01

### Table 6 M1 Exit 49 Northbound Off-Ramp Performance (AM Peak)

Table 7 provides the forecast PM traffic performance on the M1 Exit 49 northbound off-ramp. The table indicate the existing northbound off-ramp is at capacity in 2016, with long queues extending to the motorway. The average delays is forecast to double by 2021, which implies the ramp will be heavily congested.

The model forecasts the proposed Option 1 will operate satisfactory in 2016, but additional upgrades would be required to provide more capacity on the ramp to ensure the traffic perform well in 2021.

Scenario	Forecast Traffic Volume (vehicles per hour)	Maximum Queues (vehicles)	Average Delays (seconds)	Number of Stops
2016 Base	700	24	86.3	1.86
2021 Base	727	49	277.6	4.19
2016 Option 1	702	10	45.8	0.98
2021 Option 1	936	52	225.8	2.83

#### Table 7 M1 Exit 49 Northbound Off-Ramp Performance (PM Peak)



# 3.3 Additional Testings

Two additional tests were undertaken based on the Option 1 above. These testings aim to improve the offramp condition with metering signals on the overpass. When the queues on the off-ramp reach the detector location, it will trigger a red phase on the overpass. The choice of 15 and 20 seconds is to ensure that queues on the northbound and southbound carriageways of the overpass will not exceed its capacity.

Figure 10 shows the location of the metering coded on the northbound on-ramp for Option 1A.



Figure 10 Option 1A Metering Location





Figure 11 Option 1B Metering Locations



Table 8 summarises the Option 1A PM peak performance of the northbound off-ramp with metering signal on the overpass. The metering signal stops the traffic flow to provide gaps for the queuing vehicles on the off-ramp. The detector to trigger the signals is proposed to locate approximately 70m away from the stopline. The results in this option indicate a significant improvement with the metering signals while the queues on the overpass are still within capacity.

Scenario	Forecast Traffic Volume (vehicles per hour)	Maximum Queues (vehicles)	Average Delays (seconds)	Number of Stops
2016 Metering	712	8	36.8	0.89
2021 Metering	934	10	41.3	1.04

### Table 8 Northbound Off-ramp PM Peak Models Performance (Option 1A)

Table 9 summarises the Option 1B PM peak performance of the southbound off-ramp with metering signal on the overpass. The metering signal stops the traffic flow to provide gaps for the queuing vehicles on the off-ramp. The detector to trigger the signals is proposed to locate approximately 90m away from the stopline. The results in this option indicate similar performance to Option 1A, with approximately 1 stop per vehicle on the off-ramp with the metering signals.

#### Table 9 Southbound Off-ramp PM Peak Models Performance (Option 1B)

Scenario	Forecast Traffic Volume (vehicles per hour)	Maximum Queues (vehicles)	Average Delays (seconds)	Number of Stops
2016 Metering	538	8	46.7	1.01
2021 Metering	629	11	59.0	1.15



# Appendix A

had a second from		-	АМ			РМ		
Intersection	Approacn	Turn	Observed	Modelled	GEH	Observed	Modelled	GEH
		Through	227	228	0.1	193	192	0.1
	North	On-ramp	194	194	0.0	204	181	1.6
		Left	54	54	0.0	52	50	0.1
		Right	54	54	0.0	29	26	0.6
	East	Left	297	298	0.1	177	175	0.2
Attenborough Road / Yawalpah		On-ramp	117	117	0.0	114	110	0.4
Road / Ramps		On-ramp	443	446	0.1	185	184	0.1
(Northern Interchange)	South	Right	237	240	0.2	168	169	0.1
		Through	302	305	0.2	242	244	0.1
		Right	184	186	0.2	456	453	0.1
	West	On-ramp	0	0	0.0	0	0	0.0
		Through	114	114	0.0	203	194	0.7
		Left	30	30	0.0	39	34	0.9
	North	On-ramp	297	300	0.2	177	180	0.2
		Right	98	99	0.1	132	135	0.3
		Left	310	313	0.2	503	505	0.1
		Right	336	338	0.1	279	281	0.1
	0.000	On-ramp	0	0	0.0	0	0	0.0
Attenborough Road / Rifle Range	Off-ramp	Through	75	75	0.0	109	107	0.2
Road / Ramps		Left	14	14	0.0	21	21	0.0
(Southern Interchange)		Right	380	381	0.1	190	192	0.1
	East	On-ramp	207	207	0.0	94	91	0.3
		Through	52	52	0.0	75	74	0.1
		Through	90	90	0.0	60	60	0.0
	West	Left	271	272	0.1	122	125	0.3
		On-ramp	142	142	0.0	74	69	0.6